1	COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES SENATE
5	
6	
7	WASHINGTON, D.C.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	INTERVIEW OF: BYUNG J. "BJay" PAK
14	
15	
16	
17	Wednesday, August 11, 2021
18	
19	
20	The interview commenced at 10:30 a.m.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Appearances:
2	
3	For the COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY:
4	SENATOR RICHARD BLUMENTHAL
5	SENATOR JON OSSOFF
6	SARA ZDEB, Majority Chief Counsel for Oversight
7	JOSEPH M. CHARLET, Majority Counsel
8	QUINTON BRADY, Minority Investigative Counsel
9	JOSHUA FLYNN-BROWN, Minority Deputy Chief Investigative
LO	Counsel
L1	DANIEL PARKER, Minority Investigator
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	BRADLEY WEINSHEIMER, DOJ
L6	EMILY LOEB, DOJ
L7	KIRA ANTELL, DOJ
L8	CHRISTINA CALCE, DOJ
L9	
20	COUNSEL FOR WITNESS:
21	EDWARD T. KANG, Alston & Bird
22	RYAN MARTIN-PATTERSON, Alston & Bird
23	
24	

25

- 1 Ms. Zdeb. It is 10:31 and we can go on the record.
- 2 Mr. Pak, good morning. Thank you very much for being
- 3 with us. I'm going to go over a very short preamble and
- 4 then I'm going to turn it over to my colleague,
- 5 Mr. Charlet, to start asking some questions.
- 6 This is a transcribed interview of BJay Pak. Chair
- 7 Durbin requested this interview as part of the Judiciary
- 8 Committee's investigation into efforts to involve the
- 9 Justice Department in former President Trump's attempts to
- 10 overturn the 2020 Presidential election.
- 11 Would the witness please state and spell his name for
- 12 the record?
- The Witness. Byung J. Pak, B-y-u-n-g. Jin, as in
- 14 J-i-n, my middle name. Last name is P-a-k.
- 15 Ms. Zdeb. Thank you. On behalf of the Chair, I thank
- 16 Mr. Pak for appearing here today. We appreciate your
- willingness to appear voluntarily.
- 18 My name is Sara Zdeb. I'm the Majority chief
- oversight counsel for the Judiciary Committee, and I will
- 20 now ask everyone else on the Zoom to introduce themselves
- 21 for the record except for Mr. Pak's counsel, who we will
- get to in just a minute. And we can start with the
- 23 Senator.
- Senator, you're on mute.
- Mr. Blumenthal. Sorry about that.

- Good morning, everyone. Richard Blumenthal, U.S.
- 2 Senator, Connecticut.
- Ms. Zdeb. And going around the Zoom now and perhaps
- 4 for ease, we could start with my colleague on the Chair's
- 5 staff.
- 6 Mr. Charlet. Hi. Good morning. I'm Joe Charlet,
- 7 counsel for the Majority.
- 8 Ms. Zdeb. And my colleagues on Senator Grassley's
- 9 staff.
- 10 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Good morning, sir. My name is Josh
- 11 Flynn-Brown. I'm deputy chief investigative counsel for
- 12 Senator Grassley. We really appreciate your time today.
- 13 So thank you for being here, and I'll turn it over to two
- of my colleagues who are also online today. Thank you.
- Mr. Brady. Good morning, Mr. Pak. My name is Quinton
- 16 Brady, investigative counsel for Senator Charles Grassley.
- 17 Thank you again for being here.
- 18 Mr. Parker. And I am Daniel Parker, also an
- 19 investigator for Senator Grassley.
- Ms. Zdeb. Go ahead, Brad.
- Mr. Weinsheimer. Brad Weinsheimer, Department of
- 22 Justice.
- Ms. Loeb. Emily Loeb, Department of Justice.
- Ms. Zdeb. Kira, you're muted.
- Ms. Antell. Kira Antell, also from the Department of

- 1 Justice.
- Ms. Zdeb. Great. We muted you so you can't object to
- 3 any of our questions.
- 4 I'm just kidding.
- 5 The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do not apply to
- 6 the Committee's investigative activities including
- 7 transcribed interviews. That said, we do follow some
- 8 guidelines that I will go over now.
- 9 Our questioning will proceed in rounds. The Majority
- 10 staff will ask questions for one hour, then the Minority
- 11 staff will have the opportunity to ask questions for an
- 12 equal amount of time. We will go back and forth in this
- manner until there are no more questions.
- We typically take a short break at the end of each
- 15 hour but if you need to take a break at any time before
- 16 then, please just let us know.
- 17 As I noted earlier, you are appearing voluntarily.
- 18 You have been authorized by the Department of Justice to
- 19 provide, quote, unrestricted testimony irrespective of
- 20 potential privilege, unquote, on topics within the scope of
- 21 the Committee's investigation. Accordingly, we anticipate
- that our questions will receive complete responses.
- As you can see, we have a stenographer, Mary Grace, on
- 24 the line taking down everything we say in order to make a
- written record, and so we ask that you give verbal

- 1 responses to each question. Do you understand?
- 2 Mr. Pak. Yes.
- Ms. Zdeb. We encourage witnesses who appear before
- 4 the Committee to consult freely with counsel if they
- 5 choose. You are appearing here today with counsel.
- 6 Counsel, would you please introduce yourselves for the
- 7 record?
- 8 Mr. Kang. Good morning. My name is Edward Kang at
- 9 Alston & Bird.
- 10 Mr. Martin-Patterson. Good morning. I'm Ryan
- 11 Martin-Patterson, also of Alston & Bird.
- Ms. Zdeb. Mr. Pak, we want you to answer our
- 13 questions in a complete and truthful manner so if you don't
- understand one of our questions, please just let us know.
- 15 You should understand that although this interview is not
- under oath, by law you are required to answer questions
- 17 from Congress truthfully. Do you understand that?
- 18 Mr. Pak. I do.
- 19 Ms. Zdeb. Specifically, witnesses who knowingly
- 20 provide materially false statements during the course of
- 21 the Congressional investigation could be subject to
- 22 criminal prosecution under 18 U.S.C. 1001, and this statute
- 23 applies to your statements in this interview. Do you
- 24 understand that?
- 25 Mr. Pak. I do.



- 1 Ms. Zdeb. Is there any reason why you are unable to
- 2 provide truthful answers to today's questions?
- Mr. Pak. I know of no reason.
- 4 Ms. Zdeb. Finally, we ask that you not speak about
- 5 what we discussed in this interview with anyone outside of
- 6 those of us on this Zoom in order to preserve the integrity
- 7 of our investigation.
- Is there anything else that my colleagues on Senator
- 9 Grassley's staff would like to add before we get started?
- 10 Mr. Flynn-Brown. I have nothing to add at this time.
- 11 Thank you, Sara.
- Ms. Zdeb. Mr. Pak, do you or your counsel have any
- 13 questions before we get started?
- 14 Mr. Pak. I do not.
- Mr. Kang. None.
- Ms. Zdeb. Great. In that case, this is the end of my
- preamble. It is 10:37 and I'm going to turn it over to my
- 18 colleague, Mr. Charlet, to begin our first round of
- 19 questioning.
- BY MR. CHARLET.
- Q. All right. Thank you.
- Good morning, Mr. Pak. I'm going to start with a few
- questions about your background just for the record before
- 24 we begin. Could you tell us about your most recent --
- 25 could you tell us what your most recent role at the

- 1 Department of Justice was?
- 2 A. Yes. I was the United States attorney for the
- 3 Northern District of Georgia from October 10th to January
- 4 4th, 2021.
- 5 Q. Thank you. And prior to your confirmation as
- 6 U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of Georgia, can you
- 7 give us a brief overview of your professional experience?
- 8 A. Prior to becoming United States attorney, I was
- 9 in private practice. I also, during my private practice
- 10 years, I served in the Georgia general assembly as a state
- 11 representative for six years starting from January 2011 to
- 12 January 2017. It's a part-time legislature here, so I also
- 13 practiced law during that time.
- Prior to that, previously I served in the Department
- of Justice as an assistant United States attorney in the
- 16 Northern District of Georgia from 2002 to 2008. And prior
- to that, I was also in private practice.
- 18 Q. All right. Thank you. And when you were the
- 19 U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of Georgia, what
- 20 did your responsibilities include when it came to
- 21 investigations concerning election fraud?
- 22 A. As the chief federal law enforcement officer in
- the district, I obviously supervised all federal
- investigations that were under my purview in accordance
- with the Justice manual in coordination with, as necessary,

- 1 the Election Crimes Branch and working with all federal law
- 2 enforcement agencies, but primarily the Federal Bureau of
- 3 Investigation, and also coordinating any type of
- 4 investigation with state and other local authorities.
- 5 Q. And prior to the 2020 election and the time
- 6 period surrounding that, can you describe how and how often
- you interacted directly with the deputy attorney general
- 8 and/or the attorney general while you were a U.S. Attorney?
- 9 A. It was -- it wasn't an everyday occurrence but,
- 10 yes, we do interact with the attorney general and the
- 11 deputy attorney general. In particular through the deputy
- 12 attorney general's office, my primary contact as a U.S.
- 13 Attorney would have been the principal associate deputy
- 14 attorney general or better known as PADAG.
- The deputy attorney general is kind of viewed as the
- 16 chief operating officer of the Department so we were in
- 17 contact with the DAG's office more frequently and, of
- 18 course, the attorney general's office.
- In addition, through my duties and work on the
- 20 subcommittees of the attorney general's advisory committee,
- 21 I would often work with various component heads and also
- 22 had some interactions with the attorney general and the
- 23 deputy attorney general individually.
- Q. And you just described the deputy attorney
- 25 general as the chief operations officer. Do you mean that

- 1 your interactions with him were more administrative or did
- 2 they also include the substance of investigations in your
- 3 regular interactions?
- 4 A. It could be both. Because the United States
- 5 Attorney's Offices, the administrative side, there is the
- 6 executive office for the U.S. Attorneys, so that generally
- 7 gets handled through EOUSA. But it is possible and it
- 8 happened when you have substantive cases, particularly
- 9 things that are sensitive or something that would draw the
- 10 attention nationally, that we would coordinate with the
- 11 deputy attorney general's office.
- 12 And as a matter of practice, if there are sensitive
- 13 cases that are going on, investigations going on, the
- 14 guidelines show that we have to kind of file a sensitive
- investigative report internally through EOUSA and the DAG's
- office so that they are made aware of what is going on
- throughout the country.
- 18 Q. Okay. Thank you. We will probably return to
- some of those subjects as we go through the rest of the
- 20 interview.
- 21 So on December 1st, 2020, Attorney General Barr
- 22 publicly announced that the Department of Justice had found
- 23 no evidence of widespread election fraud in the 2020
- 24 election. He reaffirmed this finding on December 21st,
- 25 2020, two days before his resignation.

- 1 Prior to December 1st, had your office looked into
- 2 allegations in Georgia of election fraud?
- 3 A. There were complaints and allegations that were
- 4 coming in. The way we usually handle election fraud cases
- 5 is that each district is required to designate a district
- 6 elections officer and the FBI investigative component does
- 7 the same. And so we established a hotline so if there is
- 8 a -- allegations of some type of criminal violation that
- 9 they would call and bring in the leads.
- Unlike the state and local prosecutors, the U.S.
- 11 Attorney's Offices do not have their own investigators, so
- 12 we rely on our federal law enforcement agencies to at least
- track down the substance of the allegation and to do some
- 14 preliminary investigation to see if there is anything
- there, whether or not the allegation is true.
- In particular, during the election cycle, the district
- election officer would give the front office of our office,
- which is me and also my FAUSA and crim chief, regular
- 19 reports about some of the investigations going on,
- 20 generally things that have progressed to a level where the
- U.S. Attorney needs to be aware of it. As you can imagine,
- in every election there is usually some call comes in and
- the deputy election officer is responsible for working with
- 24 the FBI to track down some allegations, to see if there are
- 25 any substance, to see if there is enough evidence to

- 1 actually investigate or open a case. Of course they are in
- 2 constant communication with the Department of Justice, the
- 3 Election Crimes division, when they do this.
- For me, for that time period that you referenced,
- 5 Mr. Charlet, on December 4th, I believe, there was news
- 6 reports that came out related to a state Senate hearing on
- 7 election irregularities. I don't recall exactly the name
- 8 of the committee that they had, but it made the news
- 9 because at the time, Mr. Giuliani, Rudy Giuliani, came down
- to the state of Georgia, at the capitol, and showed a video
- that he described as a suitcase full of ballots being run.
- 12 And this hit the news. I did not know whether or not that
- complaint was made directly to the Department or my office,
- 14 but it was all over the news. He called it a smoking gun
- of election fraud.
- 16 Following the morning of that news cycle when
- 17 Mr. Giuliani came down and testified -- he didn't testify
- 18 because the state Senate doesn't have power to put people
- under oath. So any statements are not subject to perjury.
- I think people probably should know that.
- 21 And as -- by way of background, I have to tell you
- that as a state legislator at the time, one of the
- 23 frustrating things about policymaking at the state level
- 24 was that we couldn't make sure what people are telling us
- 25 are exact in fact truth because we couldn't put them under

- 1 oath.
- But in any event, when he testified -- when he told
- 3 the state Senate committee that this was a suitcase full of
- 4 ballots that they pulled out from underneath a table and
- 5 that it ran through, that it was evidence of fraud, of
- 6 course that raised my interest to see what he was talking
- 7 about.
- 8 That morning, I believe in December 4th, the morning
- 9 after, I did have a conversation with the attorney general
- 10 at the time, Attorney General Barr, related to another
- 11 matter that has nothing to do with the election fraud cases
- 12 that you're talking about. But at the time, Attorney
- 13 General Barr asked me if I had seen the news about this
- 14 allegation of this suitcase full of ballots and I said I
- did hear about that. And then Attorney General Barr said
- 16 that, you know, he had an upcoming meeting with the White
- House and, given the fact that he had made a statement two
- days before that there was no widespread fraud that he
- 19 thought that this investigation -- or I'm sorry, the
- videotape might come up during the discussion. So he asked
- 21 me to make it a priority to find out some more details
- 22 about the allegation made by Mr. Giuliani.
- I told him I would do that. He asked me to make it a
- 24 top priority. So I said I'd be certain to do that.
- 25 At this point, we didn't even have a copy of the

- 1 videotape. We didn't even know what the videotape was
- 2 about. I just saw the news clip about it. So at the time,
- you know, I obviously contacted the people responsible for
- 4 investigation in my office and relayed to them in general
- 5 that we want to find out what's going on with this
- 6 videotape. And oh, the attorney general asked me to keep
- 7 me kind of posted on any updates that I might get.
- Per protocol, I definitely then called Rich Donoghue,
- 9 who was at the time the principal associate deputy attorney
- 10 general. The U.S. Attorney's kind of main contact with the
- 11 deputy attorney general's office. That's kind of protocol
- 12 because we coordinate and we would certainly want to let
- them know that the attorney general wanted us to kind of
- 14 track down the videotape and see what was going on there.
- 15 But I talked to Rich Donoghue and told him about my
- 16 conversation with Attorney General Barr and that we are
- 17 trying to get a copy of the videotape and find out what --
- 18 some additional facts surrounding that.
- 19 So my district election officer was the main person
- 20 who reached out to the FBI. I did have a conversation with
- 21 the special agent in charge here about the videotape to see
- if in fact the FBI knew about the videotape. They had not.
- 23 It was the first time they had heard of it. And so they
- 24 were going to coordinate with the Secretary of State's
- office, who were already investigating this videotape, to

- 1 find out what -- the facts behind that videotape.
- 2 And so operationally, the FBI kind of chooses when to
- 3 reach out and whatnot. I just merely told them to go ahead
- 4 and let's try to find out what's going on with the
- 5 videotape, given the fact that the attorney general is
- 6 going to the White House through -- this may come up. And
- 7 I told him it was a top priority to do that.
- 8 At the same time, I think that I had not had any
- 9 conversation with Main Justice other than Rich Donoghue and
- 10 the attorney general about the case and they were -- I know
- 11 that the FBI had reached out to the Secretary of State's
- office to start the process. The protocol is, because this
- is during the election time where there's an election
- 14 cycle, and in particular in Georgia at the time, there was
- a U.S. Senate runoff election scheduled for January 5th, I
- believe, Tuesday, January 5th, I was very sensitive to the
- fact that we can't do anything overt that may be viewed one
- 18 way or the other by the voters, whether giving --
- 19 inadvertently giving legitimacy to some claim of
- 20 irregularity of fraud or -- so we were very sensitive to
- 21 that.
- 22 And so the FBI was coordinating with the Election
- 23 Crimes Branch about doing some investigative steps, which
- is the protocol. And the agents were -- it took a few
- 25 days, I think, to kind of get ready to find out kind of

- 1 what happened. I think that the plan was to try to
- 2 interview the individuals who were depicted in the video
- 3 and what we found out was the videotape was of a
- 4 surveillance camera located at the State Farm Arena here in
- 5 Fulton County, Atlanta on the night of the ballot
- 6 tabulation.
- 7 So it took a couple of days. Meanwhile, I saw the
- 8 news reports of the implementation manager for the
- 9 Secretary of State's office related to the Dominion voting
- 10 machines to discuss kind of what the actual videotape
- 11 showed. Gabe Sterling was the person. He went on the news
- 12 and described kind of what the Secretary of State's
- investigation had shown in terms of videotape. At this
- 14 point, we still did not have a videotape. That the luggage
- or suitcase that was referenced by Mr. Giuliani was in fact
- 16 a secure ballot box and that there was a miscommunication
- as to why the poll watchers from each parties were
- 18 mistakenly sent home. And they were -- it was around, I
- believe, late evening that night that the Fulton County
- 20 elections director decided to kind of come back tomorrow to
- 21 continue the tabulation, so they started packing up.
- 22 And seeing that the partisan poll watchers, the people
- from the Democratic Party and the Republican Party, thought
- that they were done for the night and they left the State
- 25 Farm Arena, and then the communication was made by the

- 1 Secretary of State's office calling down to the State Farm
- 2 Arena and saying what's going on there? And they said,
- we're done for the night, and the Secretary of State's
- 4 office told them that, no, no, no, you've got to continue
- 5 tabulating because there's a lot of work to be done.
- 6 So they were reopening or bringing back the secure
- 7 ballot box to open to be retabulated. As a matter of fact,
- 8 in the news segment, they showed clips of the entire
- 9 evening, which you could see the workers actually packing
- 10 up the suitcase -- or they referred to it as a suitcase --
- 11 but the secure ballot box and putting it underneath the
- 12 table. And then -- so once the full picture was shown, it
- was clear that it was the exact same box that they pulled
- 14 out to start recounting at the time.
- So I forwarded that news article to my district
- 16 election officer to make sure that they had the latest
- information. By then, I think that they were making plans
- 18 to try to interview the individuals. We also heard reports
- that someone had identified the people who were depicted in
- the video and they were in fact getting some death threats.
- 21 So there was another need to kind of talk to the
- 22 individuals there.
- 23 And at the same time, I had reached out to the
- 24 Secretary of State's office to see if there was any
- 25 investigative files, like memos of interviews of these

- 1 individuals that we could start taking a look at. And they
- 2 had -- ultimately, the lead investigator had not written a
- 3 report, actual written memorandum of the interviews of the
- 4 people working that night as depicted on the video, but she
- 5 did send me an audio recording of the interviews that they
- 6 conducted that day. And so I obviously listened to it and
- 7 I forwarded it to the FBI and also the district election
- 8 officer.
- 9 And I also listened to the tape in conjunction with
- watching the video and the explanation of it and it was all
- 11 consistent. So I was comfortable in my mind at least,
- 12 without -- just looking at what the Secretary of State's
- done, that the story seems to be consistent. But the FBI
- wanted to make sure and also talk to some of the people
- there who are depicted and they were trying to arrange
- 16 that.
- But I did get a call that the reason they were not yet
- 18 having interviewed those individuals were that the Main
- Justice had not authorized them at that point to reach out
- 20 to the poll workers and to interview them. And then I
- 21 asked why not. And I think the protocol was the FBI
- 22 coordinates with their headquarters, who coordinates with
- 23 the Department of Justice Election Crimes Branch to kind of
- 24 take every step in terms of -- because of the sensitivity
- 25 surrounding elections. I said that's fine.

- 1 So at that point, I called Rich Donoghue and told
- 2 him -- gave him an update on kind of the investigation in
- 3 terms of what I did, in terms of listening to the
- 4 interviews, looked at the news report and what the FBI had
- 5 told me that they have -- the reason they have yet to kind
- 6 of interview them. And that was my extent of kind of
- 7 telling Rich that that's why -- the PIN has not authorized
- 8 them to look at or authorized them to interview.
- 9 And my understanding, based on my discussion with the
- 10 FBI, was that there was a disagreement in terms of the
- interpretation of the November 6th memo issued by AG Barr.
- 12 But I've never talked to election crimes or anybody in
- 13 Justice. I did tell Rich that that was the issue.
- And so eventually, a few days later, I think that Rich
- 15 Donoghue called me and told me that they had resolved the
- issue and that the FBI would go ahead and do the interview.
- 17 And then he sent me an email confirming kind of his
- discussion with the deputy director, David Bowdich, related
- 19 to getting the FBI to go ahead and interview the
- 20 individuals.
- 21 And I know that they've done that and they actually
- 22 did a little bit more in terms of looking after the
- 23 sensitivities of kind of doing interviews because at the
- 24 same time that the FBI wanted to interview the witnesses,
- 25 the Secretary of State's office was doing a follow-up

- 1 interview of those witnesses. So I think operationally
- 2 they decided to do them together and not separately. And
- 3 so the special agent in charge had informed me and called
- 4 me that the interviews were consistent with what was in the
- 5 audit recordings and there was nothing irregular about the
- 6 events, and the allegations or statements made by
- 7 Mr. Giuliani during the Senate hearing were contradicted by
- 8 the FBI and my own investigation of the situation.
- 9 I called back to -- during the time, I kept Attorney
- 10 General Barr kind of informed where we are. I think I
- 11 talked to him maybe twice very briefly. And then once it
- 12 was -- the FBI had concluded their assessment interviews, I
- 13 called the attorney general along with -- I called Rich
- 14 Donoghue as well to tell him exactly what was going on.
- 15 And I told the attorney general that there was no substance
- to the allegations. And he said, okay, thank you very much
- 17 for letting me know.
- And that was the last time I communicated with either
- the deputy attorney general or the attorney general related
- 20 to the State Farm video.
- 21 And then the next thing I found out is that, you know,
- the attorney general had issued -- that he was going to
- 23 resign.
- With respect to the other type of investigations,
- 25 there was nothing that kind of -- the FBI had taken steps

- 1 to kind of verify few at that point, enough. I mean, there
- were allegations coming in. We were trying to make sure
- 3 that we have resources and attention to particular types of
- 4 complaints that were coming in. In particular, there were
- 5 lots of threats being made to various people who were
- 6 involved --
- 7 Mr. Weinsheimer. At this point, I'm concerned that
- 8 Mr. Pak may be going beyond the scope. I don't think it's
- 9 appropriate for him to talk about all of the
- 10 investigations. The scope deals with the circumstances on
- 11 which he left his position and pressure from the White
- 12 House or Department leadership. So I just want to be
- careful that he doesn't go into other investigations that
- 14 aren't within that scope.
- Mr. Charlet. I'll take a quick moment to note for the
- 16 record that Senator Ossoff has joined the interview.
- 17 BY MR. CHARLET.
- Q. So first thank you, Mr. Pak. That was a lot of
- detail. Just to clarify a couple of points really quickly.
- 20 First, you said that it took a few days to sort all of this
- 21 out. Do you recall about what day it was when you had
- determined on your own separately that there was no
- credibility to these allegations regarding the State Farm
- 24 Arena video?
- A. Me individually, I think it took maybe two or

- 1 three days from my call with the attorney general when I
- 2 got the audited recording via email. And so that was the
- 3 day that I took time to review the evidence that the
- 4 Secretary of State's office had collected at that time. I
- 5 have not seen any other evidence other than look at the
- 6 audio recording and the video recording of it and I just
- 7 kind of concluded that it was consistent with what's been
- 8 reported to the public by the Secretary of State's office.
- 9 So unless there was something else that was going on,
- 10 I was comfortable that the main allegation that
- 11 Mr. Giuliani made with respect to the secure ballot box
- 12 being a suitcase full of fake ballots, that was not true.
- 13 That was debunked. I was satisfied of the explanation. So
- 14 it took about three days, I want to say, after -- two or
- 15 three days -- I can't remember exactly when -- that
- 16 everything kind of came to its natural kind of conclusion
- 17 based on the evidence that we see.
- 18 O. And then were the interviews where there was a
- 19 bit of a delay before they were actually conducted, was
- 20 that on the same timeline or about when -- when were those
- 21 completed?
- A. Mr. Pak. I think it was right around the same
- time they got completed. The two or three-day delay was, I
- 24 think, the FBI was gathering preliminary evidence to review
- to do the interviews. It's not like you just go ahead and

- 1 go. You want to make sure you have the facts straight and
- 2 their previous statements and things like that.
- And it was during this time also where I think that
- 4 they were -- I don't know this personally, but I heard a
- 5 couple days after, when I talked to the FBI of where the
- 6 status of the interviews were, it was kind of waiting for
- 7 the authorization from the Election Crimes division or
- 8 branch, I'm sorry, that they were working through those
- 9 issues. And so it was right around the same time so -- and
- 10 not -- so the delay until like when we got most of the
- 11 evidence kind of reviewed and done the interviews was right
- 12 around the same time. In total, maybe three days, three to
- 13 four days from December 4th call that I had with the
- 14 attorney general.
- Q. And then for the interviews themselves, I was
- just unclear so just to clarify, were they for the people
- or with the people making the allegations or the poll
- workers who are seen in the video?
- 19 A. The authorization I think the FBI had received
- was only for the individuals that are depicted in the video
- 21 who was at the State Farm location and so I think that my
- recollection is they interviewed five to seven people,
- which were -- I think there were five people who were poll
- 24 workers, and then there was two additional. One
- 25 actually -- there was a Secretary of State's office law

- 1 enforcement officer present on the night of the video and
- 2 so I can't remember if it was he or she. I know they were
- 3 talking to him and also I think they talked to someone with
- 4 respect to making a call that they were going to close down
- 5 for the night and then the reopening, the circumstances
- 6 surrounding like reopening of ballot boxes, continue
- 7 counting. That's my recollection. They might have
- 8 interviewed more people but I seem to recall that was the
- 9 authorization given by the Election Crimes Branch or the
- 10 Department itself and so that was narrow in scope.
- 11 Q. And then you also said there was an additional
- 12 sensitivity because people were receiving death threats.
- 13 Was that also the poll workers who appear in the video who
- were receiving those threats?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. That's correct. And there were others as well,
- 18 but those in particular, the name of the individual who was
- in the video was leaked to the public by someone and as a
- 20 result, we were getting -- we were very sensitive to that.
- 21 That's another reason to kind of touch base with them.
- Q. Okay. Great. So I'm going to take one step
- 23 back before doubling back into some of the substance since
- 24 we're trying to establish the timeline of what happened
- when and where.

