

ALLEN WINSOR
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA

January 24, 2019

The Honorable Lindsey Graham
Chairman
Committee on the Judiciary
United States Senate
Washington, DC

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
Ranking Member
Committee on the Judiciary
United States Senate
Washington, DC

Dear Chairman Graham and Ranking Member Feinstein:

I have reviewed the questionnaire submitted to the Senate Judiciary Committee in April 2018 in connection with my nomination to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida. Incorporating the additional information provided below, I certify that the information contained in those documents is, to the best of my knowledge, true and accurate.

Question 13(a)

I previously reported that as an appellate judge, I had participated in approximately 1,350 cases. That number is now approximately 1,800.

Question 13(b)

I have authored the following additional opinions as a Judge on the Florida First District Court of Appeal:

McCray v. State, – So. 3d – (Fla. 1st DCA Jan. 22, 2019)
Gorman v. State, – So. 3d – (Fla. 1st DCA Jan. 14, 2019)
Windsor v. Windsor, – So. 3d – (Fla. 1st DCA Dec. 28, 2018)
Schroll v. Schroll, – So. 3d – (Fla. 1st DCA Dec. 14, 2018)
Sims v. State, – So. 3d. – (Fla. 1st DCA Dec. 10, 2018)
Floyd v. State, – So. 3d – (Fla. 1st DCA Nov. 20, 2018)

Macomber v. State, 254 So. 3d 1098 (Fla. 1st DCA 2018) (concurring)
Knight v. State, 254 So. 3d 642 (Fla. 1st DCA 2018)
Sorey v. State, 252 So. 3d 853 (Fla. 1st DCA 2018) (concurring)
Demming v. Demming, 251 So. 3d 284 (Fla. 1st DCA 2018) (dissenting)
Beck Auto Sales v. Asbury Jax Ford, LLC, 249 So. 3d 765 (Fla. 1st DCA 2018)
Dygart v. State, 247 So. 3d 655 (Fla. 1st DCA 2018)
Pope v. State, 246 So. 3d 1282 (Fla. 1st DCA 2018)
Y.G. v. Dep't of Children & Families, 246 So. 3d 509 (Fla. 1st DCA 2018) (dissenting)

Question 13(f)

In *Lee v. State*, No. SC 17-1555, – So. 3d – (Fla. Dec. 13, 2018), the Florida Supreme Court quashed the First District's en banc decision, which I had joined. The issue, as framed in the Florida Supreme Court decision, was “whether, in determining if multiple convictions of solicitation of a minor, unlawful use of a two-way communications device, and traveling after solicitation of a minor are based upon the same conduct for purposes of double jeopardy, the reviewing court should consider only the charging document or the entire evidentiary record.” The First District held courts could consider the entire record; the Florida Supreme Court held they could only consider the charging document.

Question 14


In *Renfroe v. Nationstar Mortgage, LLC*, No. 18-2501, a pro se appellant requested that I and the other judges who had decided her previous appeal recuse ourselves. The case was later randomly assigned to a panel that did not include me, so the motion was denied as moot. In *Managed Care of N. Am. v. Fla. Healthy Kids Corp.*, No. 16-5700, I recused myself based on a family member's employment.

Question 26

After my 2018 nomination was returned, I was advised that the President was considering re-nominating me. On January 23, 2019, President Trump resubmitted my nomination to the Senate.

I am also forwarding an updated net worth statement and financial disclosure report. I thank the Committee for its consideration of my nomination.

Sincerely,



Allen Winsor