- 1 So when Barr first made his declaration that there was
- 2 no evidence of widespread election fraud on December 1st,
- 3 at that time, your office, at least insofar as the Northern
- 4 District of Georgia was concerned, is it correct that you
- 5 had not found any election fraud sufficient to change the
- 6 outcome of the election by December 1st?
- 7 A. That's correct. At that time, there wasn't
- 8 anything like that. And I think that -- just to give you
- 9 context, Mr. Charlet, the PADAG at the time, which is Rich
- 10 Donoghue, would from time to time check in, right? And
- 11 that's kind of the job of the PADAG, to check in with the
- 12 U.S. Attorney's Offices, make sure that there's not
- 13 anything that the front office should know about.
- And so -- but the short answer to your question, yes,
- there was absolutely nothing that -- of any investigation
- that would cause a widespread fraud or anything like that
- 17 going on in the district.
- 18 O. And then around the time of December 1st when
- 19 Attorney General Barr made that declaration, you had no
- 20 other reason to doubt his conclusion that the Justice
- 21 Department had found no evidence of widespread election
- 22 fraud in the 2020 election?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. So I want to get back to
- your explanation of how exactly your office works through

- 1 election fraud allegations or voter fraud allegations. You
- 2 had discussed there being a district officer who was
- 3 concerned with these matters and also that your office
- 4 coordinated with the FBI. So I'm just going to try to
- 5 understand how that functions a little bit more.
- 6 So how exactly does that officer, the district
- 7 election officer, fit into the way the U.S. Attorney's
- 8 Office is run? Do you directly oversee their work or is
- 9 there sort of a hierarchy that they sit within?
- 10 A. There's a hierarchy. The district election
- officer, the criminal division chief, the first assistant
- 12 and then myself, those are kind of the leadership team
- 13 related to these things. All of them are career except for
- 14 me. They were career officers. As a matter of fact, the
- district election officer used to work in the civil rights
- 16 division of the Department and so he was a perfect fit, in
- my mind, and he's handled several cases.
- 18 So he would report regularly to the criminal division
- 19 chief and also, if necessary, to the FAUSA, then to me, but
- 20 it wasn't a regular kind of direct line. I did not ask him
- 21 for a report on every little thing that's going on on every
- 22 single basis. It would be once a week unless there was
- 23 something significant that we needed to -- that he decided
- 24 to elevate to the front office. So that's kind of the
- 25 supervisory chain.

- 1 Q. Okay. And then does that officer -- is he the
- one -- he or she -- the one that coordinates with the local
- 3 FBI field office for the preliminary investigations that
- 4 you were discussing?
- 5 A. That's correct, yes.
- 6 Q. And you made mention of this before, but I
- 7 guess I'm asking on a more general level. How does the
- 8 intake process for voting or election related allegations
- 9 look? Is it always through a hotline that you set up or is
- there a more general way in which the intake process works?
- 11 A. It's a hotline. We publicize the hotline and
- 12 the district election officer, the FBI's phone number. We
- do a press release and I go on the radio and press to tell
- 14 them that if they need to call, contact the FBI or the U.S.
- 15 Attorney's Office. Other kind of complaints would come
- into various AUSAs or myself and I would forward those to
- the district election officer.
- So, but there was a main way and then there's
- obviously some other ways people would send information and
- 20 then we funneled it to the district election officer to
- 21 work with the FBI to track down.
- Q. And then you also said on or about December
- 4th, AG Barr himself called you because he had concerns
- 24 about these allegations and wanted to understand more what
- was happening.

- Outside of the election fraud context and I guess
- 2 prior to the 2020 general election season, would you say
- 3 that officials from Main Justice often alerted your office
- 4 of allegations of crimes of any kind that should be
- 5 investigated?
- A. It's not often. And the attorney general did
- 7 not call me for this case. He actually called me about
- 8 another matter and then this came up. But it's not every
- 9 day when the attorney general will call but from time to
- time there would be conversations with the front office of
- 11 Main Justice about various cases, but in terms of the
- 12 actual allegations coming down from the AG's office, no,
- 13 that's rare.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- And in the election fraud context, you were confirmed
- in 2017 so you were the U.S. Attorney for the Northern
- 17 District of Georgia during the state's 2018 general
- 18 election, correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. During that election, do you recall officials
- 21 from Main Justice alerting your office to allegations of
- 22 election fraud that should be investigated?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. So I want to turn your attention to an exhibit
- 25 that we have marked as Majority 1, and for the record, we

- 1 can mark it as Exhibit 1.
- (Exhibit 1, email marked Majority1, was
- marked for identification.)
- 4 BY MR. CHARLET.

2

- 5 Q. It's an email from Richard Donoghue to you
- 6 forwarding you a discussion that Mr. Donoghue had with
- 7 David Bowdich. Do you have that document in front of you?
- 8 A. I do.
- 9 Q. Thanks. So he forwarded this exchange that he
- 10 had with FBI Deputy Director David Bowdich about interviews
- 11 that AG Barr wanted to conduct in Atlanta. But before we
- 12 talk about the allegations in more detail, as you can see
- in the underlying email that Donoghue sent Bowdich, AG Barr
- 14 had issued a policy change directly after the 2020 general
- election on November 9th, 2020.
- Do you recall the nature of that policy change?
- 17 A. I think -- I remember the memo. The memo, in
- 18 substance, I mean, in summary, basically said that the
- 19 general policy is that we defer investigation into election
- 20 fraud or tabulation until everything has been certified or
- 21 finalized. However, they are -- the policy currently
- 22 allows some preliminary inquiries, not on a wide open case
- but preliminary inquiries that you can make including doing
- 24 some interviews.
- 25 And the memo had said that -- I think he was

- 1 reiterating that we had had authority under limited
- 2 circumstances to do preliminary inquiries in cases where
- 3 there is -- I can't remember exactly but I think cases
- 4 where there is a substantial, I think, allegation, I think
- 5 those were the terms, of some type of fraud that could
- 6 impact, potentially impact the outcome of an election. And
- 7 that's the substance of what I remember the memo saying.
- 8 Q. Okay. And then could you describe how if in
- 9 any way this policy change manifested in your work as a
- 10 U.S. Attorney? Were you approached by Main Justice at any
- 11 point to take a more forward-leaning approach to election
- 12 fraud investigations?
- 13 A. Apart from the memo, no, they have not. You
- 14 know, we took a -- internally at the U.S. Attorney's Office
- that I was leading, I took the approach of being
- 16 conservative, of not -- of deferring every case that we
- can, particularly because, as I noted before, there was yet
- 18 another election coming up.
- By early December, the general election had been
- 20 completed. It would not be an open polling place type of
- investigation that people are very sensitive to. But we
- just wanted to make sure that we don't do anything that
- would leave the public with an impression that we give some
- 24 kind of substance to any type of allegation or otherwise.
- 25 And so we were very sensitive to that.

- I didn't read the memo as being a directive to be
- 2 forward-leaning in terms of investigations. I took it as
- 3 being sensitive but reminding that you can do it under
- 4 certain circumstances when there is substantial allegations
- of fraud that could have, potentially have an
- 6 outcome-determinative impact.
- 7 Q. And in this email to Bowdich, Mr. Donoghue
- 8 alludes to a, quote, unquote, policy disagreement between
- 9 Public Integrity and DOJ leadership over the application of
- 10 this policy change. Did you ever become aware of that
- 11 policy disagreement at some point?
- 12 A. Yeah, my conversation with the FBI about why
- there was a delay in terms of them doing the interview, the
- 14 special agent in charge told me that they were waiting for
- 15 authorization from the headquarters to go ahead and do
- 16 that. Then I asked him and said, you know, I think the
- 17 headquarters -- I think they refer to as PIN but I think
- 18 it's election crimes division -- felt like there was not a
- 19 substantial allegation.
- 20 And so that's the conversation. That's when I was
- 21 aware that I think there was a disagreement because in my
- 22 mind, the Attorney General wanted us to kind of track down
- the allegation. He had authorized it, to do the
- 24 interviews. So that's why I called Rich Donoghue because
- 25 that is a disagreement between what the Attorney General

- 1 and I had wanted versus what the election crimes division's
- 2 reading the memo. And so that's how I became aware of the
- 3 disagreement on the interpretation.
- 4 Q. And just to make sure that I understand, your
- 5 understanding of the policy disagreement is that the
- 6 Elections Crime Branch or PIN did not think the State Farm
- 7 allegations were substantial and that is why they had
- 8 concerns about the investigative steps that were being
- 9 authorized by the Attorney General?
- 10 A. I think that was one of. The other was whether
- or not it should be deferred, you know, done now versus
- 12 later.
- But I would not talk to the election crimes division
- 14 so I wouldn't know exactly what the nature of the
- 15 disagreement was. I think it was interpretation over the
- 16 memo, the application of the memo, and so that's what I
- communicated to Rich Donoghue, that I believed that that
- 18 was the reason why the FBI had not done their interviews
- 19 yet.
- 20 O. And the interviews did happen within the course
- of a few days despite this disagreement, is that correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. And was this the only time that this seeming
- 24 policy disagreement manifested itself in your work, at
- least when it came to the 2020 election?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So in the policy, the underlying policy
- 3 itself, the final paragraph notes -- actually, let me enter
- 4 that for the record. So this document is Majority 2, or
- 5 it's marked as Majority 2. We'll mark it as Exhibit 2.

6

- (Exhibit 2, two-page policy statement
- 7 marked Majority 2, was marked for
- 8 identification.)
- 9 BY MR. CHARLET.
- 10 Q. It's a two-page document and on the second
- 11 page, in the last paragraph, the first line states, "While
- 12 it is imperative that credible allegations be addressed in
- 13 a timely and effective manner, it is equally imperative
- 14 that the Department personnel exercise appropriate caution
- and maintain the Department's absolute commitment to
- 16 fairness, neutrality and nonpartisanship."
- 17 So as I read it, that policy seems to indicate that
- 18 DOJ should investigate credible allegations in a timely
- 19 manner. Was that your understanding?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. And in regards to the State Farm Arena video,
- would you agree that the investigation did happen in a
- 23 timely manner?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. And on a higher level, were you ever asked to

- 1 look into allegations that you didn't find credible?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. So let's go back to the actual allegations in
- 4 this email. So the interviews that AG Barr wanted to
- 5 conduct in Atlanta were related to allegations that we
- 6 discussed previously, that poll workers at State Farm Arena
- 7 were stuffing ballots into suitcases.
- Were you aware -- or sorry.
- 9 When were you aware that the Georgia Secretary of
- 10 State's office had investigated the allegations and
- 11 concluded they were unfounded?
- 12 A. I saw at least the implementation manager, Gabe
- 13 Sterling, was on TV giving an interview of explaining away
- 14 the video, and so that was the first time that he
- 15 represented they looked into it, they'd done some
- 16 investigation. I didn't know what they exactly did but
- that's when I became aware that at least the Secretary of
- 18 State's office had look into the video.
- 19 Q. And based on your previous testimony, this
- 20 email is on December 7th so you would have been several
- 21 days into your own investigation into this issue, is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know exactly why Mr. Donoghue forwarded
- 25 this exchange to you?

- 1 A. I think it was just to let me know that he had
- 2 raised the issue with the FBI or talked -- discussed it
- 3 with the FBI.
- 4 Q. And as you said, your office did not conclude
- 5 that these allegations were credible, is that correct?
- 6 A. Ultimately, yes.
- 7 Q. And do you recall about when you -- or sorry.
- 8 Did you relay these conclusions back to either
- 9 Mr. Donoghue or Mr. Rosen or AG Barr, and could you just
- 10 help us understand exactly when that took place?
- 11 A. It was a couple of days after or the night of
- 12 when the FBI completed their interviews. I just had a
- short call with the Attorney General, wanted to let him
- 14 know that we've done all these things, we tracked down the
- witnesses, the FBI talked to them, it was consistent with
- 16 what we knew before with respect to the audio.
- I told him that I personally looked at the video and
- 18 listened to the interview audio and found the witnesses'
- 19 statements to be consistent with what's been reported and
- 20 that I don't see anything that would give an indication
- 21 that the suitcase, the alleged suitcase or box was anything
- 22 irregular other than there was some misunderstanding as to
- 23 why the poll workers left the State Farm Arena -- or not
- 24 poll workers -- the poll watchers left the State Farm
- 25 Arena. There was nothing nefarious to open any kind of

- 1 case.
- Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 3 So our time is running short in this round so I'm
- 4 going to turn back to my colleague, Ms. Zdeb, to finish out
- 5 this round of questioning for the Majority.
- 6 Ms. Zdeb. Thank you, Joe.
- 7 BY MS. ZDEB:
- Q. Mr. Pak, I wanted to follow up on a couple of
- 9 other aspects of the lengthy and quite helpful and detailed
- 10 explanation that you provided us about the State Farm
- 11 situation.
- I think you indicated that it was on December 4th that
- 13 Attorney General Barr had this discussion with you about
- 14 something else, but that during the course of that
- discussion, he raised these allegations which had just been
- 16 made in the public domain; is that correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And I think you said he raised it by way of
- 19 saying that he was preparing to have some sort of
- 20 conversation with the President and he was hoping you could
- 21 look into the allegations in preparation for that
- 22 conversation.
- Did I hear that correctly?
- A. No. He called me and the conversation came up
- like this. He asked me if I've seen the videotape that's

- 1 been in the news about the alleged suitcase. The reason I
- 2 know it's December 4th is because there was the Senate
- 3 hearing -- it was the Senate committee hearing on kind of
- 4 the election integrity or whatever they were having
- 5 happening at the state capitol occurred the day before.
- 6 And so that's how I know it was the following day. It hit
- 7 the news and I saw the news and that's why. And then it
- 8 was that morning when AG Barr and I had a conversation.
- 9 What he mentioned was a couple of days ago, to
- 10 Mr. Charlet's question, a couple of days ago he had
- 11 announced that at that time the Department had no evidence
- of widespread fraud. And what he said was the allegation
- 13 Giuliani is making is pretty serious and I want to make
- 14 sure that -- you know, I have to go to the White House
- 15 for -- to discuss other matters, but this might come up.
- 16 So could you get to the bottom of this and make it a
- 17 priority for us, for me. And that's the gist of exactly
- 18 what he said.
- 19 Q. Did he indicate when he was going to the White
- 20 House?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Did he ever follow up with you after that
- meeting to give you a readout or indicate one way or the
- other whether the Giuliani State Farm allegations came up
- during the course of that White House meeting?

- 1 A. He did not.
- Q. Did he or anyone else, either within or outside
- 3 the Department, ever ask you to speak with Mr. Giuliani in
- 4 connection with these allegations?
- 5 A. He did not.
- Q. Were you ever asked to speak with Mr. Giuliani
- 7 in connection with any other allegations?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. And I think you somewhat addressed this in your
- 10 explanation earlier, but just to make sure I'm
- 11 understanding the thought process on your part and on the
- 12 Department's part more generally, you've discussed how the
- 13 Secretary of State's office was already, to your knowledge,
- 14 looking into these allegations and I think you actually
- described at a certain point that the FBI ended up
- 16 coordinating with some of their investigators on your
- 17 interviews.
- 18 I'm curious if you ever considered simply not
- interjecting the Department and the FBI at that point and
- deferring to the Secretary of State's judgment and, if not,
- 21 why not?
- 22 A. Well, first of all, I don't know what
- 23 investigations they did. I just know that they did
- 24 something. And so at the same time, I don't really give
- 25 directions to the FBI on operational matters. I just ask

- 1 them to -- that the AG wanted to get to the bottom of it,
- 2 try to conduct those interviews.
- It was the FBI's decision to do it together. And so I
- 4 don't have a full picture of what exactly investigations
- 5 the Secretary of State's office did. And we're federal law
- 6 enforcement and we trust the federal agencies to do it
- 7 right. So I just left it to the FBI to kind of figure out
- 8 how best that they're going to do the investigation.
- 9 Q. Thank you. I think we actually have a little
- 10 bit of time left on our initial round, but I also think
- 11 that this is probably a good time for a quick break. So
- 12 unless Joe has other questions at this point, I think we
- can probably conclude our first round a couple of minutes
- 14 early, take a quick break and then come back and turn it
- over to Senator Grassley's staff.
- Would that work for you, Mr. Pak?
- 17 A. That'd be great.
- 18 Ms. Zdeb. Josh, does that work for you?
- Mr. Flynn-Brown. Yes, that's fine. Thank you.
- Ms. Zdeb. So it's 11:24. How about we come back at
- 21 11:30.
- Mr. Flynn-Brown. That's fine with me.
- Ms. Zdeb. We can go off the record and we'll come
- 24 back shortly.
- 25 (Recess.)



- 1 Ms. Zdeb. It is 11:31 and we can go back on the
- 2 record. And before I turn it over to Senator Grassley's
- 3 staff, I wanted to note for the record that we have been
- 4 joined by one additional attorney for the Department, if
- 5 she could introduce herself for the record, please.
- 6 Ms. Calce. Good morning, everyone. My name is
- 7 Christina Calce. I'm with the Office of Legislative
- 8 Affairs. I'm taking over for my colleague, Kira Antell.
- 9 Ms. Zdeb. Josh, over to you.
- 10 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Thank you, Sara. I appreciate it.
- BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN:
- 12 O. Mr. Pak, thank you again for your time today.
- 13 First thing's first, can you hear me okay?
- 14 A. Yes, I can.
- 15 Q. Great. Thank you again.
- I'd like to go back to my colleagues on the other side
- of the aisle, his questioning in the beginning and ask a
- 18 few follow-up questions. So you had mentioned, I believe,
- in an answer to him, and please correct me if I get this
- wrong, that you mentioned sensitive investigative reports
- 21 that were sent to the DAG's office, the deputy attorney
- general's office, with respect to sensitive investigations.
- Did I hear you correct?
- A. It's not just for investigations, but it's
- 25 anything related to something that the deputy attorney

- 1 general's office should be made aware of.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- A. It could be a small thing as one of the AUSA's
- 4 laptop got stolen out of a car, you know, because there's
- 5 sensitive info. So it's a reporting system that was
- 6 developed for reporting various things that the front
- 7 office should know about.
- 8 Q. Understood. Okay. So all U.S. Attorney's
- 9 Offices have this reporting structure over to Main Justice,
- 10 specifically --
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. -- the deputy attorney general.
- 13 A. That's correct. And other things. We
- obviously talk to the associate deputy attorney generals
- and the PADAG all the time as necessary. So it's not the
- only structure or method to kind of report things up, but
- it's the main one that people rely on.
- 18 Q. Okay. Thank you for that. So bound up in that
- 19 reporting structure, then, would be reports relating to
- 20 election fraud and election crime allegations during the
- 21 2020 election, is that correct?
- 22 A. If it was something of substance, yes.
- Q. Okay. So can you say how many election-related
- 24 reports regarding 2020 were sent up that reporting chain?
- Mr. Weinsheimer. I would object to that question.

- 1 It's beyond the scope of the authorization.
- 2 Mr. Flynn-Brown. I think it's precisely in scope and
- 3 a very critical question for him to answer.
- 4 Mr. Weinsheimer. The scope of the authorization deals
- 5 with the circumstances on which he left and about pressure
- 6 that was placed on him from the White House or the
- 7 Department leadership offices to investigate specific
- 8 instances of alleged voter fraud. This question goes
- 9 beyond that scope.
- 10 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN:
- 11 Q. Mr. Pak, I assume that --
- Mr. Flynn-Brown. Maybe I should ask your counsel, but
- 13 your counsel defers to the Department's position, is that
- 14 correct?
- Mr. Kang. Yes, we do.
- 16 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Thank you, sir.
- 17 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN:
- 18 Q. So with respect to this reporting structure and
- other U.S. Attorney's Offices nationwide, would you then
- 20 see what their reports were or could you only see your
- 21 reports going to this -- to the DAG's office?
- 22 A. I do not have any way to view what other
- 23 offices file.
- Q. Okay. So you mentioned that, with respect to
- 25 the December 4th call with Attorney General Barr, then

- 1 Attorney General Barr, relating to the -- the allegations
- 2 relating to the State Farm Arena video, you had mentioned I
- 3 believe -- and again, please correct me if I'm wrong
- 4 here -- there were two or three days from the call with
- 5 Attorney General Barr before you got an audio recording,
- 6 but it wasn't clear to me, unless I missed it, what that
- 7 audio recording was for.
- 8 A. So I reached out to the Secretary of State's
- 9 office to see if there was any memorandum of interviews
- that they've done interviewing the various people who were
- 11 depicted in the video. My understanding is they did not
- 12 have any memorandum of interviews.
- 13 Instead, while they interviewed the individuals that
- 14 night, they recorded all the interviews, the statements and
- explanation made by the people that are depicted in the
- 16 video. That audio recording file was provided to me by the
- 17 lead investigator of the Secretary of State's office. And
- 18 that's the audio that I reviewed.
- 19 Q. Okay. So these are the audio recordings of the
- 20 interviews that the Georgia Secretary of State's office
- 21 performed?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. Do you know when those interviews took place
- 24 that were audio recorded?
- 25 A. I don't know exactly, but I believe it was the

- 1 next day when they reconvened to continue the processing of
- 2 the ballots.
- Q. Okay. With respect to the interviews that were
- 4 eventually done by the FBI relating to these same
- 5 allegations, I believe you said -- and again, correct me if
- 6 I'm wrong here. I don't want to mischaracterize this -- I
- 7 think you said maybe three to four days from the December 4
- 8 call, the FBI interviewed the same folks in the audio
- 9 recording.
- 10 Is that accurate or was it -- is that three to four
- 11 days incorrect?
- 12 A. I think that's correct.
- Q. And so that puts us roughly at December 8th
- 14 approximately when the interviews took place. And at what
- point did the attorney general become aware that the FBI
- 16 had interviewed these folks and that the allegations were
- 17 not credible?
- 18 A. I do not know that other than I spoke to the
- 19 attorney general when the interviews were completed and
- 20 told them that there was nothing inconsistent about the
- 21 facts as we knew it at that time, based on the interviews.
- Q. And Mr. Pak, did you ever speak to President
- 23 Trump about the 2020 election?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. When did you first hear about voter fraud and

- 1 election crime related allegations relating to the 2020
- 2 election?
- A. I saw it on the news, I believe, after the
- 4 general election. I think there were various folks making
- 5 allegations that there were fraud and also that the
- 6 election was stolen.
- 7 Q. Do you know when you started to hear about
- 8 those allegations time-wise? Date-wise?
- 9 A. I heard it on the news. I'm sorry?
- 10 Q. Date-wise, do you have an approximate date when
- 11 you started hearing about these types of allegations?
- 12 A. It was within a few days after the actual
- 13 election. I want to say starting the following day, I
- 14 think there were some discussions about -- in the news.
- 15 And this is not -- I want to make clear that this is not
- 16 from the official channels I heard this from. This is from
- the news, because that was what was covered in the news. I
- 18 mean, everybody was -- I think every news outlet was
- 19 focused on the election, and I think that various elected
- leaders were making allegations about how the election was
- 21 fraudulent. So that's how I heard.
- 22 Q. Can you give us a description of the
- 23 examples -- some description of the examples of the types
- of voter fraud and election crime allegations that you
- received relating to the 2020 election?

- 1 Mr. Weinsheimer. I would object to that question as
- 2 beyond the scope of the authorization.
- Mr. Flynn-Brown. I think in the Donoghue interview I
- 4 had five objections. In the Rosen interview, I had one. I
- 5 have two now. So let's see how many I can rack up today.
- 6 Mr. Weinsheimer. Then I recommend you stay within the
- 7 scope and I won't object.
- 8 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Yeah. Well I -- look, I disagree
- 9 for the record.
- 10 Mr. Weinsheimer. I understand.
- Mr. Flynn-Brown. I think he needs to answer these
- 12 questions.
- 13 Mr. Weinsheimer. I understand.
- 14 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.
- Q. And again, Mr. Pak, your counsel defers to the
- 16 Justice Department's position here?
- Mr. Kang. Yes, we do.
- 18 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.
- 19 Q. Mr. Pak, do you believe it's part of the
- 20 President's job to ensure the departments and agencies and
- 21 personnel under his control properly perform their duties
- and responsibilities on behalf of the American people?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Pak, you mentioned earlier the Public
- 25 Integrity Section, PIN, and the Elections Crimes Branch is

- 1 within PIN.
- 2 Do you recall who was in charge of the Election Crimes
- 3 Branch during the 2020 election?
- 4 A. I think it was Corey Amundson at the time.
- 5 Q. Does the name Richard Pilger ring a bell at
- 6 all?
- 7 A. It does not, other than what's been reported in
- 8 the news.
- 9 Q. What's been reported?
- 10 A. I think that he resigned and I think there was
- 11 some coverage about that. I have not had any personal
- 12 dealings, nor do I know Mr. Pilger.
- 0. Can you describe to me again in a bit more
- detail your office's relationship with the Public Integrity
- 15 unit?
- 16 A. The Justice manual, which is the policy that
- all U.S. Attorney's Offices and components abide by, it's
- 18 the policy of the Department, requires that in most
- 19 instances when it related to election crimes and
- investigation, even initiating it, that consultation with
- 21 the branch is necessary.
- In a practical term, we coordinate, certainly, because
- 23 particularly elections have national consequences and
- 24 implications, and so the Election Crimes Branch also has
- 25 resources and subject matter experts so it makes practical

- 1 sense that the U.S. Attorney's Offices coordinate with
- 2 that. So that's kind of the relationship that the U.S.
- 3 Attorney's Offices have.
- 4 Q. And who was your main point of contact for that
- 5 unit, the Election Crimes Branch?
- 6 A. I do not have -- I personally do not call them
- 7 directly. The district election officer, I don't know
- 8 exactly how they're divided up responsibility-wise, but I
- 9 believe that the Election Crimes Branch has certain
- 10 individuals assigned to certain districts and so there is a
- 11 coordination and communication with them. And they also
- 12 put forth some refreshers and trainings for the district
- 13 election officers to make sure to discuss and consult with
- 14 them about particular issues that may come up.
- 15 Q. Now, can the U.S. Attorney's Office proceed
- with investigative activity without getting approval from
- 17 the Public Integrity Section?
- 18 A. The Justice manual requires consultation with
- 19 them so I think by -- since the manual requires
- 20 consultation, practically speaking, I don't think that ever
- 21 happens. U.S. Attorney by him or herself would initiate an
- investigation. If they did, it would be contrary to kind
- of what the policy was designed to do.
- Q. So it's a matter of consultation, so you're
- supposed to apprise them of some of your activity but they

- don't have the ability to stop you or tell you no. If you
- need to proceed, you will proceed, is that accurate?
- 3 A. Ultimately I think that's correct, but the
- 4 deputy attorney general certainly, who oversees both of
- 5 those components, would have the ultimate authority, along
- 6 with the Attorney General.
- 7 Q. So then Attorney General Barr, you know, the
- 8 November memo that my colleague was referring to -- I'll
- 9 paraphrase this because I don't think this is exact, but
- 10 generally speaking it says I authorize you to pursue
- 11 substantial allegations of voting and vote tabulation
- 12 irregularities prior to the certification of elections in
- 13 your jurisdiction in certain cases.
- 14 This forward-leaning posture, was it different before
- 15 this memo was issued?
- 16 A. I think it was pretty similar. Like I said, my
- view of the memo was that it was emphasizing kind of the
- 18 authority that we have, and that if there is substantial
- 19 allegations, the Attorney General wanted us to take a look
- 20 at it.
- Q. So is it accurate to say that prior to this
- November 9th Barr memo, DOJ and FBI generally would not
- take investigative steps until the election has been
- 24 certified?
- 25 A. I think that that's too broad of a

- 1 characterization but generally, a run-of-the-mill
- 2 allegations of fraud, I think the policy would be that you
- 3 defer the investigation of it until all the election
- 4 process has been concluded.
- Q. Okay. So then with respect to the Barr memo,
- 6 you know, what was the effect of it? Did it shift any
- 7 policy or did it simply more finely tune and focus the
- 8 existing policy?
- 9 A. I viewed it as it finely tuned the existing
- 10 policy.
- 11 Q. I see.
- 12 A. I didn't think it was a huge shift in the
- 13 current policy.
- Q. Did you agree that that policy needed to be
- 15 fine-tuned and more focused?
- 16 A. Yeah, I believe so. I do think that -- I think
- the general default rule is that you don't do any
- investigation but there are circumstances where it may
- 19 justify doing some preliminary inquiries and I think the
- 20 Attorney General's memo reemphasize and clarify that you
- 21 can in fact do that.
- Q. So, sir, what do you think the -- let me say it
- this way then. What are the risks attendant to not taking
- 24 investigative steps prior to certification?
- A. It depends on what the allegation is. I think

- 1 you ultimately, for example, if there is spoliation of
- 2 evidence or destruction of evidence or threat of physical
- 3 harm to particular people, I think you would consider doing
- 4 something before the actual certification or completion of
- 5 the election process. And it would be fact-intensive.
- 6 So loss of evidence and also physical harm I think
- 7 would be two examples I could think of that may justify
- 8 doing something prior to the final certification.
- 9 Q. So you got into this a little bit in the first
- 10 hour regarding the vetting process, or I should say the
- 11 intake process with respect to some of the voting fraud,
- 12 election-related allegations. You mentioned the DEO,
- 13 right? The DEO. And the DEO is an official within the
- 14 U.S. Attorney's Office?
- 15 A. It is an assistant U.S. Attorney that the
- 16 United States Attorney designates as the point person for
- 17 election-related issues.
- 18 Q. Okay. So for the 2020 election, then, who was
- 19 your DEO?
- 20 A. Assistant -- actually, he was senior litigation
- 21 counsel, Brent Gray.
- Q. So he was basically the intake officer for
- 23 allegations that came specifically to your office?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- Q. So he would take them in. Did he have a team

- of people to help him vet or was he a one-man crew?
- A. He had resources asking the other AUSAs to help
- 3 track that down, but primarily he would be the legal
- 4 advisor, so to speak, with the FBI's group that's
- 5 responsible for investigating allegations.
- 6 Q. And what is the name of that FBI group?
- 7 A. I think the FBI, I think it was Public
- 8 Integrity. I think they called it Public Integrity.
- 9 Q. Okay. So this gentleman would then interface
- with the FBI, but he also had other individuals within your
- office that would assist him as he needed?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 0. And then from there, after they vet these
- 14 allegations, after the DEO and the FBI vet the allegations,
- if they're substantial enough, then where do the
- 16 allegations go?
- 17 A. If there is enough evidence to justify opening
- 18 a case, that would be elevated to the front office, crim
- 19 chief, if necessary to even the United States Attorney,
- 20 like myself. In consultation with the Election Crimes
- 21 Branch, we would open up the case.
- Q. And when you say crim chief, who is the crim
- 23 chief? Is that a crim chief for you or is that a crim
- 24 chief back in Washington, D.C.?
- 25 A. Crim chief in my office.

- 1 Q. And who was that?
- 2 Mr. Weinsheimer. I would object to identifying who
- 3 the individuals are. I didn't object to the DEO because
- 4 that's something that was public. But to the extent that
- 5 this is nonpublic information, I would object to it.
- 6 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.
- 7 Q. Okay. So you have the crim chief, and whoever
- 8 that is then looks at all the material before him or her,
- 9 and then if it's substantial enough or credible enough,
- 10 however you want to describe it, it then is moved up to
- 11 you, sir, at that point?
- 12 A. No. The section chief or the crim chief have
- authority to open up the matter.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. If it's a matter that's substantial or big or
- 16 that's sensitive that the U.S. Attorney should be made
- aware, then that would be elevated to me in regular
- 18 reporting.
- 19 Q. And how many items were elevated to you as part
- of regular reporting for 2020?
- Mr. Weinsheimer. I would object as beyond the scope
- 22 of the authorization.
- Mr. Flynn-Brown. I think Congress would like to know
- 24 the answer.
- Mr. Weinsheimer. It's beyond the scope of the

- 1 authorization.
- 2 Mr. Flynn-Brown. For the record, I disagree.
- 3 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.
- 4 Q. And, Mr. Pak, your counsel defers to the
- 5 Justice Department's position on this matter?
- 6 Mr. Kang. Yes, we do.
- 7 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Thank you, sir.
- 8 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN.
- 9 Q. Sir, what was your relationship like with the
- 10 local field offices in Georgia, the FBI field offices to be
- 11 more precise?
- 12 A. We had a very close and good working
- 13 relationship.
- 14 Q. So no issues with them?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Okay. Let's turn to, I believe it's Minority
- 17 1, and it's Bates stamped 751 to 754. And sir, let me know
- when you're there. And if you need to review this, let me
- 19 know if you need some time.
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. Okay, sir. The email thread begins on Bates
- 753, I believe, and this is an email from Corey Amundson,
- the chief of the Public Integrity Section, and he says in
- 24 part, "As explained below, PIN," the Public Integrity
- 25 Section, "does not concur in any overt investigative

- 1 activity including the proposed interviews."
- 2 And this is about the State Farm Arena allegations
- 3 according to the email and you had addressed these earlier
- 4 in your testimony.
- With respect to the 2020 election, how many times did
- 6 the Public Integrity Section provide a nonconcurrence to
- 7 potential investigative activity prior to election
- 8 certification?
- 9 A. This is the only one I know of.
- 10 Q. Same question, I can repeat it in full, but
- 11 with respect to after election certification.
- 12 A. I was not made aware of any others.
- 13 O. And again, who would be the individual that
- 14 would have apprised you of any nonconcurrences or
- 15 concurrences from the Public Integrity Section?
- 16 A. I think that would generally come through the
- 17 district election officer.
- Q. And who was that again?
- 19 A. Brent Gray.
- Q. Let's turn to Bates stamp 751. And I'm going
- 21 to read the first paragraph and this is from Richard
- 22 Donoghue, Acting Attorney General Rosen's deputy at that
- time, and David Bowdich, then deputy director of the FBI.
- 24 It says, "Unfortunately, this is a continuation of a policy
- 25 disagreement between the Election Crimes Branch (ECB) of

- 1 PIN and the AG. While I understand ECB's concerns and the
- 2 reasons for their historic practice, the AG simply does not
- 3 agree with what he termed their 'passive and delayed
- 4 enforcement approach' and has clearly directed that
- 5 department components should undertake preliminary
- 6 inquiries and investigations of election-related
- 7 allegations in certain circumstances even if
- 8 election-related litigation is still ongoing. While this
- 9 may be different from ECB's traditional approach, which was
- 10 essentially to allow election fraud to take its course and
- 11 hope to deter such misconduct in future elections through
- 12 intervening prosecutions, the AG gets to make that call.
- 13 PIN recognizes that much when they say below that he 'has
- 14 ultimate decision-making authority on this issue.' As I
- 15 relayed last night, the AG told me last night that the FBI
- 16 should conduct some interviews relating to the State Farm
- 17 Arena allegations so that we are not relying entirely on
- 18 the work/assessments of nonfederal law enforcement
- 19 authorities. It may well be that the Georgia Secretary of
- 20 State is correct in concluding that nothing nefarious
- 21 happened there but the fact is that millions of Americans
- have come to believe, rightly or wrongly, that something
- 23 untoward took place and it is incumbent on the Department
- 24 to timely conduct a limited investigation to assure the
- 25 American people that we have looked at these claims. If we

- 1 come to the same conclusion as the Georgia Secretary of
- 2 State, then that should give the public increased
- 3 confidence in the election results in Georgia. If we come
- 4 to a different conclusion, then we'll deal with that.
- 5 Either way, the AG made it clear that he wants to be sure
- 6 that we are actually doing our job and not just standing on
- 7 the sidelines." End of first paragraph.
- And then this email in full, I believe, was forwarded
- 9 by Mr. Donoghue to you. So when the AG -- so when Donoghue
- 10 said, "The AG simply does not agree with what he termed
- 11 their" -- as in PIN and ECB[] -- "passive and delayed
- 12 enforcement approach," do you agree with Attorney General
- 13 Barr's assessment that the Public Integrity unit and the
- 14 Election Crimes Branch had a passive and delayed
- 15 enforcement approach?
- 16 A. I think so, yeah. I would agree.
- 17 Q. And why would you agree?
- 18 A. I don't think that -- I think they take a very
- 19 conservative approach about the appearance the Department
- 20 and the role the Department's investigation may have on the
- 21 impact of actual voting, perception of it. So I think they
- take a conservative approach and try to defer as many
- election investigations until after it's done.
- Q. Donoghue also said in part, "The AG told me
- last night that the FBI should conduct some interviews

- 1 relating to the State Farm Arena allegations so that we are
- 2 not relying entirely on the work/assessments of nonfederal
- 3 law enforcement authorities."
- 4 Did you agree with Attorney General Barr that the FBI
- 5 should conduct those interviews?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Going on, the email also says in part, "It may
- 8 well be that the Georgia Secretary of State is correct in
- 9 concluding that nothing nefarious happened there, but the
- 10 fact is that millions of Americans have come to believe,
- 11 rightly or wrongly, that something untoward took place and
- 12 it is incumbent on the Department to timely conduct a
- 13 limited investigation to assure the American people that we
- 14 have looked at these claims."
- Do you agree with this statement?
- 16 A. What part of the statement?
- 17 Q. That it is incumbent on the Department to
- 18 timely conduct a limited investigation to assure the
- 19 American people that we have looked at these claims.
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And the investigation was completed, correct?
- 22 A. The limited investigation, yes.
- Q. And again, for the record, how did these State
- 24 Farm allegations resolve?
- 25 A. To the extent my involvement was, we made a

- 1 conclusion that the allegations made by Mr. Giuliani that
- 2 these were -- a box that was pulled from under the table
- 3 was a suitcase full of ballots that was fraudulent, we
- 4 debunked that. That was not correct at all.
- 5 Q. And was that the full scope of this review?
- 6 A. That I was involved in, yes.
- 7 Q. Can you clarify, when you say that you were
- 8 "involved in," it seems to imply there's a secondary part
- 9 to this.
- 10 A. As far as I know, the FBI did not do any
- 11 additional investigation beyond what I've described to you
- 12 reporting. They might have done some, but I have not -- I
- don't recall if there were.
- 14 Q. I understand. So Mr. Donoghue also stated,
- 15 "Unfortunately, this is a continuation of a policy
- 16 disagreement between the Election Crimes Branch of the
- 17 Public Integrity unit and the attorney general."
- 18 So when he said the word "continuation," it seems to
- imply repeated conduct. Would you agree with
- 20 Mr. Donoghue's assessment in that regard?
- 21 A. I don't have enough knowledge to agree or
- disagree on that. That could be based on maybe his
- 23 dealings with investigations in other districts. But with
- 24 respect to, you know, the one in my district, this was the
- only instance that I recall where we had -- where they took

- 1 a different interpretation of the memo.
- 2 Q. So when then Attorney General Barr issued his
- 3 November memo with respect to what could be investigated
- 4 prior to certification, are you aware of any Justice
- 5 Department personnel or units undermining the
- 6 operationalization of that memo?
- 7 A. I don't have any personal knowledge of that.
- 8 Q. Aside from the State Farm Arena allegations,
- 9 sir, did your office open any election related cases before
- 10 the 2020 election was certified?
- 11 Mr. Weinsheimer. I would object to that question.
- 12 It's overly broad and, on that basis, outside the scope of
- 13 authorization.
- 14 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN:
- 15 Q. Did your office open any voter fraud or
- election crime related allegations with respect to the 2020
- 17 election before the election was certified?
- 18 Mr. Weinsheimer. Same objection.
- 19 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN:
- 20 O. Did your office open any voter fraud or
- 21 election crime related cases for the 2020 election after
- 22 the election was certified?
- Mr. Weinsheimer. It's the same objection.
- 24 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN:
- 25 O. Mr. Pak --

- 1 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Counselor, sir, you defer to the
- Justice Department's position here, correct?
- Mr. Kang. Yes, we do.
- 4 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN:
- Okay. Let's turn to Bates 754. Sir, let me
- 6 know when you're there or if you need time to review.
- 7 A. I'm ready.
- 8 Q. So this is an email from Mr. Donoghue to Bobby
- 9 Christine and Corey Amundson is cc'd. The date is January
- 10 5th, 2021.
- 11 Sir, who is Bobby Christine?
- 12 A. By this time, he was the acting U.S. Attorney
- 13 for the Northern District of Georgia and he was also the
- 14 U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of Georgia.
- Q. Do you know Mr. Christine well?
- 16 A. I do.
- 17 Q. What is your opinion of him?
- 18 A. He's an honorable man.
- 19 Q. Do you think he's a capable and a very ethical
- 20 U.S. Attorney?
- 21 A. In my dealings with him, he has always been.
- Q. So this email states in part that Mr. Amundson
- is "aware of the allegations regarding the truck and knows
- 24 more about what has been done on that than any of us."
- Sir, do you know what these allegations refer to and

- 1 did you learn them while you were U.S. Attorney?
- 2 A. I don't know exactly what this email is
- 3 referring to, but there was an allegation that there was a
- 4 truck full of certain ballots that were in Cobb County, I
- 5 think related to Fulton County, and that something was
- 6 being done with those ballots. And that's the extent of
- 7 which I recall.
- 8 Q. Do you know what happened to those allegations;
- 9 in other words, did your office investigate them?
- 10 A. The allegation came in through -- the primary
- 11 person that I spoke to about this was a state senator who
- 12 was chairing a subcommittee of the state Senate related to,
- 13 I think, the election fraud. I spoke to the senator
- 14 related to certain shredding of ballots and he referenced
- 15 that there was someone who came and told him about -- or
- there was a video of someone with a truck full of ballots
- being moved to a warehouse in Cobb County and that they
- were intended to be shredded to prevent an audit. That was
- 19 the allegation.
- Q. Thank you for that explanation. Are you aware
- of whether or not those allegations were investigated by
- 22 your office?
- 23 A. The allegation came in, I believe, two days
- 24 before I resigned. So I don't know what happened.
- Q. Did the allegation reach you or was it at one

- of your lower level officials? I don't mean to be
- disrespectful at all by saying lower level officials, but
- 3 folks under you. Did they get these allegations and just
- 4 not refer it up to you?
- 5 A. No. I spoke to the senator myself and I
- 6 forwarded the information to the district election officer.
- 7 This was a couple of days before I resigned, so I don't
- 8 know what happened after that.
- 9 In addition -- I might be going a little out of
- 10 timeline here -- but in addition, I made -- after I found
- 11 out that Mr. Christine was going to be my successor instead
- of my FAUSA, I arranged a briefing of all of the election
- 13 cases -- related cases that we had going on in the office
- 14 and facilitated transition call, so he's aware of all the
- 15 cases that are pending that needed his attention, which
- included this allegation which I forwarded to him.
- 17 Q. Understood. Thank you.
- Okay. So let's go ahead and go to, I believe,
- 19 Minority 4, Bates stamp 714. And sir, let me know when
- you're there.
- 21 A. 741?
- 22 O. 714.
- A. Oh, it's tab 3. Okay. Yes, I'm there.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. So at the top it says
- 25 "Meeting with DAG," Deputy Attorney General, "+ Jeff Clark

- 1 sixth floor" and the date over in the top right-hand
- 2 corner is January 2nd, 2021. I just want to focus on some
- 3 of the references to Georgia if I may.
- 4 If you look in the middle of the page, I believe it
- 5 says quote -- and when I say quote, I'm not saying that
- 6 this is an exact quote from the conversation. I'm just
- 7 quoting to the document. "Thinks he saw trucks move
- 8 ballots to shredding location. " And I don't know who "he"
- 9 is.
- And next the notes say, "Cobb County woman who
- 11 worked at facility testified at the Georgia Senate hearing
- 12 that she saw shred trucks at election location."
- Do you recall some of these allegations?
- 14 A. That was kind of the substance of what the
- 15 state senator had relayed to me that he had heard during
- 16 the state Senate subcommittee.
- 17 Q. Understood. Okay. Let's pull up Bates 598 to
- 18 601. Let me look at Minority document -- This is actually
- 19 going to be a Majority document. I think it might be
- 20 Majority 3.
- I believe it's Majority 3. Let's turn to Bates 598.
- To prevent duplication, we didn't send everything over
- because some of the same documents the Majority had already
- 24 sent over.
- So, sir, let me know when you're there.

- 1 A. I'm there.
- Q. Okay. So this is a December 30th, 2020 email
- 3 from Cleta Mitchell to Mark Meadows in which she tells him
- 4 that she sent the petition filed in Georgia -- excuse me,
- 5 in which she sent the petition filed in Georgia and a press
- 6 release. And then Meadows forwards this up the chain to
- 7 Mr. Rosen and says, "Can you have your team look into these
- 8 allegations of wrongdoing? Only the alleged fraudulent
- 9 activity. Thanks, Mark."
- You may need some time to review the press release to
- 11 get an idea of what the allegations are. If you need that
- 12 time, let me know.
- 13 My question to you is whether or not you recall your
- 14 team looking into these allegations.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. So the question is, with respect to this email
- 17 chain and the allegations contained therein, do you recall
- 18 if your team reviewed these allegations?
- 19 A. I don't know. I don't know if my team did or
- 20 not.
- Q. Let's turn to -- I believe this is going to be
- Minority 3, Bates 736 specifically. So Minority 3 is Bates
- 23 stamped 735 to 742.
- Mr. Kang. Minority 4, you mean?
- Mr. Flynn-Brown. Yes, sir, you are correct, Minority

- 1 4. Thank you.
- 2 BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN:
- Q. Okay. So according to the top of the notes,
- 4 these are notes from a call that Mr. Rosen had with
- 5 President Trump and Mr. Donoghue on December 27th, 2020.
- 6 You're not on these -- you weren't on the call, I should
- 7 say, but I want to ask you a question or two relating to
- 8 the references here.
- 9 So if you turn to Bates 737, and let me know when
- 10 you're there.
- 11 A. I'm there.
- 12 Q. So the notes say that the President said, "DOJ
- 13 failing to respond to legitimate complaints/reports of
- 14 crimes". I note the President's apparent use of the word
- 15 "legitimate."
- With the volume of voter fraud and election crime
- 17 allegations that the president had received at that time
- 18 and which were reported on at that time, was it
- unreasonable under the circumstances for the president to
- 20 have such concerns regarding potentially legitimate
- 21 complaints and reports of crimes?
- A. Are you asking my opinion or --
- 23 Q. Yes.
- A. I don't understand the question. Could you
- 25 rephrase?

- Q. So my question to you is -- I'll just restate
- 2 it again in full.
- With respect to the volume of voter fraud and election
- 4 crime allegations that the President had received at that
- 5 time and which were reported on publicly at that time, was
- 6 it unreasonable under the circumstances for the President
- 7 to have such concerns regarding potentially legitimate
- 8 complaints and reports of crimes?
- 9 A. No, I don't think it was unreasonable.
- 10 Q. Was it unreasonable under the circumstances for
- 11 the President to question what the Justice Department and
- 12 its components were doing to investigate legitimate
- 13 complaints and reports of crimes?
- 14 A. I don't know what he understood as to what the
- 15 Department of Justice was doing, but I don't think it's
- unreasonable for him to question what we were doing.
- Q. Let's go to the bottom of page 737 and it says,
- 18 "FBI will always say nothing there. Leaders there oppose
- me, SAs support me."
- When you read this, does it leave you with the
- 21 impression that the President maintained a certain amount
- of distrust with the FBI?
- A. Yes, I agree.
- Q. Is it your opinion then that the distrust could
- 25 have contributed to the President's concern about how

- 1 legitimate complaints and reports of crimes were being
- 2 handled by the Justice Department and FBI?
- 3 A. Could you rephrase that?
- 4 Q. With respect to that distrust, do you think
- 5 that it could have contributed to the President's concern
- 6 about how legitimate complaints and reports of crimes were
- 7 being handled by the Justice Department and the FBI?
- A. I'm sure that's possible.
- 9 Q. Let's go to Bates 741. According to the notes,
- 10 the President said, "You, Rich" -- as in Mr. Donoghue --
- "should go to Fulton City" -- or "County, + do a signature
- verification and you'll see how illegal it is. You'll find
- tens of thousands, " end quote.
- 14 Sir, do you know if anyone from the Justice Department
- or its components performed a signature verification in
- 16 Fulton County?
- 17 A. I do not know that.
- 18 Q. Why do you think the President wanted one of
- 19 his chief law enforcement officers to be boots on the
- 20 ground and verify fraud allegations personally?
- 21 A. You would have to ask him. I don't know.
- Q. Do you think it has to do with his distrust of
- the process generally?
- A. It could be.
- Q. So right now, sir, I've got some time left in

- 1 my first hour, but I'm going to stop at this point and
- defer to my colleague, Sara, if she wants to start again.
- I want to thank you again for your time. I may return
- 4 to some of these issues later in my second hour, but for
- 5 now, I'm going to hand it over to Sara. Thank you, sir.
- 6 Ms. Zdeb. Thank you, Josh.
- 7 Mr. Pak, would you like another quick break, say, 5 to
- 8 10 minutes before we start up again?
- 9 Mr. Pak. Sure. That would be nice.
- 10 Ms. Zdeb. Okay. It's 12:16. We can go off the
- 11 record now and why don't we come back at about 12:25.
- 12 (Recess.)
- 13 Ms. Zdeb. It is 12:27 and we can go back on the
- 14 record.
- 15 BY MS. ZDEB:
- 16 Q. I'm going to turn it back over to Mr. Charlet
- in just a minute, but I wanted to quickly follow up on an
- 18 exchange that you all were having with my colleague on
- 19 Senator Grassley's staff at the end of the last round.
- I will confess I have a little bit lost track of what
- 21 exhibits we are marking the documents as, but I believe he
- 22 was asking you about the document designated as Minority 4,
- which is the set of handwritten notes that begins with
- 24 Bates Number 735 at the bottom of the page. I had a couple
- of quick follow-ups about that document.

- 1 For starters -- and I believe you indicated this
- 2 earlier -- these are not your handwritten notes, is that
- 3 right?
- 4 A. That's correct, these are not mine.
- Q. And you also were not in whatever meeting these
- 6 notes are presumably recounting.
- 7 A. That's correct. I was not present.
- 8 Q. So to the extent the President conveyed
- 9 something about his belief that certain claims of election
- 10 fraud were or were not legitimate, you wouldn't have the
- 11 sense one way or the other either as to whether he in fact
- 12 said that or, more broadly, as to his state of mind when he
- 13 said that; is that fair?
- 14 A. Yeah, that's correct, as to both.
- 15 Q. If you see at the very top of page 735 -- and
- 16 again, acknowledging that these aren't your notes and you
- weren't a participant in this meeting -- I see that they
- 18 are dated December 27th, 2020. We were talking a bit
- 19 earlier during our first round of questioning and then a
- 20 little bit during my colleague's round of follow-up
- 21 questioning just now about the efforts that you and your
- office took to either prove or disprove those allegations
- 23 related to the State Farm Arena videotape.
- 24 And I believe you said that after these were first
- 25 brought to your attention, because they were a matter of

- 1 public record, but also because they came up on that phone
- 2 call you had with Attorney General Barr, it was December
- 3 4th, is that correct?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. And I believe you also said it didn't take too
- 6 much time after that, perhaps a couple of days, for the
- 7 Department's process and the FBI's process to play itself
- 8 out such that you reached a point that you were satisfied
- 9 that there was nothing to those allegations, is that
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. That's correct. And to be clear, the only
- thing that was delayed was the interview of the actual
- 13 employees. During those three or four days, we were doing
- 14 other activities such as gathering like the audiotape and
- 15 things like that. So the interviews themselves were
- 16 delayed by whatever amount of time that -- from the point
- they wanted to do them versus getting clearance to do them.
- 18 Q. Do you recall if those interviews took place at
- some point in time before December 27th?
- 20 A. Oh, yes, absolutely.
- Q. So jumping ahead a couple of pages in the set
- of handwritten notes that we were just looking at to page
- 737, there's this notation partway down the page, it says
- 24 Georgia. There's then some handwriting to the -- I believe
- 25 to the effect of tape there shows fraud. And then it's a

- 1 bit difficult to read the handwriting, which again I
- 2 recognize is not your handwriting, but there's also some
- 3 language, I believe, making reference to "hidden ballots
- 4 under table."
- 5 So to the extent these notes are making reference to
- 6 those same State Farm Arena allegations that we have now
- 7 been discussing, is it fair to say that by this point in
- 8 time, which was December 27th, you personally and your
- 9 office more generally had already concluded at some point
- 10 before this meeting that there was no merit to those
- 11 allegations?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 0. And so whether the President believed or called
- 14 these State Farm Arena allegations legitimate, to use the
- word that my colleague on Senator Grassley's staff was
- 16 pointing to earlier, your office had determined by then
- that they were not legitimate, is that correct?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Ms. Zdeb. I will turn it back over to Mr. Charlet.
- BY MR. CHARLET:
- Q. All right. Thank you. I'm going to turn back
- 22 actually to the subject that our colleagues in ranking
- 23 member Grassley's office left off on, which is the
- 24 signature match allegations in Fulton County. I just want
- to clarify a few questions since you already stated that

- 1 you did not look into these because it is our understanding
- 2 that there were several other allegations of election fraud
- 3 that were made during your time as U.S. attorney and we
- 4 just want to get a sense of which ones you may have looked
- 5 into and how you did, if you did.
- So on December 4th, 2020, the Trump campaign and a
- 7 Republican presidential elector nominee sued the Georgia
- 8 Secretary of State and other relevant officials over
- 9 alleged signature match anomalies in Fulton County,
- 10 Georgia.
- 11 Are you aware of these allegations?
- 12 A. Based on what's been reported in the lawsuit,
- 13 yes.
- Q. And were you aware of the allegations at the
- time or did you come to be aware of them after you left
- 16 your office?
- 17 A. I saw the news of the lawsuit being filed and
- then obviously after I left, I've read more about the
- 19 allegations and what happened to them. But in terms of
- 20 kind of the details as to what's alleged, I just knew
- 21 generally and not specifically whether or not the
- 22 allegations are true or not.
- Q. And you told our colleague that your office did
- 24 not investigate these claims, is that correct?
- 25 A. Okay. So I do want to qualify my answer here.

- 1 My answers are that I was personally aware, okay. So if
- 2 you recall my testimony of how things progressed in the
- office, the allegations might have been logged with my
- 4 office and the FBI as well. Not every allegation that is
- 5 logged with the office would be elevated to me. So it
- 6 might have been logged. So my personal knowledge may be
- 7 limited to only those cases that were elevated to me.
- 8 What I do know was they were getting a lot of calls
- 9 and they were taking lots of information from different
- 10 sources. So I don't want to overstate like, for example,
- 11 my office did not investigate it. That would be an
- 12 overstatement because I don't know. They could have done
- 13 that that I am not personally aware of.
- Q. Okay. I will phrase my questions to your
- personal awareness, then, so that we don't fall into that
- 16 issue.
- So you personally were not aware of your office
- 18 looking into these particular issues, is that correct? Is
- that a correct summation of what you had stated previously?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- Q. Were you aware at the time that one day after
- these claims were filed in Fulton County Superior Court
- that they were thrown out the next day?
- 24 A. I don't know if I specifically remember at that
- time, but I know now that that's what happened.

- 1 Q. Okay. And then the Supreme Court of Georgia
- 2 also declined to hear the case, stating in their order that
- 3 petitioners have not shown that this is one of the
- 4 extremely rare cases that would invoke their original
- 5 jurisdiction.
- 6 Do you happen to recall that development as well?
- 7 A. Yes, I remember reading that. Yes.
- 8 Q. And insofar as your own awareness of such an
- 9 investigation would be concerned, is it possible that such
- an allegation wouldn't have been elevated to you because it
- 11 was insubstantial in some way, either because the people in
- 12 your office who might have been looking at it had
- determined that already? Is that one of the reasons it
- 14 might not have made its way up to you?
- 15 A. That's a possibility. The other possibility is
- that the allegation would have been logged and looked into
- 17 later after the certification is done. It doesn't mean
- 18 that it's dismissed outright. It's just that it was on cue
- 19 to be looked at and then determined later on whether or not
- there have any merit.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. So moving on, then, on
- December 22nd, 2020, President Trump's chief of staff, Mark
- 23 Meadows, personally visited Cobb County, Georgia during its
- 24 signature audit of absentee by mail ballots, specifically
- 25 the signature on their oath envelopes. While it was our

- 1 understanding that he was not allowed to enter the room
- where the signatures were being verified, he did meet with
- 3 the Georgia Deputy Secretary of State, Jordan Fuchs, to
- 4 discuss the audit process.
- My question to you is, were you aware of this visit by
- 6 Mark Meadows?
- 7 A. I found out about it through the press.
- 8 Q. Okay. About when did you first learn through
- 9 the press about this visit?
- 10 A. I think it was immediately -- the day of or
- 11 right after.
- 12 Q. Did you have any particular reaction to
- 13 learning about this trip?
- 14 A. I thought it was highly unusual.
- Q. And why did you think it was unusual?
- 16 A. In the middle of the process, the chief of
- 17 staff would come visit, have a meeting with the Secretary
- of State. I don't recall that ever happening in the
- 19 history of U.S.
- Q. And to be clear for my next question, it was
- 21 the Georgia Secretary of State that was completing the
- 22 audit, is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. But in reference to the allegations that
- led to the audit, did Mr. Meadows or anyone at the White

- 1 House ever reach out to you directly about the Cobb County
- 2 mail-in ballot audit process?
- A. Not to me directly.
- 4 Q. Did anyone at Main Justice ever reach out to
- 5 you about this issue?
- 6 A. Not about the audit process.
- 7 Q. In what capacity did they reach out to you?
- 8 A. Just in general, checking to see if there's any
- 9 investigations or developments that they should be aware
- 10 of.
- 11 Q. And did you have an investigation at this time
- 12 that they should be aware of into the Cobb County signature
- 13 anomaly allegations?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. So the Georgia Secretary of State and the
- 16 Georgia Bureau of Investigation released its findings for
- the Cobb County signature audit on December 29th, 2020 and
- 18 concluded that no fraudulent absentee ballots were
- 19 identified during the audit. Do you recall that being the
- 20 conclusion or one of the conclusions of their audit?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you or your office have any evidence to the
- 23 contrary?
- A. Not that I know of.
- 25 O. So we also understand that on December 27th,

- 1 2020, President Trump discussed various Georgia-related
- 2 election fraud allegations directly with Rosen and
- 3 Donoghue, the acting attorney general and Mr. Donoghue, in
- 4 the notes that my colleagues have directed your attention
- 5 to already. President Trump appears to have discussed an
- 6 allegation with Mr. Giuliani that a temporary -- or
- 7 promoted by Mr. Giuliani that a poll worker had somehow
- 8 hidden the ballots to add more votes to Biden's tally
- 9 during tabulation and also that there was multiple scanning
- of ballots. He said that there was a tape showing the poll
- 11 workers putting the ballots in the suitcases.
- We've discussed this allegation previously but I just
- want to clarify that by December 27th, you had established
- 14 that there was no credibility to this allegation, is that
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- Q. And you also stated previously that the Georgia
- 18 Secretary of State's office independently had investigated
- this and found no wrongdoing, is that correct as well?
- 20 A. I believe that was their conclusion, yes.
- Q. During the meeting where this was discussed,
- 22 President Trump asked Mr. Donoghue to go to Fulton County
- to do a signature verification because it would purportedly
- 24 find tens of thousands of fraudulent ballots.
- Do you know if Mr. Donoghue ever made such a trip?

- 1 A. He did not make such a trip.
- Q. Did Mr. Donoghue ever discuss such a trip with
- 3 you or the fact that the President asked him to take such a
- 4 trip?
- 5 A. Not about the trip, no.
- 6 Q. But did he discuss that the President had a
- 7 concern about these fraudulent ballots with you?
- 8 A. Not about the signature matches, no.
- 9 Q. And then separately, did anyone else at DOJ
- 10 ever discuss a need to perform a signature verification
- 11 process there?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. So I would like to redirect your attention back
- 14 to the December 30 email from Mr. Meadows to Mr. Rosen that
- our colleague from the Minority directed you to. It should
- be marked as Majority 3, and in the order in which they
- were entered into the record, this should be Exhibit 5, if
- 18 I am correct.
- 19 (Exhibit No. 3, an email dated December
- 30, was marked for the record.)
- Q. Let me know when you have it in front of you.
- 22 A. Yes, I have it.
- Q. So I want to go into the individual allegations
- 24 specifically to see if you had been directed at any point
- 25 to look into these allegations. So specifically, after

- 1 December 30th when Mr. Meadows forwarded this email to
- 2 Mr. Rosen, did Mr. Rosen, Mr. Donoghue or anyone at DOJ ask
- 3 you to look into President Trump's campaign allegations?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Had Ms. Cleta Mitchell or anyone affiliated
- 6 with the Trump campaign ever asked you or your office to
- 7 look into these allegations?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. On page 1 of the attachment with this email,
- which should be Bates Number 600, Ray S. Smith, III, the
- 11 lead counsel or who was the lead counsel for the Trump
- 12 campaign, claims in this press release that there are,
- 13 quote, literally tens of thousands of illegal votes that
- were cast, counted, and included in the tabulation the
- 15 Secretary of State is preparing to certify.
- Did your office have any evidence to this effect at
- 17 that time?
- 18 A. No, we did not have any evidence to that
- 19 effect.
- 20 O. And so specifically in the press release and in
- 21 the filing in Fulton County Superior Court, the Trump
- 22 campaign alleges that 2,560 felons voted.
- Did you have any evidence of such a claim at this
- 24 time?
- A. I would not, no.

- 1 Mr. Weinsheimer. I would object as beyond the scope.
- 2 You're getting into specific investigations that don't have
- 3 anything to do with specific pressure put on Mr. Pak and so
- 4 I would object.
- Ms. Zdeb. This comes from an email that the
- 6 Department produced which was sent to the then acting
- 7 attorney general by the then White House chief of staff
- 8 along with an express request that the Department look
- 9 into, quote, these allegations. And I think it's exactly
- 10 within --
- 11 Mr. Weinsheimer. This witness has said that he didn't
- 12 get it and he didn't get any pressure from either the White
- 13 House or DOJ with respect to it. So now basically what
- 14 you're doing is taking allegations from here and asking him
- 15 about specific investigations in the office. That's beyond
- the scope at this point. It doesn't have anything to do
- with any pressure put on Mr. Pak from Department leadership
- 18 or the White House.
- 19 Ms. Zdeb. The scope of the interview and the
- investigation, as you noted earlier, Brad, also includes
- 21 the circumstances surrounding Mr. Pak's departure from the
- Department, and so it is certainly within the scope of that
- issue for us to understand, for instance, was there some
- 24 feeling on the part of the President or on the part of
- others who were involved in decisions surrounding that

- departure, was there some sense of unhappiness with the job
- 2 that Mr. Pak or his office were doing.
- And it seems to me that it is inherent in
- 4 understanding that set of issues, whether there were
- 5 particular things that Mr. Meadows, the President thought
- 6 that Mr. Pak's office ought to be looking into that they
- 7 were not looking into, whether or not Mr. Pak recalls
- 8 specific instances of pressure directly being placed on
- 9 him.
- 10 So for that reason as well, it strikes me as
- 11 completely within the scope of this interview.
- Mr. Weinsheimer. I think if you want to ask Mr. Pak
- about the circumstances of his leaving, that's different,
- 14 but I think your record so far with respect to other
- witnesses doesn't support that conclusion at all.
- Ms. Zdeb. I think we can move on at this point but I
- will conclude by asking Mr. Pak's counsel the same question
- 18 that my colleague on Senator Grassley's staff has asked
- 19 repeatedly when his questions drew objections, which is
- just to confirm that Mr. Pak's counsel are planning to
- 21 adhere to the Department's objections in this regard.
- Mr. Kang. Yes, we are.
- Ms. Zdeb. We can move on.
- 24 BY MR. CHARLET.
- Q. Okay. Well, then moving forward in topic and

- in time, it is our understanding that on New Year's Day,
- 2 Acting Attorney General Rosen provided Jeffrey Clark, who
- 3 at that time was the acting head of the civil division,
- 4 your cellphone number.
- 5 Do you know what prompted Mr. Rosen to give Mr. Clark
- 6 your cellphone number?
- 7 A. I do not.
- Q. Had you and Mr. Rosen or Mr. Donoghue or anyone
- 9 at Main Justice previously discussed Mr. Clark reaching out
- 10 to you in any way?
- 11 A. Yes. Around December 30th -- just to lay kind
- of groundwork, the background, Rich Donoghue and I would
- talk regularly, because we were both colleagues before we
- 14 became the PADAG, and also part of that duty, he checked in
- with various U.S. Attorney's offices to see if there was
- 16 anything that they should become aware.
- On December 30th or the 31st, I can't remember
- 18 exactly, I knew it was before New Year's, Mr. Donoghue
- 19 called me and told me that he was very frustrated because
- 20 the President was solely focused on Georgia with respect to
- 21 any voter fraud allegations and he had commented that
- 22 nothing would dissuade the President from believing that
- the election was in fact stolen from him.
- He stated that he, the President, just would not
- believe that he lost Georgia. I don't know why. He didn't

- 1 explain why. And I reiterated to him that we looked into
- 2 several allegations. Obviously we concluded that there was
- 3 nothing there and that this was kind of disturbing because
- 4 in substance that his own people had looked into it and
- 5 reported back up.
- 6 He then asked me about whether or not I knew Jeffrey
- 7 Clark. And I told him, no, I did not know Jeffrey Clark,
- 8 who was he. And Mr. Donoghue explained to me that he was
- 9 the assistant attorney general for environmental natural
- 10 resources division, the ENRD, and that at that time he was
- 11 the acting attorney general, acting assistant attorney
- 12 general for the civil division.
- And he said that Mr. Clark had, quote, the President's
- 14 ear. I asked him what he meant by that and he mentioned in
- passing that Clark is suggesting that the Department sign
- on to some letter suggesting that the general assembly call
- a special session and to refuse to certify the electoral
- 18 college votes and that he wanted the Department to
- intervene or join in -- I can't remember exactly -- in a
- 20 civil lawsuit that was filed by the Trump campaign.
- 21 And I said, well, that seems -- that's very -- that's
- 22 crazy. That's just highly crazy. I think the words I used
- 23 were -- I think Rich who used the words that this is
- 24 bat-shit crazy. And that was the description.
- 25 Rich told me that Jeff Clark would be calling to --

- 1 and that maybe I could talk to him about what we found and
- 2 to dissuade him from, you know, trying to suggest that
- 3 there was widespread fraud in Georgia, and I told Rich
- 4 that, well, he can call me all he wants but it's not going
- 5 to change anything. We're not going to be joining any
- 6 lawsuits that's not, you know, that's not substantiated by
- 7 any evidence.
- And at that time, Mr. Donoghue mentioned to me that,
- 9 in fact, I wouldn't be surprised if the President called
- 10 you directly. And I said, well, he could call me all he
- 11 wants, the answer is not going to change.
- 12 And then that was the end of that conversation.
- 13 Mr. Clark never called, or at least we never connected if
- 14 he tried to call, nor did the President ever call me
- 15 directly.
- 16 Q. Okay. And when you had this discussion with
- 17 Mr. Donoghue, was this a call from Mr. Donoghue
- 18 specifically about this topic or did it come up in the
- 19 course of some other discussion were having?
- 20 A. Mr. Donoghue I think called me to give me a
- 21 heads-up or forewarning that Jeffrey Clark, who I am not
- familiar with, would be calling me. And so at the same
- time we talked about kind of what's happening, and my
- impression in my conversation with Mr. Donoghue was there
- was a lot of things being asked of the Main Justice, the

- 1 acting attorney general and the deputy attorney general
- 2 that the Department take, and that they were resisting
- 3 because they were not substantiated by the evidence. And
- 4 so I could sense the frustration in Mr. Donoghue's voice,
- 5 but he had called to let me know that Mr. Clark or the
- 6 President might be calling, and that was the reason for the
- 7 call.
- 8 Q. And then you seem to have indicated as such but
- 9 just to make it clear on the record, prior to January 1st,
- would you have ever had any reason to work with Mr. Clark
- in any capacity at all?
- 12 A. Yes, there is a possibility because if we have
- 13 a case that we have concurrent jurisdiction with ENRD,
- which is the division in charge with investigating
- environmental-related cases, but I have not had any cases
- 16 that -- where we overlapped in jurisdiction so I did not
- 17 have an occasion to work with or talk to Jeff Clark.
- 18 Q. And then in regards to election fraud or just
- 19 election matters and the cases that arise from them in
- general, would you ever have had any reason to work with
- 21 Mr. Clark?
- A. Given the fact that he was ENRD and chief of
- 23 civil, related to election-related items, unless, I guess,
- 24 there was some civil lawsuit that the Department was going
- to file, I don't think that there would be any occasion for

- 1 him -- to work with him in that regard.
- Q. And you indicated that Mr. Donoghue thought
- 3 that the plan that Mr. Clark was interested in pushing
- 4 forward was bat-shit crazy, but did it strike you as
- 5 unusual that the acting attorney general -- or I'm sorry,
- 6 that the acting head of the civil division would want to
- 7 reach out to discuss voting or election matters with you?
- 8 A. I thought that was very strange and highly
- ⁹ irregular.
- 10 Q. And you said that Mr. Donoghue indicated that
- 11 the President might try to call you directly. Have you
- 12 ever had any situation where someone at Main Justice told
- 13 you that the President might contact you directly for an
- 14 issue?
- 15 A. I have not.
- 16 Q. In your experience, would that be unusual for
- any U.S. Attorney to hear that the President might contact
- 18 them directly?
- 19 A. That would be highly irregular given the fact
- 20 that it's been -- traditionally also there are policies in
- 21 place where communication between the White House and the
- Department is highly regulated through the Office of Legal
- 23 Affairs and of course the front office, the AG and the DAG.
- Q. And in the course of this discussion with
- 25 Mr. Donoghue, did the two of you ever develop some sort of

- 1 plan for how you would deal with potential reach-out from
- 2 Mr. Clark or the President?
- 3 A. There was no plan. Mr. Donoghue indicated that
- 4 Mr. Clark would call me to talk about what's happening in
- 5 Georgia and potentially, you know, joining in a lawsuit.
- 6 And I told him that's -- we're not going to do that because
- 7 there's no evidence of any fraud.
- Q. And you're at least not aware of any attempt
- 9 that Mr. Clark tried to reach out to you, is that correct?
- 10 A. I am not aware of whether or not he tried to
- 11 reach me or not. I had not talked to him.
- 12 Q. Did you follow up with Mr. Donoghue or
- 13 Mr. Rosen at all about this lack of outreach from
- 14 Mr. Clark?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Did you become aware at some point that
- 17 Mr. Clark was interviewing witnesses in connection with the
- 18 State Farm Arena allegations?
- 19 A. I was not aware of that.
- Q. Do you have any idea under what authority he
- 21 would be able to take such investigative steps like that?
- 22 A. I am not aware of any authority that he would
- have.
- Q. And you indicated that you weren't aware, but
- just to make it clear on the record, you did not authorize

- 1 him to interview these witnesses, is that correct?
- 2 A. No. No.
- Q. Generally speaking, how might a DOJ official's
- 4 unauthorized and unknown-to-you outreach to a witness
- 5 relevant to an investigation conducted by your office or
- 6 that your office may conduct, how would that impact the
- 7 work of your office or how might it?
- 8 A. Well, you could certainly interfere with an
- 9 ongoing investigation to the extent that it exists. Number
- 10 two, as a professional courtesy, when you have -- when you
- 11 operate or do something in the same department in a
- 12 jurisdiction, you know, you should coordinate and let folks
- know, who is responsible for that district, what activity
- 14 is going on.
- In this case, none of that happened, if in fact -- I
- wasn't even aware that he was interviewing witnesses
- 17 related to the State Farm video incident.
- 18 Q. So on January 2nd, President Trump called
- 19 Georgia Secretary of State Brad Raffensperger to push him
- 20 to find exactly enough votes to overturn the election
- 21 results in Georgia.
- Were you aware at the time that President Trump made
- 23 this call?
- A. I was not aware of that on January 2nd.
- Q. Do you recall when you became aware of this

- 1 call?
- 2 A. I saw it on the news. It was in news reports
- of a recording being released, I believe it was January
- 4 3rd, and there was -- at that time when I saw kind of the
- 5 headlines, I did not see -- I didn't read the transcript or
- 6 anything like that. There was just a summary of what the
- 7 call entailed. And so it was a day after January 3rd, the
- 8 day before I resigned, that I found out about the call.
- 9 Q. Can you describe your reaction to finding out
- 10 about the call?
- 11 A. I was -- I was very upset, at the same time
- 12 very disappointed because the call -- the summary of the
- description about the call indicated that despite at least
- me and also the attorney general reporting up that there
- 15 had not been widespread fraud, that the President was
- 16 seeking to overturn the election or at least find ballots
- or represent that there was irregularities.
- So I was personally very concerned and I even
- 19 considered potentially resigning on Sunday. But in
- thinking about that, we had a special election coming up on
- 21 Tuesday of that week and I thought about the potential
- impact that might have on whether or not my sudden
- resignation would give some credence to the allegations of
- 24 fraud or would dissuade or be used as certain kind of
- 25 talking point. I decided against that and I decided to

- 1 stay with my original plan, which was to, you know, submit
- 2 my letter of resignation and give two weeks' notice and
- 3 leave office on Inauguration Day.
- 4 Q. All right. As you might imagine, we have some
- 5 questions about the circumstances surrounding your
- 6 resignation itself, but I'm going to put a pin on that to
- 7 ask a few more questions about this call specifically.
- 8 Did secretary Raffensperger or anyone from the Georgia
- 9 Secretary of State office reach out to your office about
- 10 this call that the President made?
- 11 A. Not that I am aware of, no.
- O. So Cleta Mitchell, who we've discussed
- previously and who also oversaw the Trump campaign lawsuits
- in Georgia, she advised President Trump on this call. Did
- she or anyone from the Trump campaign ever reach out to you
- 16 regarding the allegations that he pushed in this call?
- 17 A. I do not know -- I am not aware of any such
- 18 contacts.
- 19 Q. Do you know why President Trump referred to you
- 20 as a Never Trumper U.S. Attorney during this call?
- 21 A. I do not know other than my conversation with
- 22 Rich Donoghue and the conversation he had at the White
- House on Sunday, January 3rd. He referred to it as there
- 24 was a printout of an article in which I was quoted -- and
- 25 this was in 2016 -- in response to a question whether or

- 1 not then candidate Trump's candidacy had helped or hurt
- 2 efforts to recruit minorities to the GOP. And I think my
- 3 comment was that he had made it difficult to recruit
- 4 candidate and voters to GOP.
- 5 And that was the only reference that I've heard from
- 6 Mr. Donoghue's conversation, so -- and I believe just in
- 7 context that he probably took that and his perception that
- 8 somehow that these allegations of fraud are not being
- 9 looked into and he probably concluded that I'm not doing
- 10 those because I was a Never Trumper, which is not true.
- 11 Q. And to point out the obvious, you became a
- 12 presidentially appointed and Republican Senate confirmed
- official as the U.S. Attorney of Georgia under the Trump
- 14 Administration, is that correct?
- 15 A. That's correct. And during the vetting
- 16 process, they knew about the quote, they knew about the
- 17 article and I was asked about it multiple times.
- 18 Q. Did you have an understanding at any point
- 19 prior to this call, though, that President Trump was
- unhappy with the job you were doing as U.S. Attorney?
- 21 A. I was not aware of any such dissatisfaction.
- Q. And then also prior to the call, did you have
- 23 any understanding that President Trump wanted your
- 24 office -- that President Trump specifically wanted your
- office to do more to investigate claims of election fraud?

- 1 A. During my conversation with Rich Donoghue in a
- 2 few days leading up to my resignation, Mr. Donoghue let me
- 3 know that the President was very unhappy that the
- 4 Department in general were not doing anything about the
- 5 allegations of fraud and, in particular, in Georgia and he
- 6 was very focused on Georgia.
- 7 So although he didn't tell me that he was particularly
- 8 unhappy with my performance, but I took that as his
- 9 dissatisfaction with the Department of Justice in general,
- in his mind, that they were not doing enough.
- 11 Q. And so you said that one reaction that you
- 12 had -- or let me know if I'm characterizing this correctly.
- 13 You said that one reaction that you had to learning about
- 14 this call between the President and the Secretary of State
- of Georgia was you potentially resigning. Had you ever
- 16 considered resigning prior to learning of this call?
- 17 A. My plan was to leave the office after the
- inauguration. After the election, people were asking me
- 19 what my plans were. So the last week before my calls with
- 20 Rich Donoghue, I let my office, also the courts and the law
- 21 enforcement in our community partners, know that my plan
- 22 was to leave at the end of the Administration on
- 23 Inauguration Day, that -- and I told Rich Donoghue that I
- 24 will probably submit my resignation sometime the week -- a
- 25 few days after the runoff election just so that it's not

- 1 going to cause any views of potential irregularity and
- 2 that -- but my resignation would be effective on January
- 3 20th.
- 4 The reason I was focused on January 20th was,
- 5 throughout 2020, my office and people, the good dedicated
- 6 men and women in the U.S. Attorney's Office and my law
- 7 enforcement community went through a very difficult, tough
- 8 time and transition, in and of itself, is very difficult
- 9 and that in order to make sure that we minimize any impact,
- that my first assistant, who's a career person, who's been
- 11 with the Department over two decades, taking over as the
- 12 acting U.S. Attorney, would be the best way to assure the
- people in the office and the community that the office is
- 14 in good hands.
- So I was focused on January 20th and I never wavered
- 16 from that, up until January 3rd, the day you pointed out.
- 17 It was very clear to me that in spite the reporting up of
- what we found in terms of allegations, that that was being
- ignored and, if that's the case, I didn't want to be a part
- of potentially being a tool or a factor in whether or not
- 21 people should believe in the Department. I was looking out
- 22 for the Department and its people.
- I ultimately came to the conclusion that I would not
- 24 go ahead and resign abruptly, but to hold on until January
- 25 20th. And of course I think you're probably aware that

- 1 things changed very quickly on that evening and the
- 2 following morning.
- Q. So, yes, around 10:00 p.m. on January 3rd,
- 4 Mr. Donoghue emailed you asking you to call him ASAP. Do
- 5 you recall speaking with him that evening?
- 6 A. On January 3rd, yes. Yes. I had noticed that
- 7 I missed several calls from him and I saw that I had an
- 8 email from him. So I called him back that evening and
- 9 that's when Mr. Donoghue relayed to me that the President
- was very unhappy and that he wanted to fire me, that he
- 11 believed that I was a Never Trumper and Mr. Donoghue told
- 12 me that he had told Mr. Trump that he thought that was
- incorrect and that the President did not care, but wanted
- 14 me out of that spot. And then I asked -- oh, oh, I'm
- 15 sorry. I missed a detail.
- So I can't remember if it was Mr. Donoghue or someone
- else had mentioned to the President that I was submitting
- 18 my resignation that week, the first week of January, and I
- 19 think Mr. Donoghue had suggested that instead of doing a
- 20 firing, which I think everybody from the Department was
- 21 kind of advising against, that he go ahead and just accept
- 22 the resignation and so that -- and let him move on.
- Mr. Donoghue indicated that Mr. Trump -- President
- 24 Trump agreed, but that I have to get out of there. And
- then Mr. Donoghue then asked me like how long were you

- 1 planning to stay after you submit your resignation. I told
- 2 him that, you know, through inauguration. And Mr. Donoghue
- 3 said, no, unfortunately, it can't be that long.
- 4 He then offered to place me in another senior role in
- 5 the Department. I told him, Rich, thanks but no thanks,
- 6 I'm done. And then he said, all right, you know, in terms
- 7 of your announcement of your resignation, you could do
- 8 whatever you wanted. He said, you know, you could have a
- 9 press conference, you could, you know, make a big fuss or
- 10 submit -- just do it quietly. He suggested that it would
- 11 be best for everybody if you did it quietly. And I said,
- 12 well, let me think about that, at that time. And then I
- 13 hung up.
- And then the following morning, very early on, I had
- 15 called Mr. Donoghue, telling him that I'm on my way to the
- office and wanted some clarification as to why I was asked
- to resign early. And Mr. Donoghue explained that the
- 18 President felt like I was not doing enough and he believed
- that you were not doing enough because you were a Never
- 20 Trumper. I asked him who else was present during this
- 21 conversation, and he -- from my recollection, he told me, I
- think it was the acting attorney general, Rich Donoghue,
- 23 Mark Meadows, Pat Cipollone, White House counsel, and I
- 24 think there was the deputy White House counsel in the room,
- 25 plus the President.

- What I forgot to mention is the night before, I asked
- 2 Mr. Donoghue if my first assistant would be allowed to
- 3 assume the acting attorney general role -- I mean, acting
- 4 U.S. Attorney role because I was concerned about kind of
- 5 the office and how they would take that. And Mr. Donoghue
- 6 indicated that unfortunately that when he brought that up
- 7 with him, and acting attorney general brought it up, the
- 8 President's response was, well, who's that? You know, I
- 9 don't know that guy. And then he had brought up the fact
- 10 that how about that Bobby Christine guy? I heard good
- 11 things about him.
- 12 And he indicated to me that they then called
- 13 Mr. Christine from the White House and told him that he
- 14 would be taking over as the acting U.S. Attorney for the
- 15 Northern District. Mr. Donoghue indicated that
- 16 Mr. Christine was very confused. It was kind of out of the
- 17 blue for him but that he would have questions about whether
- or not he could serve him as the head of two different
- 19 districts. And I believe at the time they got an opinion
- 20 from the office of legal counsel that they could. And that
- 21 was the scope of the conversation on the evening of the
- 22 3rd.
- The following morning when I talked to Mr. Donoghue
- 24 about my resignation, I had told him that, you know, I'm
- 25 going to just submit a very bland resignation. I don't

- 1 want this to be perceived in a way that may impact the
- 2 election or impact the Department in the negative way, and
- 3 so I'm going to just go quietly. And he then asked me
- 4 again, he was like, are you sure you don't want to stay in
- 5 a role? And I said, no, it's fine. I think I'm done with
- 6 it. And then I also told him that I was very honored to
- 7 serve with him and I told him that -- I told him to hang in
- 8 there because I knew kind of the pressure that he was
- 9 facing, and so I got off the phone with him.
- Then I called my first assistant. I didn't reach him.
- 11 Then I called Mr. Christine on my way in to work, and
- 12 Mr. Christine was quite apologetic and was kind of confused
- about the situation. I told him that, look, I gave him
- 14 a -- I said, hey, listen, I think what's important is the
- transition here. It's going to be very disruptive to the
- office, yours and mine, so why don't we set up a leadership
- call between my team and your team at noon that day to go
- over all the cases, and at that time he asked me to set up
- 19 a briefing of all the election-related cases. And I told
- 20 him I would do that.
- 21 And after that, I went to the office and then I
- finally contacted my FAUSA and told him of my resignation,
- which he was obviously surprised, and I told him that he
- 24 would not be acting U.S. Attorney, just to give him a
- 25 heads-up so he would now be completely blind-sided by what

- would be a normal procedure, that the FAUSA become the
- 2 acting U.S. Attorney pursuant to the Vacancy Reform Act.
- Q. So I have several follow-up questions so I'll
- 4 try to go through them in an orderly fashion. So you had
- 5 earlier indicated that one reason why you intended to serve
- 6 until the end of the Trump Administration was to allow the
- 7 transition to be as smooth as it possibly can be. So when
- 8 you found out that Mr. Christine, regardless of his own
- 9 qualifications, since he was already serving as U.S.
- 10 Attorney, would be appointed to replace you rather than
- 11 having your first assistant move up, was that one of those
- 12 kinds of sort of disruptions you were trying to avoid by
- 13 not originally resigning before the end of the
- 14 administration?
- 15 A. That's correct. That was my goal to try to
- 16 stay until the inauguration so that the transition would be
- 17 as smooth. And obviously my conversations with the deputy
- 18 attorney general and what I knew behind the scenes, what
- was going on, was kept from the rest of the office. I was
- very sensitive to kind of the morale of the office and the
- 21 Department in general, and so I tried to make it as smooth
- 22 as possible but obviously that did not happen.
- 23 Unfortunately, that didn't go as I intended.
- Q. And obviously -- or I shouldn't say obviously,
- but presumably the men and women in your office are quite

- 1 hardworking and so was U.S. Attorney Christine, but in
- 2 terms of this kind of disruption, what kind of effect can
- 3 they have? Why would a disruption like this -- why could
- 4 disruptions like this affect -- why and how could they
- 5 affect the work of the office?
- A. Well, first of all, we were in the middle of a
- 7 pandemic, as you recall. It was already disruptive.
- 8 People are very worried about the operations. And given
- 9 the fact that 2020 was a very challenging time for those in
- 10 law enforcement, particularly who are black and people
- of -- communities of color, I think it was a very stressful
- 12 2020 in general, given those things.
- And the fact that, you know, I tried to keep --
- 14 transition times are always tough because people always
- wonder what's going to be next, and so you want to try to
- be transparent about what's happening and what's going to
- 17 happen. And we had a lot of new employees that joined the
- office in the past two years who had never been through the
- 19 transition and that raises a certain level of stress. And
- 20 it does. And so my first assistant and I always tried to
- 21 make plans to make sure we assure everybody that things
- will be fine, that the Department goes on regardless of who
- 23 may be in the White House or who may be the attorney
- 24 general. Maybe the priorities change but, you know, to new
- employees of DOJ, that sometimes -- until they go through a

- 1 transition, they always have that doubt.
- 2 So we try to make it as smooth as possible and that
- 3 was my number one concern just given kind of where the
- 4 office was in terms of morale and kind of mental health.
- 5 And not having to come in and connecting at a level and a
- 6 personal level, that's another layer of kind of stress that
- 7 adds and so any kind of disruption like this that's
- 8 traumatic and unplanned certainly I think would have a
- 9 negative impact on the morale of the office, and in turn,
- 10 the productivity as well.
- 11 Q. And you were discussing earlier when you had
- 12 either your first or second call with Mr. Donoghue on the
- 13 night of the 3rd going into the 4th, that you understood
- 14 the pressure he was under. Could you tell us a little bit
- more about what you understood that pressure to be?
- 16 A. Well, Mr. Donoghue and I, prior to him becoming
- the acting deputy attorney general, we were colleagues. He
- was the U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District, so we had a
- level of relationship that was a little bit different than
- 20 just someone who was a supervisor in Main Justice.
- So we would talk about what he was doing. I was
- 22 concerned about how he's holding up, in particular, because
- 23 I knew there were things being asked just of what the
- 24 Department -- of the Department from the White House that's
- 25 highly unusual. And in fact, Mr. Donoghue had indicated

- 1 that on several occasions when the Attorney General and the
- 2 Acting Attorney General would kind of dissuade the
- 3 President or someone from doing -- and asking them to do
- 4 something, that they had offered to resign or they were
- 5 afraid that they would be fired.
- And so from that conversation and just the context of
- 7 it, I felt that Mr. -- Acting Attorney Rosen and deputy --
- 8 yeah, Acting Deputy Attorney Donoghue were pushing back at
- 9 some of the things that were being asked of the Department.
- 10 Q. And then prior to this late January 3rd call
- 11 with Donoghue, despite the Trump/Raffensperger call on the
- 12 2nd that you found out about on the 3rd, you had, prior to
- the call with Donoghue, concluded that you still would not
- 14 announce your resignation until after the Georgia runoff
- 15 election and you would stay until the end of the
- 16 administration?
- 17 A. That's correct, yes.
- 18 Q. And can you just describe just like a little
- bit, with a little bit more detail, how exactly during the
- 20 call with Donoghue you decided that you would submit your
- 21 resignation on Sunday the 4th?
- A. No, it was not -- oh, okay. I see what you
- mean.
- So I had already intended to submit my resignation the
- 25 following Wednesday or so, later in that week, and

- 1 Mr. Donoghue, when we talked about how -- what was your
- 2 plan in terms of how long we were going to stay, I said to
- 3 till the 20th, and Mr. Donoghue said, unfortunately, that's
- 4 not going to work. Maybe two or three days, you know. And
- 5 so they asked me to submit the resignation earlier, which
- 6 was immediate, and Rich asked if I would -- that they could
- 7 put me in another SES position of the Department. I said
- 8 no, that's fine, I'm kind of -- I'm done.
- 9 So I submitted the resignation immediately as they
- 10 requested, since the President wanted to accept it
- immediately, and so that's why I submitted on January 4th
- instead of the Wednesday.
- 0. And so was your understanding that if it
- weren't handed in, the resignation, on the 4th or at least
- the 5th, earlier than you had intended, then the President
- 16 would fire you? Was that your understanding from the call?
- 17 A. I don't know if that would be the case but, I
- mean, obviously that's what I probably -- that's what my
- 19 thinking process was. I mean, there's two way -- I work at
- 20 the pleasure of the President, regardless of whether or not
- 21 he thinks -- what his belief in my performance or -- is
- legitimate or not or he could ask me to resign for any
- 23 reason since -- so I view it that way. That was -- that's
- 24 the tradition of the office and that is what the
- 25 Presidential appointment process is like.

- 1 So I didn't really think much of it. I didn't want
- 2 the issue to become something about like my protest in
- 3 terms of having the President fire me. So I thought for
- 4 all those involved, in particular kind of the office and
- 5 everyone else who has been kind of watching Georgia, that
- 6 going quietly and with minimal amount of disruption was the
- 7 best way to go, and hence I was very vague in my
- 8 resignation letter but it was pretty clear that they wanted
- 9 my resignation immediately.
- 10 Q. I have a couple more questions before I turn it
- 11 back to my colleague and we end this round. So after you
- 12 submitted, formally submitted your resignation, Assistant
- 13 Attorney General for the Office of Legal Counsel, Steve
- 14 Engel, emailed you to say, "Many thanks for all your
- 15 service to the Department."
- Do you remember that email?
- 17 A. I do.
- 18 Q. Did you understand this thanks to be for
- 19 anything specific?
- 20 A. No. Mr. Engel and I started working at the
- 21 Department when we were summer law interns back in 1998.
- 22 So I've known Mr. Engel for a very long time. He served in
- the Bush Administration, W. Bush Administration, and I was
- 24 an AUSA in the Bush Administration and we also served in
- 25 the Trump Administration. So we have a little bit of

- 1 history in the Department, and so I think -- I read that as
- 2 Mr. Engel just saying farewell since I am leaving and I
- 3 didn't read any more into that other than just a kind note
- 4 to say farewell.
- Okay. So is it accurate to say then that you
- 6 had not interacted with Mr. Engel in regards to any Georgia
- 7 election fraud allegations or this tension with the
- 8 President?
- 9 A. That's correct. I have not had dealings with
- 10 him at all related to the election issue.
- 11 Q. Okay. Thank you. And then also in response to
- 12 your resignation, Mr. Donoghue emailed you the next day to
- call you a class act. Did you understand this phrase to be
- 14 for anything specific?
- 15 A. I took it as just my general note to the U.S.
- 16 Attorney community and my colleagues. I knew that there
- were a lot of folks doing the right thing, following the
- 18 rule of law and defending it. And so I was telling them
- 19 that I support them and I want them to stay because that's
- what our country and the Department requires.
- 21 And I think Mr. Donoghue, given his history with the
- Department, he's a longtime AUSA prior to becoming U.S.
- 23 Attorney, I think he liked the message that I sent to the
- 24 rest of the community.
- Q. And then on January 4th when you officially

- 1 submitted your resignation, were you aware of any evidence
- 2 or credible allegations of widespread election fraud
- 3 sufficient to affect the results of the 2020 election in
- 4 Georgia?
- 5 A. I was not aware of any evidence that indicated
- 6 widespread fraud or anything that would affect the actual
- 7 result of the election in Georgia.
- 8 Mr. Charlet. Okay. I'll turn it back over to you,
- 9 Sara.
- 10 Ms. Zdeb. Great. I think I have about three or four
- 11 minutes left in this round. And I will try to stick to
- 12 that.
- 13 BY MS. ZDEB:
- 14 Q. One unrelated question I just wanted to make
- 15 sure to close the loop on. You had said at some point
- 16 earlier in our discussion when you were discussing the
- ballot shredding allegations that were subsequently brought
- 18 to Mr. Christine's attention the day after he assumed the
- 19 role as acting U.S. Attorney, I think you had said you had
- 20 previously been made aware of those allegations by way of a
- 21 conversation with a state senator.
- 22 Could you tell us who that state senator was?
- A. It's Bill Ligon, L-i-g-o-n.
- Q. Thank you. Jumping to -- I think you said it
- was either on or around December 30th when Mr. Donoghue

- 1 called you with essentially a heads-up that Jeffrey Clark
- was pursuing the plan that Mr. Donoghue referred to as bat
- 3 shit crazy, he said -- or you indicated that he told you
- 4 that Mr. Clark or the President might call you directly and
- 5 that neither the President nor Mr. Clark ever did reach out
- 6 to you about that particular proposal.
- 7 Did anyone else, either within the Department or
- 8 within the White House, reach out to you about the bat shit
- 9 crazy proposal?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. And then finally, when it came to this sequence
- of events around January 3rd and 4th when you had these two
- 13 conversations with Mr. Donoghue about your resignation,
- just so I am clear on what you had communicated to
- 15 Mr. Donoghue about the timing and when, it sounds like
- prior to the call you had with him on the 3rd, you had made
- 17 him aware that you were planning to submit your resignation
- 18 at some point during the first week of January after the
- 19 Georgia Senate runoff, is that correct?
- 20 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And because it sounds like during that call on
- the 3rd he, Mr. Donoghue, asked you when you planned to
- 23 actually resign as opposed to when you planned to announce
- your resignation, the fact that Mr. Donoghue was announcing
- 25 that on the night -- or I'm sorry, the fact that

- 1 Mr. Donoghue was asking you that on the night of the 3rd
- 2 makes me think you had not previously communicated to
- 3 Mr. Donoghue on what date your resignation would become
- 4 effective. Is that correct?
- 5 A. No, I told him. I told him I'm going to stay
- 6 until the inauguration. I don't think -- you know, he may
- 7 not remember that, but I've always intended to stay until
- 8 the inauguration because I wanted my FAUSA to become acting
- 9 U.S. Attorney.
- In effect, I didn't -- part of the reason is I felt
- 11 like that leaving while there was a Senate runoff election
- would send the wrong message to the public and so I tried
- to do what normally happens during a change in
- 14 administration, which is the new administration asks for,
- by tradition, the resignation of all the U.S. Attorneys.
- In my case, I wanted to give two weeks' notice to
- people, so that I settled on the first week of January as
- 18 the time that I was going to publicize that I'm leaving
- 19 effective January 20th.
- 20 As a matter of fact, when I first drafted the
- 21 resignation letter, I had that in there, that effective
- 22 January 20th. It was the morning of when I got the call --
- on January 4th when I edited the letter to eliminate the
- 24 effective and change it to immediately and that's the
- 25 letter I sent.

- 1 Q. Thank you.
- Ms. Zdeb. And if I could beg your and my colleagues
- on the Ranking Mmember's staff for just a little bit of
- 4 indulgence, I have maybe two or three final very quick
- 5 questions and then I think that may be it for our side. So
- 6 if I could just wrap those up right now.
- 7 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Sara, I think that's fine. When you
- 8 say wrap it up for your side, are you talking about for
- 9 this round or do you want to do another round after this?
- 10 What's your plan? And we can go offline and talk about
- 11 this if you would like to.
- Ms. Zdeb. Yeah. Why don't we go off the record for
- just a second.
- Mr. Flynn-Brown. Okay.
- 15 (Discussion off the record.)
- 16 Ms. Zdeb. We can go back on the record.
- 17 BY MS. ZDEB:
- 18 Q. So I understand you to be saying, Mr. Pak, that
- 19 at some point before January 3rd, when you had this
- 20 conversation late at night with Mr. Donoghue, you had
- 21 communicated to him both that you expected to tender your
- 22 resignation at some point shortly after the runoff the
- 23 first week of January and that you told him that you were
- 24 planning to actually resign at the conclusion of the
- 25 President's term.



- 1 Is that a fair summary of what you just explained?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And so is it also fair to say that you had not
- 4 told Mr. Donoghue, prior to that late night call on January
- 5 3rd, that you were intending either to tender your
- 6 resignation or to actually resign the very next day on
- 7 January 4th?
- A. I'm sorry, can you rephrase that, Ms. Zdeb?
- 9 Q. You had not told Mr. Donoghue, prior to that
- 10 conversation on January 3rd, that you were intending both
- 11 to submit and to actually resign as of the very next day,
- 12 January 4th, is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes, that's correct. I did not say that to
- 14 him.
- 15 O. You alluded to a second discussion with
- 16 Mr. Donoghue early in the morning on January 4th as you
- were headed into the office to make your announcement, and
- 18 I think you told us that during that conversation, you
- 19 asked Mr. Donoghue again for an explanation about why you
- were being asked to resign early and you said that
- 21 Mr. Donoghue told you something to the effect of the
- 22 President did not believe you were -- or I'm sorry, the
- 23 President believed you were, quote, not doing enough.
- 24 Did you have some understanding of -- or some
- impression as to what he meant by "not doing enough"?

- 1 A. No. The impression I got was that pursuing
- 2 additional cases other than outside of kind of what would
- 3 normally happen in these type of cases.
- Now, you have to understand, Ms. Zdeb, from my
- 5 perspective, I had already reported up at least one of the
- 6 allegations that Mr. President -- the President had
- 7 referred to, which is the State Farm video. And to my
- 8 knowledge, that was reported up to the attorney general and
- 9 I'm presuming that the attorney general told the President
- 10 about there's nothing to those allegations.
- 11 So my perspective was that the President did not want
- 12 to believe what I reported up. And so that kind of gave
- 13 kind of context hopefully of my mindset. So if the
- 14 President thought that I was being ineffective, there was
- 15 really no reason for me to continue in the role, although I
- 16 disagree maybe in his ultimate conclusion on that.
- But Mr. Donoghue had explained that the President felt
- 18 like I wasn't doing enough. I presume that he means all
- 19 the other allegations that were made about the Georgia
- 20 election that I did not report up as to the status.
- Q. Understood. And I think my final question
- is -- has to do with whatever it was that Mr. Donoghue
- relayed to you during those two phone calls about the
- 24 President's decision to bypass your FAUSA, Mr. Erskine, and
- instead go outside the line of succession and appoint

- 1 Mr. Christine as acting U.S. Attorney.
- 2 During either of those two phone calls with
- 3 Mr. Donoghue, did he convey to you that the President had
- 4 indicated to him some belief that Mr. Christine would do
- 5 enough or would do something to look into the allegations
- 6 that he presumably believed you had not done enough to look
- 7 into?
- 8 A. No, nothing specifically like that. My
- 9 recollection is Mr. Donoghue had relayed that Mr. President
- 10 had heard good things about Bobby Christine, and that was
- 11 the extent of it. So I don't think you can read anything
- 12 more to that, you know. And I was -- you know, once -- I
- 13 knew Mr. Christine. We worked very closely because we were
- 14 U.S. Attorneys in the same state. But I knew he was --
- 15 he's a military person and he knows the chain of command.
- 16 And frankly I've looked at it as he was stuck in a very
- 17 difficult place.
- I had reported to him that we looked and there was no
- 19 substance to the allegations of election fraud. And I
- 20 believe it was reported that he found the same. But he's
- 21 an honorable man who was stuck in a very difficult spot and
- 22 so -- but I knew that he would do the right thing given the
- 23 evidence. I was very confident in him taking over,
- 24 although it was highly unusual and very disruptive to the
- 25 office.



- 1 Ms. Zdeb. Thank you. With that, it is 1:34 and we
- 2 can go off the record.
- 3 (Discussion off the record.)
- 4 Ms. Zdeb. It's 1:38. We can go back on the record
- 5 and I will turn it back to my colleagues on Senator
- 6 Grassley's staff.
- 7 Mr. Flynn-Brown. Thank you very much.
- BY MR. FLYNN-BROWN:
- 9 Q. And thank you again, Mr. Pak. So I had a
- 10 couple of audio issues in that past round and I'm going to
- 11 ask you a couple of questions you may have answered
- 12 already. I apologize. So just bear with me.
- So with respect to your resignation, what day did you
- 14 start thinking about potentially resigning? And I believe
- 15 you had mentioned the thought process began before the
- 16 special election. Is there a precise date?
- 17 A. Leaving the office after the change in
- 18 administration?
- 19 Q. Yes, sir.
- 20 A. No, I was thinking about that, after the
- 21 general election when it looked like the President had lost
- that I was going to transition out early the next year. In
- 23 terms of making it public that I was going to vacate the
- office, I think that's probably what you were looking at,
- 25 it was right -- I started making public my intentions the

- 1 Christmas week in the final week of December.
- Q. And then you were offered a senior role at the
- 3 Department by Mr. Donoghue? They wanted to try to help you
- 4 out? Did I hear that correctly?
- 5 A. That's what he said. I declined that. It came
- 6 up in the context of that the President -- the -- did not
- 7 want me to have the title, U.S. Attorney. So I had to --
- 8 Q. Do you know what -- I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 9 A. I don't know. I don't know. He just offered
- 10 some role in the Department for three weeks whenever I
- 11 would transition out, and I told him no thanks.
- 12 Q. Okay. So it was your impression, then, that it
- was the President's impression that you weren't being
- 14 aggressive enough with respect to investigating and
- 15 reviewing these election fraud and crime-related
- 16 allegations. Is that an accurate summary?
- 17 A. Well, I don't know what he was thinking but the
- impression I got was he was not satisfied with the
- 19 Department's response to the allegations that were being
- 20 made.
- Q. It was possible then that the President could
- 22 have been misinformed about DOJ's efforts and quite
- possibly your efforts with respect to investigating these
- 24 types of election allegations?
- A. I think that is possible, yes.

- 1 Q. So is it accurate to say, then, that if you
- didn't resign right away, you were not 100 percent sure
- 3 whether or not you would be fired or not?
- 4 A. Oh, no, it was a logical conclusion that I
- 5 would be fired.
- 6 Q. 100 percent?
- 7 A. The conversation that was relayed to me by
- 8 Mr. Donoghue was that the President wanted to fire me. He
- 9 wanted me gone. Those are the words. And it was either
- 10 Mr. Donoghue or someone else brought up the idea that since
- 11 I was going to submit my letter of resignation that week,
- 12 why don't you -- don't fire somebody who is going to
- 13 resign. Just accept the resignation early. That was the
- 14 term.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to read to you -- and I can
- send this to counsel if you want it in front of you because
- this was not an exhibit that we had provided to you guys,
- 18 so I'm going to read you a paragraph. And again, if your
- 19 counsel wants a hard copy, we can email it to you but it's
- 20 a paragraph. The title is: Statement by Press Secretary
- Jen Psaki on the Department of Justice Leak Investigation
- 22 Policy. And I'm going to read you the paragraph and if you
- want a hard copy, we'll send it to you.
- June 5th, 2021. "As appropriate, given the
- independence of the Justice Department in specific criminal

- 1 cases, no one at the White House was aware of the gag order
- 2 until Friday night. While the White House does not
- 3 intervene in criminal investigations, the issuing of
- 4 subpoenas for the records of reporters in leak
- 5 investigations is not consistent with the President's
- 6 policy direction to the Department, and the Department of
- 7 Justice has reconfirmed it will not be used moving
- 8 forward."
- 9 Would you like me to email that or is the paragraph
- 10 sufficient?
- 11 A. I guess it depends on what the question is.
- 12 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 13 A. -- gist of it.
- Q. Fair enough. So my question to you, then, sir,
- is would you agree that any President of the United States
- 16 has a similar authority to ensure the Department and its
- 17 components have the right policy with respect to
- investigating and reviewing voter fraud and election crime
- 19 allegations?
- 20 A. I would agree that the President has that duty.
- Q. So you had testified earlier -- and I don't
- want to mischaracterize this so if this isn't what you
- 23 said, just let me know. But I believe you testified
- 24 earlier that with respect to all the data, all the voter
- 25 fraud allegations that the President had read about and

- that were public relating to 2020, the 2020 election, that
- 2 it was not unreasonable for him to have concerns regarding,
- you know, complaints, reports of crimes, things of that
- 4 nature.
- 5 So my question to you is, did President Trump's
- 6 forward-leaning posture with respect to investigating
- 7 election fraud and crime-related issues have an impact on
- 8 how DOJ and FBI investigated those claims, or would DOJ and
- 9 FBI have done what they did regardless of President Trump?
- 10 A. Well, first of all, I think your question asks
- 11 me, based on -- you're asking me to assume what the
- 12 President knows or believes. And so based on that, if a
- person would believe what's being reported, is it an
- unreasonable position to take, and my guess is if he reads
- about all these allegations and really doesn't look into
- it, I guess you have a perception that there is a lot of
- 17 fraud out there, right? I think the evidence is contrary,
- 18 at least from my district, that it wasn't.
- But the question, I think, if I heard you correctly,
- 20 Mr. Brown, is does the President get to kind of set that
- 21 policy of enforcement or does the Department -- are they
- independent of that? I think the President does get to
- 23 kind of dictate the policy, but I think the policy is an
- 24 informed, kind of based on history of the best practices to
- 25 go about doing that.

- 1 The policy is based on generally the appearance that
- 2 the Department may have an impact on the outcome of an
- 3 election one way or the other. We don't want to be that
- 4 factor. And while I understand that you want to have a
- 5 forward-leaning policy, I think it has to be carefully
- 6 balanced.
- 7 And as far as I know, at least the way we approached
- 8 it was we followed the directive but we did it with a
- 9 careful balancing of all the interests in the existing
- 10 policy.
- 11 Q. Understood. Thank you for that explanation.
- So with respect to, then, Trump's forward-leaning
- posture with respect to 2020 and investigating all these
- 14 allegations, did that have any positive impact on DOJ and
- 15 FBI or, you know, would the Justice Department and FBI have
- 16 investigated these allegations and done these actions
- absent Trump's forward-leaning posture?
- 18 A. Historically, based on my experience with the
- 19 Department, they do investigate. It's really a matter of
- 20 timing, right? I think the President and the attorney
- 21 general wanted to let people know -- let the Department
- 22 know that you can in fact, in limited circumstances, do
- overt acts and certain investigative steps before the final
- 24 certification of election.
- But in normal due course in my previous experience as

- 1 an AUSA, I do know that the FBI and the Department of
- 2 Justice do follow up on the allegations of election fraud
- 3 after it's been certified. So I think it's really a
- 4 question of timing and not whether or not the Department
- 5 would completely ignore these allegations. I don't think
- 6 they ignore it. They do track it down. It's a matter of
- 7 when they do it.
- 8 Q. Understood. So with respect to Bobby
- 9 Christine, do you believe that he was capable of managing
- 10 your office after you left?
- 11 A. Yes. I mean, I know Bobby personally and also
- in a professional setting. He's been in the military and I
- found him to be a very conscientious decision-maker, and so
- 14 I didn't have any doubts that he would manage the office
- 15 appropriately.
- 16 Q. So then you didn't have any concerns about his
- ability to lead and get the job done then, is that correct?
- 18 A. I did have concern because of the unorthodox
- 19 way in which he was appointed to be acting U.S. Attorney.
- 20 I do think that people would have a lot of questions about
- 21 why is it that he is coming in instead of my FAUSA, which
- 22 is the normal course. I think the unorthodox nature
- 23 probably hindered him from, you know, having credibility
- 24 with the office immediately. It adds a lot of questions.
- 25 And the other thing that Mr. Christine kind of did was

- 1 to bring some of his AUSAs into the office, which I think
- 2 did not sit well with the career prosecutors that are in
- 3 the U.S. Attorney's Office in the Northern District given
- 4 the fact that my former office is about five times as large
- 5 as the Southern District of Georgia and at least as
- 6 sophisticated in terms of types of cases and qualifications
- 7 of AUSAs. So I didn't think that that was -- that moved
- 8 the ball forward in terms of helping him transition into
- 9 the role.
- 10 Q. I see. So how many additional people did he
- 11 bring with him?
- 12 A. Based on my call with Mr. Christine during the
- transition call on the day, I think he was bringing two
- 14 AUSAs for election-related investigations, and then I think
- 15 his FAUSA was involved but he was going to run the day to
- 16 day of the Southern District of Georgia.
- 17 Q. Okay. So at the end of the day, though, you
- 18 felt that Mr. Christine had a leadership ability and
- 19 quality and was ethically sound and would be able to
- 20 perform the job ably?
- 21 A. I didn't have any other -- I didn't have any
- reason to doubt that, yes.
- 23 Q. So then with respect to the President's opinion
- that, you know, he had some concerns about how possibly DOJ
- 25 and you were running these types of investigation-related

- 1 reviews and that he wanted your resignation, you know,
- 2 that's -- you would agree that that is the President's
- 3 prerogative, is that correct?
- 4 A. Yes. I served at the pleasure of the
- 5 President.
- 6 Mr. Flynn-Brown. So right now I don't have any
- 7 additional questions. I don't know if my colleague does or
- 8 not but Mr. Pak, I want to thank you for your time today
- 9 and for your service to country.
- Sara, I have two things I want to introduce into the
- 11 record.
- Mr. Pak, these have nothing to do with asking you for
- 13 a comment. I'm just going to insert two press releases
- 14 into the record.
- I don't know what exhibit we're on but we'll take care
- of that after the fact, I believe.
- So the first press release is from January 6, 2021.
- 18 This is from Senator Grassley's office. The title is
- 19 Grassley: America must be better than this.
- 20 And the second press release is on the same day,
- January 6, 2021. And the title is Grassley's Statement on
- 22 Electoral College Certification.
- 23 So at this time I think, unless there is an additional
- 24 round, that might be the end of my questioning. But sir, I
- 25 want to thank you for your service to your country and I

- 1 really appreciate your time today. So thank you.
- 2 Mr. Pak. Thank you.
- Mr. Blumenthal. Mr. Pak, if I can just add a word on
- 4 behalf of the Committee, my colleagues on the Judiciary
- 5 Committee, greatly appreciate your appearing voluntarily.
- 6 As you may have noticed, I've been on for the entire call
- 7 and I think your cooperation has been very helpful and
- 8 thank you very much for your service.
- 9 As a former United States Attorney for Connecticut,
- quite a while ago, and having said that that was the best
- job I ever had, I know that you probably miss your service
- in the Department and I thank you for that service.
- 13 Mr. Pak: Thank you.
- 14 Ms. Zdeb. And unless Mr. Charlet has anything else on
- 15 his end, and he will maybe shake his head yes or no
- indicate one way or the other -- that's a no -- I also have
- 17 nothing further and so with that, Mr. Pak, I wanted to add
- 18 my thanks to my colleagues' thanks for your willingness to
- 19 appear voluntarily, for your time this morning. We very
- 20 much appreciate it.
- 21 And unless you have anything else at this point, I
- think we can conclude this interview and go off the record
- 23 at 1:52 p.m.
- 24 (Whereupon, at 1:52 p.m., the taking of the instant
- interview ceased.)



1	
2	Certificate of Deponent/Interviewee
3	
4	
5	I have read the foregoing pages, which
6	contain the correct transcript of the answers
7	made by me to the questions therein recorded.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Witness Name
13	
14	
15	Date
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

WORD INDEX

<1> 28:25 29:1 54:17 80:9 1:34 113:1 1:38 113:4 1:52 122:23, 24 10 69:8 10:00 95:3

10:00 95:3 **10:30** 1:20 **10:31** 3:1 **10:37** 7:17 **100** 115:2, 6

1001 6:22 **10th** 8:3 **11** 1:17

11:24 39:20 **11:30** 39:21

11:31 40:*1* **12:16** 69:*10*

12:25 69:*11* **12:27** 69:*13* **18** 6:22

1998 104:21 1st 10:21 11:1 25:2, 6, 18 86:9

<2>
2 33:4, 5, 7

2,560 80:22

2002 8:16

2008 8:*16* **2011** 8:*11*

2016 91:25 **2017** 8:*12* 28:*16*

2017 8:12 28:16 **2018** 28:17

2018 28:*17* **2020** 3:*10* 9:*5*

10:21, 23, 25 25:22 28:2 29:14, 15 32:25 41:21, 24

44:23 45:1, 25 47:3 51:18 53:20

55:5 60:10, 16, 21 65:2 66:5 70:18

73:6 75:22 77:17 78:1 94:5 100:9, 12 106:3 117:1

118:13

2021 1:17 8:4 61:10 64:2 115:24 121:17, 21

20th 94:3, 4, 15, 25 103:3 108:19, 22

21st 10:24 22nd 75:22

27th 66:5 70:18 71:19 72:8 77:25

78:*13* **29th** 77:*17*

2nd 64:2 89:18, 24 102:12

<3>
3 63:23 64:20, 21
65:22 79:16, 18
30 79:14, 20
30th 65:2 80:1
83:11, 17 106:25
31st 83:17
3rd 90:4, 7 91:23
94:16 95:3, 6
97:22 101:13
102:10, 12 107:12, 16, 22 108:1
109:19 110:5, 10

<4>
4 44:7 63:19
65:24 66:1 69:22
4th 8:4 12:5 13:8
23:13 27:23 36:12
37:2 42:25 71:3
73:6 101:13
102:21 103:11, 14
105:25 107:12
108:23 110:7, 12, 16

<5>
5 69:7 79:17

598 64:17, 21

5th 15:15, 16 61:10

103:15 115:24

<6>
6 121:17, 21
600 80:10
601 64:18
6th 19:11

<7>
714 63:19, 22
735 65:23 69:24
70:15
736 65:22
737 66:9 67:17
71:23
741 63:21 68:9
742 65:23
751 54:17 55:20
753 54:22
754 54:17 61:5

< 8 > 8th 44:13

7th 34:20

<9>
9th 29:15 49:22

<A>
a.m 1:20
abide 47:17
ability 49:1 119:17

120:18 **able** 88:21 120:19 **ably** 120:20 **abruptly** 94:24

absent 118:17 absentee 75:24 77:18

absolutely 33:*15* **absolutely** 25:*15* 71:20

accept 95:21 103:10 115:13 accurate 44:10

49:2, 21 105:5 114:16 115:1 acknowledging

70:16 Act 99:2 1

Act 99:2 105:13 Acting 55:22 61:12 78:3 81:6 83:2, 3 84:11 86:1 87:5, 6 94:12 96:22 97:3, 7, 14 98:24 99:2 101:17 102:2, 7, 8 106:19 108:8 112:1 119:19

actions 118:16 **activities** 5:6 71:14 **activity** 48:16, 25 55:1, 7 65:9 89:13 **acts** 118:23 **actual** 16:10 18:3

actual 16:10 18:3 28:12 34:3 45:12 51:4 57:21 71:12 106:6 **add** 7:9, 10 78:8

122:3, 17 **addition** 9:19 63:9, 10

additional 14:18 23:24 24:11 40:4 59:11 111:2 120:10 121:7, 23 addressed 33:12 38:9 55:3

adds 101:7 119:24 adhere 82:21 Administration

92:14 93:22 99:6, 14 102:16 104:23, 24, 25 108:14 113:18

administrative 10:1,

advised 91:*14* **advising** 95:*21*

advisor 52:4 advisory 9:20 Affairs 40:8 87:23 affect 100:4, 5 106:3, 6

affiliated 80:5 **afraid** 102:5 **AG** 19:11 27:23 29:11, 13 34:4 35:9 37:8 39:1 56:1, 2, 12, 15 57:5,

9, 10, 24 87:23 **agencies** 9:2 11:12 39:6 46:20

agent 14:21 20:3 31:14 **agents** 15:24

aggressive 114:*14* **ago** 37:9, *10* 122:*10* **agree** 33:22 50:*14* 56:3 57:*10*, *12*, *16*, *17* 58:4, *15* 59:*19*, 21 67:23 116:*15*, 20 121:2

agreed 95:24 **AG's** 28:12 **ahead** 4:20 15:3 19:16, 19 22:25 31:15 63:18 71:21 94:24 95:21 114:8 **aisle** 40:17 **alerted** 28:3 **alerting** 28:21 **allegation** 11:13, 15

allegation 11:13, 15
13:14, 22 22:10
30:4, 24 31:19, 23
37:12 50:25 62:3,
10, 19, 23, 25 63:16
74:4 75:10, 16
78:6, 12, 14
allegations 11:2, 3,

8, 24 20:6, 16 21:2,

23 23:17 26:1 27:8, 24 28:4, 12, 21 29:12 31:4 32:7 33:12, 18 34:1, 3, 5, 10 35:5 36:15, 21 37:24 38:4, 7, 14 41:20 43:1 44:5, 16 45:1, 5, 8, 11, 20, 24 49:11, 19 50:2 51:12, 23 52:5, 14, 16 55:2 56:7, 17

58:1, 24 59:1 60:8, 16 61:23, 25 62:8, 21 63:3 64:13 65:8, 11, 14, 17, 18

65:8, 11, 14, 17, 18 66:17 67:4 68:20 70:22 71:9 72:6,

11, 14, 24 73:2, 11,

14, 19, 22 74:3 76:24 77:13 78:2 79:23, 25 80:3, 7 81:9, 14 83:21 84:2 88:18 90:23 91:16 92:8 93:5 94:18 105:7 106:2, 17, 20 111:6, 10, 19 112:5, 19 114:16, 19, 24 116:19, 25 117:15 118:14, 16 119:2, 5 alleged 35:21 37:1

42:8 65:8 73:9, 20 alleges 80:22 allow 56:10 99:6 allowed 76:1 97:2 allows 29:22 alluded 110:15 alludes 31:8

Alston 2:21, 22 6:9,

11 **America** 121:19 **American** 46:22 56:25 58:13, 19 **Americans** 56:21 58:10

amount 5:*12* 67:*21* 71:*16* 104:*6* **Amundson** 47:*4* 54:22 61:9, 22

and/or 9:8 announce 102:14 107:23

announced 10:22 37:11

announcement 96:7 110:17 announcing 107:24

anomalies 73:9 **anomaly** 77:13 **answer** 6:12, 16 25:14 40:19 42:3 46:11 53:24 73:25

85:11 answered 113:11 answers 7:2 74:1 123:6

123:6
ANTELL 2:17
4:25 40:8
anticipate 5:21
anybody 19:12
Apart 30:13
apologetic 98:12
apologize 113:12
apparent 66:14
apparent 3:17 6:3

appear 3:17 6:3 24:13 122:19 **appearance** 57:19

118:*1* **Appearances** 2:*1*

appearing 3:16 5:17 6:5 122:5 appears 78:5 application 31:9 32:16 applies 6:23 **apply** 5:5 **appoint** 111:25 appointed 92:12 99:10 119:19 appointment 103:25 appreciate 3:16 4:12 40:10 122:1, 5, 20 **apprise** 48:25 apprised 55:14 **approach** 30:11, 15 56:4, 9 57:12, 15, 19, 22 approached 30:10 118:7 appropriate 21:9 33:14 115:24 appropriately 119:*15* approval 48:16 approximate 45:10 approximately 44:14 **Arena** 16:4, 25 17:2 21:24 33:21 34:6 35:23, 25 43:2 55:2 56:17 58:1 60:8 70:23 72:6, 14 88:18 **arrange** 18:15 arranged 63:12 article 17:15 91:24 92:17 **ASAP** 95:4 **Aside** 60:8 asked 13:13, 20, 23 14:6 18:21 31:16 33:25 36:25 38:6 78:22 79:3 80:6 82:18 84:6, 14 85:25 92:17 95:14, 25 96:16, 20 97:1 98:3, 18 101:23 102:9 103:5, 6 107:22 110:19, 20 **asking** 3:5 27:7 52:2 66:22 69:22 81:14 82:17 93:18 95:4 102:3 108:*1* 117:11 121:12 asks 108:14 117:10 aspects 36:9 assembly 8:10 84:16

assessment 20:12 57:13 59:20 assigned 48:10 assist 52:11 assistant 8:15 26:11 51:15, 20 84:9, 11 94:10 97:2 98:10 99:11 100:20 104:12 **associate** 9:13 14:9 41:14 **assume** 42:11 97:3 117:11 **assumed** 106:18 assure 56:24 58:13, 18 94:12 100:21 **Atlanta** 16:5 29:11 34:5 attachment 80:9 attempt 88:8 attempts 3:9 attendant 50:23 attention 10:10 21:3 28:24 63:15 70:25 78:4 79:13 106:18 **attorney** 8:2, 6, 8, 15, 19 9:7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24 10:11, 21 11:21 13:9, 10, 12, 15 14:6, 9, 11, 13, 16 15:5, 10 20:9, 13, 15, 19, 22 22:1 23:14 25:19 28:6, 9, 16 30:10 31:22, 25 32:9 35:13 36:13 40:4, 21, 25 41:12, 14 42:25 43:1, 5 44:15, 19 48:21 49:4, 6, 7, 19 50:20 51:15, 16 52:19 53:16 55:22 57:12 58:4 59:17 60:2 61:12, 14, 20 62:1 63:25 71:2 73:3 78:3 81:7 83:2 84:9, 11 86:1 87:5, 17 90:14 91:20 92:13, 20 94:12 96:22 97:3, 4, 7, 14 98:24 99:2, 10, 18 100:1, 23 101:17, 18 102:1, 2, 7, 8 104:13 105:16, 23 106:19 108:9 111:8, 9 112:*1* 114:7 118:20 119:19 122:9 Attorneys 10:6

108:15 112:14

Attorney's 10:5 11:11 14:10 25:12 26:7 27:15 30:14 41:8 42:19 47:17 48:1. 3. 15 51:14 83:15 94:6 120:3 **audio** 18:5 22:6 35:16, 18 43:5, 7, 16, 18, 19, 24 44:8 113:10 audiotape 71:14 audit 20:5 62:18 75:24 76:4, 22, 25 77:2, 6, 17, 19, 20 audited 22:2 August 1:17 AUSA 104:24 105:22 119:*1* **AUSAs** 27:16 52:2 120:1, 7, 14 AUSA's 41:3 authorities 9:4 56:19 58:3 authority 30:1 49:5, 18 53:13 56:14 88:20, 22 116:16 authorization 23:7, 19 24:9 31:15 42:1, 4 46:2 53:22 54:1 60:13 authorize 49:10 88:25 authorized 5:18 18:19 19:7, 8 31:23 32:9 avoid 99:12 **aware** 10:16 11:21 31:10, 21 32:2 34:8, 9, 17 41:1 44:15 53:17 55:12 60:4 61:23 62:20 63:14 73:11, 14, 15 74:1, 13, 17, 21 76:5 77:9, 12 83:16 88:8, 10, 16, 19, 22, 24 89:16, 22, 24, 25 91:11, 17 92:21 94:25 106:1, 5, 20 107:17 116:1 awareness 74:15 75:8 < B > back 5:12 16:20 17:6 20:9 24:23

95:8 102:8 104:11, 21 106:8 109:16 113:4, 5 background 7:23 12:21 83:12 balanced 118:6 **balancing** 118:9 **ball** 120:8 **ballot** 16:5, 16 17:7, 11 22:11 24:6 77:2 106:17 **ballots** 12:11 13:4, 14 22:12 34:7 44:2 59:3 62:4, 6, 14, 16 64:8 72:3 75:24 77:18 78:8. 10, 11, 24 79:7 90:16 **Barr** 10:21 13:10, 13, 15 14:16 19:11 20:10 25:1, 19 27:23 29:11, 13 34:4 35:9 36:13 37:8 42:25 43:1,5 49:7, 22 50:5 58:4 60:2 71:2 Barr's 57:13 base 24:21 **based** 19:9 22:17 34:19 44:21 59:22 73:12 117:11, 12, 24 118:1, 18 120:12 basically 29:18 51:22 81:13 basis 26:22 60:12 **bat** 107:2, 8 Bates 54:17, 21 55:20 61:5 63:19 64:17, 21 65:22 66:9 68:9 69:24 80:10 bat-shit 84:24 87:4 bear 113:12 becoming 8:8 101:16 105:22 **beg** 109:2 **began** 113:15 beginning 40:17 begins 54:21 69:23 **behalf** 3:15 46:22 122:4 **belief** 70:9 103:21 112:4 **believe** 12:5 13:8 15:16 16:19 33:24 40:18 43:3, 25 44:5 45:3 46:19 48:9 50:16 54:16, 22 56:22 57:8 58:10 62:23 63:18 64:4, 21 65:21

69:21 70:1, 24 71:5, 24 72:3 78:20 83:25 90:3 92:6 94:21 97:19 110:22 111:12 112:20 113:14 116:23 117:13 119:9 121:16 believed 32:17 72:13 95:11 96:18 110:23 112:6 believes 117:12 believing 83:22 bell 47:5 best 39:8 94:12 96:11 104:7 117:24 122:10 **better** 9:14 121:19 beyond 21:8 42:1, 9 46:2 53:21, 25 59:11 81:1, 15 **Biden's** 78:8 big 53:15 96:9 **Bill** 106:23 Bird 2:21, 22 6:9, 11 bit 19:22 22:19 26:5 39:10 47:13 51:9 69:20 70:18, 20 72:1 101:14, 19 102:19 104:25 109:3 **BJay** 1:13 3:6 **black** 100:10 **bland** 97:25 blind-sided 98:25 **blue** 97:17 BLUMENTHAL 2:4 3:25 4:1 122:3 **Bobby** 61:8, 11 97:10 112:10 119:8, 11 **boots** 68:19 **bottom** 37:16 39:1 67:17 69:24 **bound** 41:18 **Bowdich** 19:18 29:7, 10, 13 31:7 55:23 **box** 16:16 17:7, 11, 13 22:11 35:21 59:2 **boxes** 24:6 **Brad** 4:20, 21 81:20 89:19 BRADLEY 2:15 BRADY 2:8 4:15, 16 **Branch** 9:1 15:23 18:23 23:8 24:9 32:6 46:25 47:3,

25:24 34:3 35:8

36:4 39:14, 20, 24

69:11, 13, 16 72:19,

40:1, 16 52:24

21 79:13 84:5

21, 24 48:5, 9 52:21 55:25 57:14 59:16 break 5:14, 15 39:11, 14 69:7 **Brent** 51:21 55:19 brief 8:7 **briefing** 63:12 98:19 **briefly** 20:11 bring 11:9 120:1, 11 bringing 17:6 120:*13* **broad** 49:25 60:12 **broadly** 70:12 **brought** 70:25 97:6, 7, 9 106:17 115:10 **Brown** 117:20 **Bureau** 9:2 77:16 **Bush** 104:23, 24 bypass 111:24 **BYUNG** 1:13 3:13 **B-y-u-n-g** 3:13

< C > **CALCE** 2:18 40:6, call 11:9, 22 18:17 22:1 23:13 24:4 27:14 28:7, 9 35:13 42:25 43:4 44:8 48:6 56:12 63:14 66:4, 6 71:2 84:16 85:4, 10, 14, 17 86:7 87:11 88:4 89:23 90:1, 7. 8, 10, 12, 13 91:7, 10, 14, 16, 20 92:19, 22 93:14, 16 95:4 98:17 101:12 102:10, 11, 13, 20 103:16 105:13 107:4, 16, 21 108:22 110:4 120:12, 13 122:6 called 12:14 14:8 19:1, 15 20:3, 9, 13 27:23 28:7 31:24 36:24 52:8 72:13 83:19 85:9, 13, 20 86:5 89:18 95:8 96:15 97:12 98:10, 11 107:1 calling 17:1 84:25 85:22 86:6 calls 74:8 93:19 95:7 111:23 112:2 camera 16:4

campaign 73:6 80:3, 6, 12, 22 84:20 91:13, 15 candidacy 92:1 **candidate** 92:1, 4 **capable** 61:19 119:9 capacity 77:7 86:11 capitol 12:10 37:5 car 41:4 care 95:13 121:15 career 26:13, 14 94:10 120:2 careful 21:13 118:9 carefully 118:5 case 7:16 12:1 15:10 28:7 29:22 30:16 36:1 52:18, 21 75:2 86:13 89:15 94:19 103:17 108:16 cases 10:8, 13 11:4 13:11 26:17 28:11 30:2, 3 49:13 60:9, 21 63:13, 15 74:7 75:4 86:15, 19 98:18, 19 111:2, 3 116:1 120:6 cast 80:14 cause 25:16 94:1 **caution** 33:14 cc'd 61:9 **ceased** 122:25 **cellphone** 83:4, 6 certain 13:24 31:4 38:15 48:9, 10 49:13 56:7 62:4, 14 67:21 70:9 90:24 100:19 118:23 certainly 14:12 47:22 49:4 81:22 89:8 101:8 Certificate 123:2 certification 49:12 50:24 51:4, 8 55:8, 11 60:4 75:17 118:24 121:22 certified 29:20 49:24 60:10, 17, 22 119:3 **certify** 80:15 84:17 chain 26:25 41:24 65:6, 17 112:15 Chair 3:6, 15 chairing 62:12 Chair's 4:4 challenging 100:9 change 25:5 29:14,

16 30:9 31:10

85:5, 11 100:24 108:13, 24 113:17 changed 95:1 channels 45:16 characterization 50:1 characterizing 93:12 charge 14:21 20:3 31:14 47:2 86:14 Charles 4:16 CHARLET 2:7 3:5 4:6 7:18, 20 12:5 21:15, 17 25:9 29:4 33:9 69:16 72:19, 20 82:24 106:8 122:14 Charlet's 37:10 check 25:10, 11 **checked** 83:14 checking 77:8 Chief 2:6, 9 3:18 4:11 8:22 9:16, 25 11:18 26:11, 19 52:19, 22, 23, 24, 25 53:7, 12 54:23 68:19 75:22 76:16 81:7 86:22 choose 6:5 chooses 15:2 CHRISTINA 2:18 40:7 **Christine** 61:9, 11, *15* 63:*11* 97:*10*, *13*, 16 98:11, 12 99:8 100:1 112:1, 4, 10, 13 119:9, 25 120:12, 18 **Christine's** 106:*18* Christmas 114:1 Cipollone 96:23 circumstances 21:10 24:5 30:2 31:4 42:5 50:18 56:7 66:19 67:6, 10 81:21 82:13 91:5 118:22 City 68:11 Civil 5:5 26:15 83:3 84:12, 20 86:23, 24 87:6 **claim** 15:19 80:23 claims 56:25 58:14, 19 70:9 73:24 74:22 80:12 92:25 117:8 clarification 96:16 clarify 21:19 23:16 50:20 59:7 72:25 78:13

85:13, 21 86:5, 10, 17, 21 87:3 88:2, 4, 9, 14, 17 107:1, 4, 5 class 105:13 clear 17:13 43:6 45:15 57:5 71:11 76:20 86:9 88:25 94:17 104:8 107:14 clearance 71:17 clearly 56:4 Cleta 65:3 80:5 91:12 **clip** 14:2 **clips** 17:8 close 24:4 54:12 106:15 **closely** 112:13 **Cobb** 62:4, 17 64:10 75:23 77:1, 12, 17 colleague 3:4 4:4 7:18 36:4 40:8 49:8 69:2, 18 72:15 73:23 79:15 82:18 104:11 121:7 colleagues 4:8, 14 7:8 40:16 72:22 78:4 83:13 101:17 105:16 109:2 113:5 122:4, 18 colleague's 70:20 collected 22:4 **college** 84:18 121:22 **color** 100:11 come 13:20 15:6 16:20 27:15 37:15 39:14, 20, 23 48:14 55:16 56:22 57:1, 3 58:10 69:11 73:15 76:17 85:18 101:5 comes 11:22 81:5 comfortable 18:11 22:10 coming 11:4 21:2, 4 28:12 30:18 90:20 119:21 **command** 112:*15* commenced 1:20 comment 92:3 121:*13* commented 83:21 commitment 33:15 **COMMITTEE** 1:1 2:3 3:19 6:4 9:20 12:8 13:3 37:3 122:4, 5 Committee's 3:8 5:6, 21

communicated 20:18 32:17 107:14 108:2 109:21 communication 12:2 16:25 48:11 87:21 communities 100:11 community 93:21 94:7, 13 105:16, 24 complaint 12:13 complaints 11:3 21:4 27:15 66:21 67:8, 13 68:1, 6 117:3 complaints/reports 66:13 **complete** 5:22 6:13 **completed** 22:21, 23 30:20 35:12 44:19 58:21 completely 82:11 98:25 119:5 completing 76:21 completion 51:4 component 9:21 11:6 components 47:17 49:5 56:5 67:12 68:15 116:17 **concern** 67:25 68:5 79:7 101:3 119:18 concerned 21:7 25:4 26:3 75:9 90:18 97:4 101:22 concerning 8:21 concerns 27:23 32:8 56:1 66:20 67:7 117:2 119:16 120:24 conclude 35:4 39:13 82:17 122:22 concluded 20:12 22:7 34:11 50:4 72:9 77:18 84:2 92:9 102:13 concluding 56:20 58:9 conclusion 22:16 25:20 57:1, 4 59:1 77:20 78:20 82:15 94:23 109:24 111:16 115:4 conclusions 35:8 77:20 concur 54:25 concurrences 55:15 concurrent 86:13 conduct 29:11 34:5 39:2 56:16, 24

Clark 63:25 83:2,

5, 9 84:7, 13, 15, 25

57:25 58:5, 12, 18 59:19 89:6 conducted 18:6 22:19 89:5 conference 96:9 **confess** 69:20 confidence 57:3 confident 112:23 **confirm** 82:20 confirmation 8:5 confirmed 28:15 92:12 confirming 19:17 confused 97:16 98:12 Congress 6:17 53:23 Congressional 6:21 conjunction 18:9 connected 85:13 Connecticut 4:2 122:9 connecting 101:5 connection 38:4, 7 88:17 conscientious 119:13 consequences 47:23 conservative 30:16 57:19, 22 consider 51:3 considered 38:18 90:19 93:16 **consistent** 18:11, 13 20:4 22:7 35:15, *19* 116:5 constant 12:2 **consult** 6:4 48:13 consultation 47:20 48:18, 20, 24 52:20 **contact** 9:12, 17 14:10 27:14 48:4 87:13, 17 contacted 14:3 98:22 **contacts** 91:18 contain 123:6 contained 65:17 context 25:9 28:1, 15 92:7 102:6 111:13 114:6 continuation 55:24 59:15, 18 **continue** 16:21 17:4 24:6 44:1 111:15 contradicted 20:7 contrary 48:22 77:23 117:17 contributed 67:25 68:5 **control** 46:21

conversation 13:9 14:16, 20 15:9 31:12, 20 36:20, 22, 24 37:8 64:6 85:12, 24 91:21, 22 92:6 93:1 96:21 97:21 102:6 106:21 109:20 110:10, 18 115:7 conversations 28:10 99:17 107:13 **convey** 112:*3* conveyed 70:8 cooperation 122:7 coordinate 10:10 14:12, 24 47:22 48:1 89:12 coordinated 26:4 coordinates 18:22 27:2 coordinating 9:3 15:22 38:16 coordination 8:25 48:11 copy 13:25 14:17 115:19, 23 Corey 47:4 54:22 61:9 corner 64:2 **correct** 24:15, 17 25:4, 7, 23 27:5 28:18, 19 32:21, 22 33:20 34:22 35:5 36:16, 17 40:19, 23 41:11, 13, 21 42:14 43:3, 22 44:5, 12 49:3 51:24 52:12 56:20 58:8, 21 59:4 61:2 65:25 70:4, 7, 14 71:3, 4, 10, 11 72:12, 17, 18 73:24 74:18, 19, 20 76:22, 23 78:15, 16, 19 79:18 88:9 89:1 92:14, 15 99:15 102:17 105:9 107:19, 20 108:4 110:12, 13 119:17 121:3 123:6 correctly 36:23 93:12 114:4 117:19 Counsel 2:6, 7, 8, 10, 20 3:19, 21 4:7, 11, 16 6:4, 5, 6 7:12 42:12, 13 46:15 51:21 54:4 80:11 82:17, 20 96:23, 24 97:20 104:13 115:16, 19

Counselor 61:1

counted 80:14 counting 24:7 **country** 10:17 105:20 121:9, 25 **County** 16:5, 19 62:4, 5, 17 64:10 68:11, 16 72:24 73:9 74:22 75:23 77:1, 12, 17 78:22 80:21 couple 16:7 21:19 23:5 35:11 36:8 37:9, 10 39:13 63:7 69:24 71:6, 21 104:10 113:10, 11 course 6:20 9:18 12:*1* 13:*6* 32:20 36:14 37:25 56:10 85:19 87:23, 24 94:25 118:25 119:22 Court 74:22 75:1 80:21 courtesy 89:10 **courts** 93:20 coverage 47:11 covered 45:17 crazy 84:22, 24 87:4 107:3, 9 credence 90:23 credibility 21:23 78:14 119:23 credible 33:12, 18 34:1 35:5 44:17 53:9 106:2 crew 52:1 **crim** 11:18 52:18, 22, 23, 25 53:7, 12 **Crime** 32:6 41:20 45:1, 24 60:16, 21 66:16 67:4 116:18 crime-related 114:15 117:7 **Crimes** 9:1 12:3 15:23 18:23 19:12 23:7 24:9 28:4 31:18 32:1, 13 46:25 47:2, 19, 24 48:5, 9 52:20 55:25 57:14 59:16 66:14, 21 67:8, 13 68:1, 6 117:3 **criminal** 6:22 11:8 26:11, 18 115:25 116:*3* critical 42:3 cue 75:18 **curious** 38:18 **current** 50:13 currently 29:21

cycle 11:16 12:16 15:14 <D> **D.C** 1:7 52:24 **DAG** 63:25 87:23 **DAG's** 9:17 10:15 40:21 42:21 **DANIEL** 2:11 4:18 data 116:24 date 45:10 61:9 64:1 108:3 113:16 123:15 dated 70:18 79:18 **Date-wise** 45:8, 10 **David** 19:18 29:7, 10 55:23 day 18:6 21:21 22:3 28:9 37:5, 6 44:1 45:13 74:21, 23 76:10 83:1 90:7, 8 91:3 93:23 94:16 98:17 105:12 106:18 110:6, 11 113:13 120:13, 15, 16, 17 121:20 days 10:25 13:18 15:25 16:7 19:14 21:20 22:1, 14, 15 23:5, 12, 13 32:21 34:21 35:11 37:9, 10 43:4 44:7, 11 45:12 62:23 63:7 71:6, 13 93:2, 25 103:4 deal 57:4 88:1 dealings 47:12 59:23 61:21 105:9 deals 21:10 42:4 **death** 17:20 24:12 **debunked** 22:13 59:4 decades 94:11 **December** 10:21, 24 11:*1* 12:*5* 13:*8* 23:13 25:2, 6, 18 27:22 30:19 34:20 36:12 37:2 42:25 44:7, 13 65:2 66:5 70:18 71:2, 19 72:8 73:6 75:22 77:17, 25 78:13 79:14, 18 80:1 83:11, 17 106:25 114:*1* **decided** 16:20 20:2 26:23 90:25 102:20 decision 39:3 111:24

decision-maker 119:13 decision-making 56:14 decisions 81:25 declaration 25:1, 19 **declined** 75:2 114:5 dedicated 94:5 default 50:17 **defending** 105:18 defer 29:19 50:3 57:22 61:1 69:2 deferred 32:11 deferring 30:16 38:20 defers 42:13 46:15 54:4 definitely 14:8 delay 22:19, 23 23:10 31:13 **delayed** 56:3 57:11, 14 71:12, 16 **Democratic** 16:23 **DEO** 51:12, 13, 19 52:14 53:3 **Department** 3:9 4:21, 23, 25 5:18 8:1, 14 9:16 10:22 12:2. 13 18:23 21:12 24:10 25:21 26:16 33:14 37:11 38:3, 19 40:4 42:7 47:18 56:5, 23 57:19 58:12, 17 60:5 67:11, 15 68:2, 7, 14 81:6, 8, 17, 22 84:15, 18 86:2, 24 87:22 89:11 93:4, 9 94:11, 21, 22 95:20 96:5 98:2 99:21 100:22 101:24 102:9 103:7 104:15, 21 105:1, 20, 22 107:7 114:3, 10 115:21, 25 116:6, 16 117:21 118:2, 15, 19, 21 119:1, 4 122:12 departments 46:20 **Department's** 33:15 38:12 42:13 46:16 54:5 57:20 61:2 71:7 82:21 114:19 departure 81:21 82:1 **depends** 50:25 116:*11* depicted 16:2 17:19 18:4, 15

23:20 43:11, 15

Deponent/Interviewe e 123:2 **Deputy** 2:9 4:11 9:7, 11, 13, 15, 23, 24 10:11 11:23 14:9, 11 19:18 20:19 29:10 40:21, 25 41:12, 14 49:4 55:22, 23 63:25 76:3 86:1 96:24 99:17 101:17 102:7, 8 **describe** 9:6 30:8 47:13 53:10 90:9 102:18 described 9:24 12:11 16:12 38:15 59:11 description 45:22, 23 84:24 90:13 designate 11:5 designated 69:22 designates 51:16 designed 48:23 **despite** 32:21 90:13 102:11 destruction 51:2 detail 21:19 29:12 47:14 95:15 102:19 detailed 36:9 details 13:21 73:20 deter 56:11 determined 21:22 72:16 75:13, 19 **develop** 87:25 developed 41:6 development 75:6 developments 77:9 dictate 117:23 **different** 49:14 56:9 57:4 60:1 74:9 82:13 97:18 101:19 difficult 72:1 92:3 94:7, 8 112:17, 21 **direct** 26:20 directed 56:4 78:4 79:15, 24 direction 116:6 directions 38:25 directive 31:1 118:8 **directly** 9:7 12:13 26:8 29:14 48:7 77:1, 3 78:2 82:8 85:10, 15 87:11, 13, 18 107:4 director 16:20 19:18 29:10 55:23 **disagree** 46:8 54:2 59:22 111:16

disagreement 19:10 31:8, 11, 21, 25 32:3, 5, 15, 21, 24 55:25 59:16 disappointed 90:12 **discuss** 16:10 37:15 48:13 76:4 79:2, 6, 10 87:7 **discussed** 7:5 26:2 34:6 35:2 38:12 78:1, 5, 12, 21 83:9 91:12 discussing 27:4 72:7 101:11 106:16 discussion 13:20 19:9, 18 29:6 36:13, 15 85:16, 19 87:24 106:16 109:15 110:15 113:3 discussions 45:14 dismissed 75:18 disprove 70:22 disrespectful 63:2 **disruption** 100:2, *3* 101:7 104:6 disruptions 99:12 100:4 disruptive 98:15 100:7 112:24 dissatisfaction 92:21 93:9 dissuade 83:22 85:2 90:24 102:2 **District** 8:3, 6, 16, 19, 23 11:5, 16 14:19 17:15 18:7 25:4, 17 26:2, 6, 10, 15 27:12, 17, 20 28:17 48:7, 12 55:17 59:24 61:13. 14 63:6 89:13 97:15 101:18 117:18 120:3, 5, 16 districts 48:10 59:23 97:19 distrust 67:22, 24 68:4, 22 disturbing 84:3 divided 48:8 **division** 12:3 23:7 26:11, 16, 18 31:18 32:13 83:3 84:10, 12 86:14 87:6 division's 32:1 document 29:7 33:4, 10 64:7, 18, 19 69:22, 25 documents 64:23

doing 15:23 19:23, 25 29:23 31:13 50:19 51:3, 8 57:6 67:12, 15, 16 71:13 81:14 82:2 92:9. 20 93:4, 10 95:19 96:18, 19 101:21 102:3 105:17 110:23, 25 111:18 117:25 **DOJ** 2:15, 16, 17, 18 31:9 33:18 49:22 66:12 79:9 80:2 81:13 89:3 100:25 117:8 118:14 120:24 **DOJ's** 114:22 domain 36:16 **Dominion** 16:9 **Donoghue** 14:8, 15 15:9 19:1, 15 20:14 25:10 29:5, 6, 13 31:7, 24 32:17 34:24 35:9 46:3 55:22 57:9, 24 59:14 61:8 66:5 68:10 78:3. 22, 25 79:2 80:2 83:8, 12, 18 84:8 85:8, 17, 20, 24 87:2, 10, 25 88:3, 12 91:22 93:1, 2, 20, 23 95:4, 9, 11, 16, 19, 23, 25 96:2, 15, 17, 22 97:2, 5, 15, 23 101:12, 16, 25 102:8, 11, 13, 20 103:1.3 105:12.21 106:25 107:2, 13, 15, 22, 24 108:1, 3 109:20 110:4, 9, 16, 19, 21 111:17, 22 112:3, 9 114:3 115:8, 10 Donoghue's 59:20 86:4 92:6 **doubling** 24:23 **doubt** 25:20 101:1 120:22 doubts 119:14 **drafted** 108:20 **draw** 10:9 drew 82:19 **due** 118:25 duplication 64:22 Durbin 3:7 **duties** 9:19 46:21 duty 83:14 116:20 <E>

earlier 5:17 38:10 46:24 55:3 70:2, 19 72:16 81:20 99:5 101:11 103:5, *15* 106:*16* 116:*21*, 24 early 30:19 39:14 96:14, 17 110:16, 20 113:22 115:13 ease 4:4 **Eastern** 101:18 ECB 55:25 57:11 **ECB's** 56:1, 9 **edited** 108:23 **EDWARD** 2:21 6:8 effect 50:6 71:25 80:16, 19 100:2 108:10 110:21 effective 33:13 94:2 108:4, 19, 21, 24 efforts 3:8 70:21 92:2 114:22, 23 either 20:18 35:8 38:2 57:5 70:11, 22 75:11 81:12 101:12 106:25 107:7 110:5 112:2 115:9 elected 45:19 **election** 3:10 8:21 9:1, 5 10:23, 24 11:2, 4, 16, 17, 22, 23 12:3, 7, 15 13:11 14:19 15:13, 15, 22 17:16 18:7, 23 19:12 23:7 24:9 25:2, 5, 6, 21. 22 26:1, 7, 10, 15 27:8, 12, 17, 20 28:1, 2, 15, 18, 20, 22 29:15, 19 30:6, 11, 18, 19 31:18 32:1, 13, 25 37:4 41:20, 21 44:23 45:1, 2, 4, 6, 13, 19, 20, 24, 25 47:2, 3, 19, 24 48:5, 7, 9, 13 49:23 50:3 51:5, 18 52:20 55:5, 7, 11, 17, 25 56:10 57:3, 14, 23 59:16 60:9, 10, 16, 17, 21, 22 62:13 63:6, 12 64:12 66:16 67:3 70:9 73:2 78:2 83:23 86:18, 19 87:7 89:20 90:16, 20 92:25 93:18, 25 98:2 102:15 105:7, 10 106:2, 3, 7

108:11 111:20 112:19 113:16, 21 114:15, 24 116:18 117:1, 7 118:3, 24 119:2 election-related 41:23 51:12, 17 56:6, 8 86:23 98:19 120:14 elections 11:6 16:20 18:25 32:6 46:25 47:23 49:12 56:11 elector 73:7 electoral 84:17 121:22 **elevate** 26:24 elevated 52:18 53:17, 19 74:5, 7 75:10 eliminate 108:23 email 19:17 22:2 29:1, 5, 13 31:7 34:4, 20 54:21, 22 55:3 57:8 58:7 61:8, 22 62:2 65:2. *16* 79:*14*, *18* 80:*1*, 9 81:5 95:8 104:16 115:19 116:9 emailed 95:4 104:14 105:12 EMILY 2:16 4:23 emphasizing 49:17 employees 71:13 100:17, 25 encourage 6:3 ended 38:15 enforcement 8:22 9:2 11:12 24:1 39:6 56:4, 18 57:12, 15 58:3 68:19 93:21 94:7 100:10 117:21 Engel 104:14, 20, 22 105:2, 6 **ENRD** 84:10 86:13, 22 **ensure** 46:20 116:16 entailed 90:7 **enter** 33:3 76:1 **entered** 79:17 entire 17:8 122:6 entirely 56:17 58:2 envelopes 75:25 environmental 84:9 environmental**related** 86:15 **EOUSA** 10:7, 15

69:21

ear 84:14

equal 5:12 **equally** 33:13 **Erskine** 111:24 essentially 56:10 107:1 establish 24:24 established 11:7 78:13 **ethical** 61:19 ethically 120:19 evening 16:19 17:9 95:1, 5, 8 97:21 **event** 13:2 events 20:6 107:12 eventually 19:14 44:4 everybody 45:18 95:20 96:11 100:21 evervdav 9:9 evidence 10:23 11:25 13:5 22:3, 5, 17, 24 23:11 25:2, 21 37:11 51:2, 6 52:17 77:22 80:16, 18, 23 85:7 86:3 88:7 106:1.5 112:23 117:17 exact 12:25 17:13 49:9 64:6 exactly 12:7 20:14 22:15 25:25 26:6 30:3 32:14 34:16, 24 35:10 37:17 39:4 43:25 48:8 62:2 81:9 83:18 84:19 89:20 102:19 **example** 51:1 74:10 examples 45:23 51:7 exchange 29:9 34:25 69:18 excuse 65:4 executive 10:6 exercise 33:14 exhibit 28:24 29:1 33:5 79:17, 18 115:17 121:15 exhibits 69:21 **existing** 50:8, 9 118:9 exists 89:9 **expected** 109:21 experience 8:7 87:16 118:18, 25 experts 47:25 **explain** 84:*1* explained 54:24 84:8 96:17 110:1 111:17 explaining 34:13

explanation 18:10 22:13 25:25 36:10 38:10 43:15 62:20 110:19 118:11 express 81:8 extent 19:6 53:4 58:25 62:6 70:8 72:5 89:9 112:11 extremely 75:4

extremely 75:4 < F > facilitated 63:14 facility 64:11 facing 98:9 fact 12:25 13:17 14:22 15:5, 17 16:15 17:7, 20 26:14 50:21 56:21 58:10 70:11 79:3 83:23 85:9 86:22 87:19 89:15 97:9 100:9, 13 101:25 107:24, 25 108:20 118:22 120:4 121:16 fact-intensive 51:5 factor 94:20 118:4 facts 14:18 15:1 23:1 44:21 **failing** 66:13 fair 70:13 72:7 110:1, 3 116:12, 14 fairness 33:16 fake 22:12 **fall** 74:15 **false** 6:20 familiar 85:22 **far** 59:10 82:14 118:7 farewell 105:2, 4 **Farm** 16:4, 25 17:1 20:20 21:23 23:21 32:6 33:21 34:6 35:23, 24 36:10 37:24 43:2 55:2 56:16 58:1, 24 60:8 70:23 72:6, 14 88:18 89:17 111:7 fashion 99:4 **FAUSA** 11:18 26:19 63:12 98:22 99:*1* 108:8 111:24 119:21 120:15 **FBI** 11:6, 24 14:20, 22 15:2, 11, 22 18:7, 13, 21 19:4, 10, 16, 19, 24 20:8, 12, 25 22:24 23:5, 19 26:4 27:3, 14,

21 29:10 31:12

32:18 35:2, 3, 12, 15 38:15, 19, 25 39:7 44:4, 8, 15 49:22 52:6, 7, 10, 14 54:10 55:23 56:15 57:25 58:4 59:10 67:18, 22 68:2, 7 74:4 117:8, 9 118:15 119:1 FBI's 27:12 39:3 52:4 71:7 Federal 5:5 8:22, 23 9:1, 2 11:12 39:5, 6 **feeling** 81:24 **felons** 80:22 **felt** 31:18 96:18 102:7 108:10 111:17 120:18 **field** 27:3 54:10 **figure** 39:7 **file** 10:14 42:23 43:16 86:25 **filed** 65:4, 5 73:17 74:22 84:20 **files** 17:25 **filing** 80:21 **final** 33:3 51:8 109:4 111:21 114:1 118:23 **finalized** 29:21 Finally 7:4 98:22 107:11 **find** 13:21 14:5, 17 15:1, 4, 25 34:1 68:12 78:24 89:20 90:16 **finding** 10:24 90:9 **findings** 77:16 **fine** 18:25 39:19, 22 98:5 100:22 103:8 109:7 **finely** 50:7, 9 **fine-tuned** 50:15 **finish** 36:4 **fire** 95:10 103:16 104:3 115:8, 12 **fired** 102:5 115:3, 5 firing 95:20 first 7:18 14:23 21:18, 20 25:1 26:11 33:11 34:14 38:22 39:13 40:13 44:25 51:9 55:21 57:7 69:1 70:19, 24 76:8 94:10 95:18 97:2 98:10 99:11 100:6, 20 101:12 107:18

117:10 121:17 **fit** 26:7, 16 **five** 23:22, 23 46:4 120:4 **floor** 64:1 **FLYNN-BROWN** 2:9 4:10, 11 7:10 39:19, 22 40:10, 11 42:2, 10, 12, 16, 17 46:3, 8, 11, 14, 18 53:6, 23 54:2, 3, 7, 8 60:14, 19, 24 61:1, 4 65:25 66:2 109:7, 14 113:7, 8 121:6 focus 50:7 64:2 **focused** 45:19 50:15 83:20 93:6 94:4, 15 **folks** 44:8, 16 45:4 63:3 89:12 105:17 follow 5:7 36:8 37:22 69:17 88:12 119:2 **followed** 118:8 Following 12:16 37:6 45:13 95:2 96:14 97:23 102:25 105:17 **follow-up** 19:25 40:18 70:20 99:3 **follow-ups** 69:25 foregoing 123:5 forewarning 85:21 **forgot** 97:1 **formally** 104:12 former 3:9 120:4 122:9 forth 5:12 48:12 **forward** 27:16 82:25 87:4 116:8 120:8 forwarded 17:15 18:7 29:9 34:24 57:8 63:6, 16 80:1 forwarding 29:6 forward-leaning 30:11 31:2 49:14 117:6 118:5, 12, 17 forwards 65:6 **found** 10:22 16:3 20:21 25:5, 21 35:18 63:10 76:7 78:19 85:1 90:8 94:18 99:8 102:12 112:20 119:13 four 23:13 44:7, 10 71:13 106:10 frankly 112:16 **fraud** 8:21 10:23 11:2, 4 12:15 13:5, 11, 18 15:20 25:2, 5, 16, 22 26:1 28:1, 15, 22 29:20 30:5, 12 31:5 37:12 41:20 42:8 44:25 45:5, 24 50:2 51:11 56:10 60:15, 20 62:13 66:16 67:3 68:20 70:10 71:25 73:2 78:2 83:21 85:3 86:18 88:7 90:15, 24 92:8, 25 93:5 105:7 106:2, 6 112:19 114:15 116:18, 25 117:7, *17* 119:2 fraudulent 45:21 59:3 65:8 77:18 78:24 79:7 freely 6:4 frequently 9:17 **Friday** 116:2 front 11:17 25:13 26:24 28:10 29:7 41:6 52:18 79:21 87:23 115:16 frustrated 83:19 frustrating 12:23 frustration 86:4 **Fuchs** 76:3 **full** 12:11 13:3, 14 17:12 22:12 39:4 55:10 57:8 59:3, 5 62:4, 16 67:2 Fulton 16:5, 19 62:5 68:11, 16 72:24 73:9 74:22 78:22 80:21 functions 26:5 funneled 27:20 further 122:17 fuss 96:9 **future** 56:11

<G> Gabe 16:11 34:12 **gag** 116:1 gathering 22:24 71:14 **general** 8:10 9:7, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 22, 23, 25 10:21 13:9, 10, 13, 15 14:4, 6, 10, 13, 16 15:5, 10 20:10, 13, 15, 19, 22 22:1 23:14 25:19 27:7, 10 28:2, 6, 9, 17 29:14, 19 30:19 31:22, 25 32:9 35:13 36:13 41:12

108:17, 20 109:23

42:25 43:1, 5 44:15, 19 45:4 49:4, 6, 7, 19 50:17 55:22 57:12 58:4 59:17 60:2 63:25 71:2 77:8 78:3 81:7 83:2 84:9, 11, 12, 16 86:1, 20 87:5 90:14 93:4, 9 96:22 97:3, 7 99:18, 21 100:12, 24 101:17 102:1, 2 104:13 105:15 111:8, 9 113:21 118:21 generally 10:6 11:20 38:12 49:10, 22 50:1 55:16 68:23 72:9 73:21 89:*3* 118:*1* generals 41:14 general's 9:12, 18, 20 10:11 14:11 40:22 41:1 50:20 gentleman 52:9 **Georgia** 8:3, 6, 10, 16, 19 11:2 12:10 15:14 25:4 28:17 34:9 43:20 54:10 56:19 57:1, 3 58:8 61:13, 14 64:3, 11 65:4, 5 71:24 73:7, 10 75:1, 23 76:3, 21 77:15, 16 78:17 83:20, 25 85:3 88:5 89:19, 21 91:8, 14 92:13 93:5, 6, 15 102:14 104:5 105:6 106:4, 7 107:19 111:19 120:5, 16 Georgia-related 78:*1* **getting** 17:20 19:19 24:20 48:16 71:17 74:8 81:2 gist 37:17 116:13 Giuliani 12:9, 17 13:22 16:15 20:7 22:11 37:13, 24 38:3, 6 59:1 78:6, 7 give 5:25 8:7 11:17 25:8 30:23 35:20 37:23 38:24 45:22 57:2 83:5 85:20 90:23 91:2 98:24 108:16 **given** 13:17 15:5 24:9 86:22 87:19 100:8, 12 101:3

105:21 112:22 115:24 120:3 giving 15:18, 19 34:13 **go** 3:1, 3 4:20 5:8, 12 10:19 15:3 19:16, 19 21:13 22:25 23:1 27:13 31:15 34:3 37:14 39:23 40:1, 16 52:16 63:18 67:17 68:9, 11 69:10, 13 78:22 79:23 94:24 95:21 98:3, 17 99:4, 23 100:25 104:7 109:10, 12, 16 111:25 113:2, 4 114:8 117:25 122:22 **goal** 99:15 goes 42:8 100:22 **going** 3:3, 4 4:3 7:17, 22 10:13, 16 11:19 14:5, 14, 24 15:4, 6 17:2 20:14, 22 21:8 22:9 24:4, 22 25:17 26:4, 21 36:4 37:19 39:8 42:21 55:20 58:7 63:9, 11, 13 64:19 65:21 69:1, 5, 16 72:21 85:4, 5, 11 86:24 88:6 89:14 91:6 94:1 97:25 98:3, 15 99:19 100:15, 16 101:13 103:2, 4 104:6 108:5, 18 113:10. 22, 23 115:11, 12, 15, 18, 22 120:15 121:13 **good** 3:2 4:1, 6, 10, 15 6:8, 10 7:22 39:11 40:6 54:12 94:5, 14 97:10 112:10 GOP 92:2, 4 **Grace** 5:23 Grasslev 4:12, 16, 19 121:19 Grassley's 4:8 7:9 39:15 40:2 69:19 72:15, 23 82:18 113:6 121:18, 21 Gray 51:21 55:19 Great 5:2 7:16 24:22 39:17 40:15 106:10 greatly 122:5 **ground** 68:20

groundwork 83:12 group 52:4, 6 guess 27:7 28:1 86:23 116:11 117:14, 16 guidelines 5:8 10:14 gun 12:14 guy 97:9, 10 guys 115:17 <H> **hand** 69:5 **handed** 103:14 **handle** 11:4 handled 10:7 26:17 68:2, 7 hands 94:14 handwriting 71:24 72:1, 2 handwritten 69:23 70:2 71:22 hang 98:7 **happen** 32:20 33:22 75:6 99:22 100:17 111:3 happened 10:8 16:1 24:24 56:21 58:9 62:8, 24 63:8 happening 27:25 88:4 100:16 **happens** 48:21 108:*13* hard 115:19, 23 harm 51:3.6 **head** 83:3 87:6

73:19 74:25 89:15 37:5 76:18 85:23 hardworking 100:1 97:18 122:15 **headed** 110:17 headlines 90:5 headquarters 18:22 31:15, 17 **heads** 9:21 **heads-up** 85:21 98:25 107:*1* **health** 101:4 hear 13:15 36:23 40:13, 23 44:25 45:7 75:2 87:17 114:4 **heard** 14:23 17:18 23:4 45:9, 16, 21 64:15 92:5 97:10 112:10 117:19 hearing 12:6 20:7 37:3 45:11 64:11 help 35:10 52:1, 2 114:3

helped 92:1 **helpful** 36:9 122:7 **helping** 120:8 hev 98:14 Hi 4:6 **hidden** 72:3 78:8 hierarchy 26:9, 10 **higher** 33:25 highly 76:14 84:22 87:8, 19, 22 101:25 112:24 **hindered** 119:23 historic 56:2 Historically 118:18 **history** 76:19 105:1, 21 117:24 hit 12:12 37:6 **hold** 94:24 **holding** 101:22 home 16:18 honorable 61:18 112:2*1* honored 98:6 hope 56:11 **hopefully** 111:*13* **hoping** 36:20 **hotline** 11:7 27:9, 11 hour 5:10, 15 51:10 69:1,4 House 13:17 15:6 21:12 37:14, 20, 25 42:6 77:1 81:7, 13, 18 87:21 91:23 96:23, 24 97:13 100:23 101:24 107:8 116:1, 2 huge 50:12 hung 96:13 **hurt** 92:1 < I > idea 65:11 88:20 115:10 identification 29:3 33:8 identified 17:19 77:19 identifying 53:2

115:10 identification 29:3 33:8 identified 17:19 77:19 identifying 53:2 ignore 119:5, 6 ignored 94:19 III 80:10 illegal 68:12 80:13 imagine 11:21 91:4 immediate 103:6 immediately 76:10 103:9, 11 104:9 108:24 119:24 impact 30:6 31:6 57:21 89:6 90:22

94:9 98:1, 2 101:9 117:7 118:2, 14 **imperative** 33:12, 13 implementation 16:8 34:12 implications 47:24 **imply** 59:8, 19 **important** 98:*14* impression 30:23 67:21 85:24 110:25 111:*1* 114:12, 13, 18 inadvertently 15:19 **Inauguration** 91:3 93:18, 23 96:2 99:16 108:6, 8 incident 89:17 include 8:20 10:2 **included** 63:16 80:14 includes 81:20 including 5:6 29:23 55:1 inconsistent 44:20 incorrect 44:11 95:13 increased 57:2 incumbent 56:23 58:12, 17 independence 115:25 independent 117:22 independently 78:18 indicate 33:17 37:19, 23 122:16 indicated 36:12 70:1 86:8 87:2, 10 88:3, 24 90:13 95:23 97:6, 12, 15 99:5 101:25 106:5 107:3 112:4 indication 35:20 individual 24:18 55:13 79:23 individually 9:23 21:25 individuals 16:2 17:18, 22 18:1, 18 19:20 23:20 43:13 48:10 52:10 53:3 indulgence 109:4 ineffective 111:14 **info** 41:5 information 17:17 27:19 53:5 63:6 74:9 **informed** 20:3, 10 117:24 inherent 82:3

initial 39:10

initiate 48:21 initiating 47:20 inquiries 29:22, 23 30:2 50:19 56:6 **insert** 121:13 insofar 25:3 75:8 instance 59:25 81:23 instances 42:8 47:19 82:8 instant 122:24 insubstantial 75:11 intake 27:8, 10 51:11, 22 **integrity** 7:6 31:9 37:4 46:25 47:14 48:17 52:8 54:23, 24 55:6, 15 57:13 59:17 **intended** 62:18 99:5, 23 102:24 103:15 108:7 **intending** 110:5, 10 intentions 113:25 interact 9:10 interacted 9:7 105:6 interactions 9:22 10:1. 3 interest 13:6 interested 87:3 interests 118:9 interface 52:9 interfere 89:8 interjecting 38:19 internally 10:15 30:14 interns 104:21 interpretation 19:11 32:3, 15 60:1 intervene 84:19 116:3 intervening 56:12 INTERVIEW 1:13, 20 3:6, 7 6:15, 23 7:5 10:20 16:2 17:18 18:20 19:6, 8, 16, 19, 24 20:1 21:16 31:13 34:13 35:18 46:3, 4 71:12 81:19 82:11 89:1 122:22, 25 interviewed 18:18 23:22 24:8 43:13 44:8, 16 interviewing 43:10 88:17 89:16 interviews 5:7 17:25 18:3, 5 19:4, 23 20:4, 12 22:18, 25 23:6, 11, 15

29:10, 24 31:24 32:18, 20 34:4 35:12 38:17 39:2 43:9, 12, 14, 20, 23 44:3, 14, 19, 21 55:1 56:16 57:25 58:5 71:15, 18 **introduce** 3:20 6:6 40:5 121:10 investigate 12:1 33:18 42:7 62:9 67:12 73:24 74:11 92:25 118:19 investigated 28:5, 22 34:10 60:3 62:21 78:18 117:8 118:16 investigating 14:25 52:5 86:14 114:14, 23 116:18 117:6 118:13 investigation 3:8 5:21 6:21 7:7 9:3, 4 11:14 13:19 14:4 16:13 19:2 20:8 25:15 29:19 30:21 33:22 34:16, 21 39:8 47:20 48:22 50:3, 18 56:24 57:20 58:13, 18, 21, 22 59:11 75:9 77:11, 16 81:20 89:5, 9 115:21 investigation-related 120:25 investigations 8:21, 24 10:2, 13 11:19 20:24 21:10, 13 27:3 30:12 31:2 38:23 39:4 40:22. 24 56:6 57:23 59:23 77:9 81:2, 15 116:3, 5 120:14 Investigative 2:8, 9 4:11, 16 5:6 10:15 11:6 15:23 17:25 32:8 40:20 48:16 49:23 50:24 54:25 55:7 88:21 118:23 **Investigator** 2:11 4:19 18:2 43:17 investigators 11:11 38:16 invoke 75:4 involve 3:8 involved 21:6 59:6, 8 81:25 104:4

irregular 20:5 35:22 87:9, 19 irregularities 12:7 49:12 90:17 irregularity 15:20 94:*1* irrespective 5:19 issue 19:13, 16 34:21 35:2 56:14 74:16 77:5 81:23 87:14 104:2 105:10 issued 19:11 20:22 29:14 49:15 60:2 issues 23:9 48:14 51:17 54:14 69:4 74:18 82:4 113:10 117:7 **issuing** 116:3 items 53:19 86:23 its 22:16 56:10 67:12 68:15 75:14, 23 77:16 94:22 116:*16*

< J > **January** 8:3, 11, 12 15:15, 16 61:9 64:2 86:9 89:18, 24 90:3, 7 91:23 94:2, 4, 15, 16, 24 95:3, 6, 18 102:10 103:11 105:25 107:12, 18 108:17, 19, 22, 23 109:19, 23 110:4, 7, 10, 12, 16 121:17, 21 Jeff 63:25 84:25 86:17 **Jeffrey** 83:2 84:6, 7 85:21 107:1 **Jen** 115:21 **Jin** 3:13 **J-i-n** 3:14 **job** 25:11 46:20 57:6 82:1 92:20 119:17 120:20 122:11 **Joe** 4:6 36:6 39:12 join 84:19 joined 21:16 40:4 100:17 joining 85:5 88:5 **JON** 2:5 **Jordan** 76:3 JOSEPH 2:7 Josh 4:10 39:18 40:9 69:6 JOSHUA 2:9 judgment 38:20 JUDICIARY 1:1 2:3 3:7, 19 122:4

jumping 71:21 106:24 June 115:24 jurisdiction 49:13 75:5 86:13, 16 89:12 **Justice** 3:9 4:22, 23 5:1, 18 8:1, 15, 25 10:22 12:2 15:9 18:19, 23 19:13 25:20 28:3, 11, 21 30:10 41:9 46:16 47:16 48:18 54:5 60:4 61:2 67:11, 15 68:2, 7, 14 77:4 83:9 85:25 87:12 93:9 101:20 115:21, 25 116:7 118:*15* 119:2 justify 50:19 51:7 52:17

< K > **KANG** 2:21 6:8 7:15 42:15 46:17 54:6 61:3 65:24 82:22 keep 14:6 100:13 kept 20:9 99:19 kidding 5:4 kind 9:15 10:14 14:7, 10, 11, 13 15:2, 25 16:10, 12, 20 17:21 18:23 19:2, 5, 6, 17, 23 20:10, 25 21:1 22:7, 16 23:6, 11 24:21 25:11 26:12. 20, 24 27:15 28:4 30:24 31:22 35:25 37:*3* 39:*7* 41:*16* 48:2, 22 49:17 64:14 73:20 83:11 84:3 85:23 90:4, 24 95:21 97:4, 16 98:8, 12 99:20 100:2 101:3, 4, 6, 7 102:2 103:8 104:4, *5* 105:*3* 111:2, *12*, 13 117:20, 23, 24 119:25 kinds 99:12 **KIRA** 2:17 4:24, 25 40:8 knew 14:22 35:16 44:21 73:20 83:18 84:6 92:16 98:8 99:18 101:23 105:16 112:13, 14,

know 5:16 6:14 7:3 12:12.20 13:16 14:1, 3, 13 15:10 19:21 20:17, 21 23:4 24:2 25:13 30:14 31:16 32:11, 14 34:16, 24 35:1, 14 37:2, 6, 14 38:22, 23 41:4, 7 43:23, 25 44:18 45:7 47:12 48:7 49:7 50:6 53:23 54:17, 19 55:9 59:10, 24 61:6, 15, 25 62:2, 8, 24 63:8, 19 64:8, 25 65:12, 19 66:9 67:14 68:14, 17, 21 74:8, 12, 24, 25 77:24 78:25 79:21 83:5, 25 84:7 85:2, 6 86:5 88:5 89:12, 13 91:1, 17, 19, 21 93:3, 12, 21 96:2, 6, 8, 9 97:8, 9, 24 100:13, 24 103:4, *17* 108:6 112:*12* 114:8, 9, 17 116:23 117:*3* 118:*7*, *15*, *21*, 22 119:1, 11, 23 120:24 121:1, 7, 15 122:11 knowingly 6:19 knowledge 38:13 59:21 60:7 74:6 111:8 known 9:14 104:22

knows 61:23 112:15 117:12

< L > lack 88:13 language 72:3 **laptop** 41:4 large 120:4 late 16:19 102:10 109:20 110:4 latest 17:16 law 6:16 8:13, 22 9:1 11:12 23:25 39:5 56:*18* 58:*3* 68:19 93:20 94:6 100:10 104:21 105:18 lawsuit 73:12, 17 84:20 86:24 88:5 **lawsuits** 85:6 91:13 lav 83:11 layer 101:6

lead 18:2 43:17

120:15

involvement 58:25

22

80:11 119:17 leaders 45:20 67:18 leadership 21:12 26:12 31:9 42:7 81:17 98:16 120:18 **leading** 30:15 93:2 **leads** 11:9 **Leak** 115:21 116:4 leaked 24:19 learn 62:1 76:8 **learning** 76:13 93:13, 16 leave 30:23 67:20 91:3 93:17, 22 **leaving** 82:*13* 105:2 108:11, 18 113:17 **led** 76:25 **left** 16:24 21:11 35:23, 24 39:7, 10 42:5 68:25 72:23 73:15, 18 106:11 119:10 legal 52:3 87:22 97:20 104:13 Legislative 40:7 legislator 12:22 legislature 8:12 legitimacy 15:19 **legitimate** 66:13, 15, 20 67:7, 12 68:1, 6 70:10 72:14, 17 103:22 lengthy 36:9 **letter** 84:16 91:2 104:8 108:21, 23, 25 115:11 **letting** 20:17 level 11:20 12:23 27:7 33:25 63:1, 2 100:19 101:5, 6, 19 Ligon 106:23 **L-i-g-o-n** 106:23 liked 105:23 limited 30:1 56:24 58:13, 18, 22 74:7 118:22 line 5:24 26:20 33:11 111:25 **listen** 98:14 **listened** 18:6, 9 35:18 listening 19:3 literally 80:13 litigation 51:20 56:8 little 19:22 26:5, 21 39:9 51:9 63:9 69:20 70:20 101:14, 19 102:18, 19 104:25 109:3

local 9:4 11:10 27:2 54:10 located 16:4 location 23:21 64:8, 12 **LOEB** 2:16 4:23 **logged** 74:3, 5, 6 75:16 logical 115:4 long 95:25 96:3 103:2 104:22 **longtime** 105:22 look 18:1 19:8 22:5 27:9 34:1, 18 36:21 46:8 49:19 64:4, 18 65:7 73:1 79:25 80:3, 7 81:8 98:13 112:5, 6 117:15 **looked** 11:1 19:4 34:15 35:17 56:25 58:14, 19 73:4 75:16, 19 84:1, 4 92:9 112:16, 18 113:2*1* **looking** 18:12 19:22 38:14 65:14 71:22 74:18 75:12 82:6, 7 94:21 113:24 looks 53:8 **loop** 106:15 loss 51:6 lost 69:20 83:25 113:2*1* **lot** 17:5 21:18 74:8 85:25 100:17 105:17 117:16 119:20, 24 lots 21:5 74:9 lower 63:1. 2 **luggage** 16:14

< M > machines 16:10 mail 75:24 mail-in 77:2 main 14:10, 19 15:9 18:18 22:10 27:18 28:3, 11, 21 30:10 41:9, 17 48:4 77:4 83:9 85:25 87:12 101:20 **maintain** 33:15 maintained 67:21 **Majority** 2:6, 7 3:18 4:7 5:9 28:25 33:4, 5, 7 36:5 64:19, 20, 21, 23 79:16 Majority1 29:1

23:17 24:4 37:13 45:4, 20 72:3, 5 113:23, 25 man 61:18 112:21 manage 119:*14* manager 16:8 34:12 managing 119:9 manifested 30:9 32:24 manner 5:13 6:13 33:13, 19, 23 manual 8:25 47:16 48:18, 19 mark 29:1 33:5 65:3, 9 75:22 76:6 96:23 marked 28:25 29:1, *3* 33:5, 7 79:16, 20 **marking** 69:21 **MARTIN-**PATTERSON 2:22 6:10, 11 Mary 5:23 match 72:24 73:9 matches 79:8 material 53:8 materially 6:20 matter 10:12 13:11 17:7 26:14 28:8 47:25 48:24 53:13, 15 54:5 70:25 108:20 118:19 119:6 matters 26:3 37:15 38:25 86:19 87:7 **Meadows** 65:3, 6 75:23 76:6, 25 79:14 80:1 82:5 96:23 mean 9:25 21:1 29:18 45:18 63:1 65:24 75:17 97:3 102:23 103:18, 19 119:*11* means 111:18 meant 84:14 110:25 meet 76:2 **meeting** 13:16 37:23, 25 63:25 70:5, 17 72:10 76:17 78:21 member 72:23 memo 19:11 29:17, 25 30:7, 13 31:1 32:2, 16 49:8, 15, 17, 22 50:5, 20 60:1, 3, 6

making 17:17

memorandum 18:3 43:9, 12 memos 17:25 men 94:6 99:25 mental 101:4 mention 27:6 97:1 mentioned 37:9 40:18, 20 42:24 43:2 46:24 51:12 84:14 85:8 95:17 113:15 merely 15:3 merit 72:10 75:20 message 105:23 108:12 method 41:16 **middle** 3:14 64:4 76:16 100:6 military 112:15 119:12 millions 56:21 58:10 mind 18:11 26:17 31:22 70:12 93:10 mindset 111:13 mine 70:4 98:16 **minimal** 104:6 minimize 94:9 minorities 92:2 **Minority** 2:8, 9, 11 5:10 54:16 63:19 64:18 65:22, 24, 25 69:22 79:15 minute 3:22 69:17 minutes 39:13 69:8 106:11 mischaracterize 44:6 116:22 miscommunication 16:16 misconduct 56:11 misinformed 114:22 missed 43:6 95:7, 15 mistakenly 16:18 misunderstanding 35:22 Mitchell 65:3 80:5 91:12 **Mmember** 109:*3* moment 21:15 morale 99:20 101:4, 9 morning 3:2 4:1, 6, 10, 15 6:8, 10 7:22 12:16 13:8 37:8 40:6 95:2 96:14 97:23 108:22 110:16 122:19 move 64:7 82:16, 23 95:22 99:11

moved 53:10 62:17 120:7 moving 75:21 82:25 116:7 multiple 78:9 92:17 mute 3:24 muted 4:24 5:2

< N > **name** 3:11, 14, 18 4:10, 15 6:8 12:7 24:18 40:6 47:5 52:6 123:12 narrow 24:10 national 47:23 nationally 10:10 nationwide 42:19 **natural** 22:16 84:9 nature 29:16 32:14 117:4 119:22 necessary 8:25 26:19 41:15 47:21 52:19 need 5:15 17:21 27:14 49:2 54:18, 19 61:6 65:10, 11 79:10 needed 26:23 50:14 52:11 63:15 **needs** 11:21 46:11 nefarious 35:25 56:20 58:9 negative 98:2 101:9 **neither** 107:5 neutrality 33:16 never 19:12 85:13 91:20 92:10 94:15 95:11 96:19 100:18 New 83:1, 18 100:17, 24 108:14 **news** 12:5, 8, 12, 14, 16 13:13 14:2 16:8, 11 17:8, 15 19:4 37:1, 7 45:3, 9, 14, 17, 18 47:8 73:17 90:2 nice 69:9 **night** 16:5, 19, 24 17:3 18:4 24:1, 5 35:11 43:14 56:15 57:25 97:*1* 101:*13* 107:25 108:*1* 109:20 110:4 116:2 nominee 73:7 nonconcurrence 55:6 nonconcurrences

55:14

58:2

nonfederal 56:18

nonpartisanship 33:16 nonpublic 53:5 noon 98:17 **normal** 99:1 118:25 119:22 **normally** 108:13 111:3 **Northern** 8:3, 6, 16, 19 25:3 28:16 61:13 97:15 120:3 **notation** 71:23 **note** 21:15 40:3 66:14 105:3, 15 noted 5:17 30:17 81:20 notes 33:3 64:10 66:3, 4, 12 68:9 69:23 70:2, 6, 16 71:22 72:5 78:4 notice 91:2 108:16 **noticed** 95:6 122:6 **November** 19:*11* 29:15 49:8, 22 60:3 **number** 27:12 69:24 80:10 83:4. 6 89:9 101:3

<0> oath 6:16 12:19 13:1 75:25 **object** 5:2 41:25 46:1, 7 53:2, 3, 5, 21 60:11 81:1, 4 **objection** 60:18, 23 objections 46:4 82:19, 21 **obvious** 92:11 obviously 8:23 14:3 18:6 27:19 41:14 73:18 84:2 98:23 99:17, 22, 24 103:18 occasion 86:17, 25 occasions 102:1 occurred 37:5 occurrence 9:9 October 8:3 offered 96:4 102:4 114:2.9 **office** 9:12, 17, 18 10:6, 11, 16 11:1, *17* 12:*13* 14:*4*, *11*, 25 15:12 16:9 17:1, 4, 24 19:25 22:4, 8 23:25 25:3, 13, 25 26:3, 8, 24 27:3, 15 28:3, 10, 12, 21 30:14 34:10, 18 35:4 38:13 39:5 40:7, 21, 22

41:1, 7 42:21 43:9, 17, 20 48:15 51:14, 23 52:11, 18, 25 60:9, 15, 20 62:9, 22 63:13 70:22 72:9, 16, 23 73:16, 23 74:3, 4, 5, 11, 17 75:12 77:22 78:18 80:6, 16 81:15 82:2, 6 87:22, 23 89:5, 6, 7 91:3, 9 92:24, 25 93:17, 20 94:5, 6, 13 96:16 97:5, 20 98:16, 21 99:19, 20, 25 100:5, 18 101:4, 9 103:24 104:4, 13 110:17 112:25 113:17, 24 119:10, 14, 24 120:1, 3, 4 121:18 officer 8:22 9:16, 25 11:6, 17, 23 14:19 17:16 18:8 24:1 26:2, 6, 7, 11, 15 27:1, 12, 17, 20 48:7 51:22 55:17 63:6 officers 26:14 48:13 68:19 **Offices** 10:5 11:11 25:12 41:9 42:7, 19, 23 47:17 48:1, 3 54:10 83:15 office's 47:14 **official** 45:16 51:13 92:13 officially 105:25 officials 28:3, 20 63:1, 2 73:8 official's 89:3 **offline** 109:10 **oh** 14:6 63:23 71:20 95:14 102:22 115:4 Okay 10:18 20:16 24:16, 22 25:24 27:1 28:14 30:8 33:2 36:2 40:13 41:2, 8, 18, 23 42:24 43:19 44:3 50:5 51:18 52:9 53:7, 14 54:16, 20, 21 61:5 63:18, 23, 24 64:17 65:2, 15 66:3 69:10 73:25 74:1, 14 75:1, 21 76:8, 24 82:25 85:16 102:22 105:5, 11 106:8

109:14 114:12

115:15 116:12 120:17 once 17:12 20:11 26:22 112:12 one-man 52:1 ones 73:4 **ongoing** 56:8 89:9 **online** 4:14 open 12:1 17:7 29:22 30:20 35:25 52:21 53:13 60:9, 15, 20 **opening** 52:17 **operate** 89:11 operating 9:16 operational 38:25 operationalization 60:6 operationally 15:2 20:1 operations 9:25 100:8 **opinion** 61:17 66:22 67:24 97:19 120:23 opportunity 5:11 **oppose** 67:18 **opposed** 107:23 order 5:24 7:6 75:2 79:16 94:9 116:*1* orderly 99:4 original 75:4 91:1 originally 99:13 **OSSOFF** 2:5 21:16 ought 82:6 outcome 25:6 30:6 118:2 outcomedeterminative 31:6 outlet 45:18 outreach 88:13 89:4 outright 75:18 outside 7:5 28:1 38:2 60:12 111:2, 25 overlapped 86:16 **overly** 60:12 oversaw 91:13 oversee 26:8 oversees 49:4 **Oversight** 2:6 3:19 overstate 74:10 overstatement 74:12 overt 15:17 54:25 118:23 overturn 3:10 89:20 90:16

< P > **p.m** 95:3 122:23, 24 packing 16:21 17:9 **PADAG** 9:14 25:9, 11 41:15 83:14 page 33:11 64:4 67:17 69:24 70:15 71:22, 23 80:9 pages 71:21 123:5 **PAK** 1:13 3:2, 6, 13, 16 4:15 6:2, 12, 18, 25 7:3, 12, 14, 22 21:8, 18 22:22 36:8 39:16 40:12 42:11 44:22 46:15, 19, 24 54:4 60:25 69:7, 9 81:3, 17 82:2, 7, 12 109:18 113:9 121:8, 12 122:2, 3, 13, 17 **P-a-k** 3:14 Pak's 3:21 81:21 82:6, 17, 20 pandemic 100:7 **paragraph** 33:3, 11 55:21 57:7 115:18, 20, 22 116:9 paraphrase 49:9 **PARKER** 2:11 4:18 part 3:7 38:11, 12 46:19 53:19 54:24 57:24 58:7, 16 59:8 61:22 81:24 83:14 94:19 108:10 participant 70:17 particular 9:11 11:16 15:14 21:3, 4 24:18 48:14 51:3 74:18 76:12 82:5 93:5 101:22 104:4 107:6 particularly 10:8 30:17 47:23 93:7 100:10 **parties** 16:17 partisan 16:22 **partners** 93:21 part-time 8:12 **partway** 71:23 **Party** 16:23 **passing** 84:15 passive 56:3 57:11, 14 **Pat** 96:23 **pending** 63:*15* **people** 12:18, 20, 24 14:3 16:22 17:19 18:4, 14 21:5

23:16, 17, 22, 23

24:8, 12 27:19 30:21 41:17 43:10, *15* 46:22 51:*3* 52:1 56:25 58:13, 19 75:11 84:4 93:18 94:5, 13, 21, 22 100:8, 10, 14 108:*17* 118:*21* 119:20 120:10 perceived 98:1 percent 115:2, 6 perception 57:21 92:7 117:16 **perfect** 26:16 **perform** 46:21 79:10 120:20 performance 93:8 103:2*1* performed 43:21 68:15 **period** 9:6 12:4 **perjury** 12:19 person 14:19 16:11 51:16 62:11 94:10 112:15 117:13 personal 47:11 60:7 74:6, 15 101:6 personally 23:4 35:17 48:6 68:20 72:8 74:1, 13, 17 75:23 90:18 119:11 personnel 33:14 46:21 60:5 perspective 111:5, 11 **petition** 65:4, 5 petitioners 75:3 **phone** 27:12 71:1 98:9 111:23 112:2 phrase 74:14 105:*13* **physical** 51:2, 6 picture 17:12 39:4 Pilger 47:5, 12 **PIN** 19:7 31:17 32:6 46:25 47:1 54:24 56:1, 13 57:11 91:6 **place** 30:20 35:10 43:23 44:14 56:23 58:11 71:18 87:21 96:4 112:17 **placed** 42:6 82:8 **plan** 16:1 87:3 88:1, 3 91:1 93:17, 21 103:2 107:2 109:*10* planned 107:22, 23 planning 82:20 96:1 107:17 109:24

overview 8:7

plans 17:17 93:19 100:2*1* **play** 71:7 **please** 3:11 5:16 6:6, 14 40:5, 19 43:3 pleasure 103:20 121:4 **plus** 96:25 **point** 13:25 16:14 18:19 19:1 21:1, 7 30:11 31:11 38:15, 19 39:12 44:15 48:4 51:16 53:11 69:1 71:8, 16, 19 72:7, 9 79:24 81:16 82:16 88:16 90:25 92:11, 18 106:15 107:18 109:19, 22 122:21 **pointed** 94:16 **pointing** 72:16 **points** 21:19 **policies** 87:20 policy 29:14, 16, 19, 21 30:9 31:8, 10, 11 32:5, 24 33:2, 5, *17* 47:*16*, *18* 48:*23* 50:2, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14 55:24 59:15 115:22 116:6, 17 117:21, 23 118:1, 5, 10 policymaking 12:23 **poll** 16:17, 22 18:20 23:17, 23 24:13 34:6 35:23, 24 78:7, 10 **polling** 30:20 **position** 21:11 42:13 46:16 54:5 61:2 103:7 117:14 **positive** 118:*14* possibility 75:15 86:12 **possible** 10:7 68:8 75:9 99:22 101:2 114:21, 25 possibly 99:7 114:23 120:24 posted 14:7 **posture** 49:14 117:6 118:13, 17 potential 5:20 55:7 88:1 90:21 94:1 potentially 30:6 31:5 66:20 67:7 88:5 90:19 93:15 94:20 113:14 **power** 12:18

practical 47:22, 25 practically 48:20 **practice** 8:9, 17 10:12 56:2 practiced 8:13 practices 117:24 **preamble** 3:3 7:17 **precise** 54:11 113:16 precisely 42:2 preliminary 11:14 22:24 27:3 29:22, 23 30:2 50:19 56:5 preparation 36:21 preparing 36:19 80:15 prerogative 121:3 **present** 24:1 70:7 96:20 preserve 7:6 President 3:9 36:20 44:22 66:5, 12, 17, 19 67:4, 6, 11, 21 68:10, 18 70:8 72:13 75:22 78:1, 5, 22 79:3, 6 80:3 81:24 82:5 83:20, 22, 24 85:9, 14 86:6 87:11, 13, 17 88:2 89:18, 22 90:15 91:10, 14, 19 92:19, 23, 24 93:3, 14 95:9, 13, 17, 23 96:18, 25 102:3 103:10, 15, 20 104:3 105:8 107:4, 5 110:22, 23 111:6, 9, 11, 14, 17 112:3. 9 113:21 114:6, 21 115:8 116:15, 20, 25 117:5, 9, 12, 20, 22 118:20 121:5 Presidential 3:10 73:7 103:25 presidentially 92:12 President's 46:20 66:14 67:25 68:5 84:13 97:8 109:25 111:24 114:13 116:5 120:23 121:2 press 27:13 65:5, 10 76:7, 9 80:12, 20 96:9 115:20 121:13, 17, 20 pressure 21:11 42:5 81:3, 12, 17 82:8 98:8 101:14, presumably 70:6 99:25 112:6

presume 111:18 presuming 111:9 **pretty** 37:13 49:16 104:8 **prevent** 62:18 64:22 previous 23:2 34:19 118:25 previously 8:14 34:6 74:19 78:12, 17 83:9 91:13 106:20 108:2 **primarily** 9:2 52:3 primary 9:12 62:10 **principal** 9:13 14:9 **printout** 91:24 **prior** 8:5, 8, 14, 16 9:5 11:1 28:2 49:12, 21 50:24 51:8 55:7 60:4 86:9 92:19, 22 93:16 101:16 102:10, 12 105:22 107:16 110:4, 9 priorities 100:24 **priority** 13:21, 24 15:7 37:17 private 8:9, 17 privilege 5:20 **probably** 10:18 12:20 39:11, 13 92:7, 9 93:24 94:25 103:18 113:24 119:23 122:11 **Procedure** 5:5 99:1 **proceed** 5:9 48:15 49:2 process 15:12 27:8, 10 38:11 50:4 51:5, 10, 11 68:23 71:7 76:4, 16 77:2, 6 79:11 92:16 103:19, 25 113:15 processing 44:1 produced 81:6 productivity 101:10 professional 8:7 89:10 119:12 progressed 11:20 74:2 promoted 78:7 prompted 83:5 **properly** 46:21 proposal 107:6, 9 proposed 55:1 prosecution 6:22 prosecutions 56:12 prosecutors 11:10 120:2

protocol 14:8, 11 15:12, 24 18:21 **prove** 70:22 **provide** 5:19 6:20 7:2 55:6 **provided** 36:10 43:16 83:2 115:17 Psaki 115:21 **public** 22:8 24:19 30:23 31:9 36:16 46:24 47:14 48:17 52:7, 8 53:4 54:23, 24 55:6, 15 57:2, *13* 59:*17* 71:*1* 108:12 113:23, 25 117:1 publicize 27:11 108:18 **publicly** 10:22 67:5 **pull** 64:17 pulled 13:4 17:13 59:2 purportedly 78:23 pursuant 99:2 **pursue** 49:10 pursuing 107:2 111:*1* purview 8:24 **push** 89:19 **pushed** 91:16 **pushing** 87:3 102:8 put 12:18, 25 48:12 81:3, 17 91:6 103:7 **puts** 44:13 putting 17:11 78:11 <0> qualifications 99:9 120:6 **qualify** 73:25 quality 120:19 **question** 6:1 25:14 37:10 41:25 42:3, 8 46:1 55:10 60:11 65:13, 16 66:7, 24 67:1, 11, 16 76:5, 20 82:17 91:25 106:14 111:21 116:11, 14 117:5, 10, 19 119:4 questioning 5:9 7:19 36:5 40:17 70:19, 21 121:24 questions 3:5 5:3, 10, 11, 13, 22 6:13. 14, 16 7:2, 13, 23 39:12 40:18 46:12 72:25 74:14 82:19 91:5, 7 97:17 99:3 104:10 109:5

113:11 119:20, 24 121:7 123:7 quick 21:15 39:11, 14 69:7, 25 109:4 **quickly** 21:19 69:17 95:1 **quietly** 96:10, 11 98:3 104:6 QUINTON 2:8 4:15 quite 36:9 98:12 99:25 114:22 122:10 **quote** 5:19 31:8 64:5, 6 68:13 80:13 81:9 84:13 92:16 110:23 **quoted** 91:24 quoting 64:7 < R >

rack 46:5 radio 27:13 Raffensperger 89:19 91:8 raised 13:6 35:2 36:15, 18 raises 100:19 ran 13:5 ranking 72:22 109:3 rare 28:13 75:4 Rav 80:10 reach 15:3 18:19 62:25 77:1, 4, 7 87:7 88:9, 11 91:9, 15 98:10 107:5, 8 reached 14:20 15:11 17:23 43:8 71:8 reaching 83:9 reach-out 88:1 reaction 76:12 90:9 93:11, 13 **read** 31:1 33:17 55:21 67:20 72:1 73:18 90:5 105:1, 3 112:11 115:15, 18, 22 116:25 123:5 reading 32:2 75:7 **readout** 37:23 reads 117:14 ready 15:25 61:7 reaffirmed 10:24 really 4:12 21:19 38:24 104:1 111:15 117:15 118:19 119:3 122:1 **reason** 7:1, 3 18:17

protest 104:2

19:5 24:21 25:20

32:18 37:1 82:10

86:6, 10, 20 94:4 99:5 103:23 108:10 111:15 120:22 reasons 56:2 75:13 recall 12:7 21:21 24:8 28:20 29:16 35:7 47:2 59:13, 25 62:7 64:13 65:13, 17 71:18 74:2 75:6 76:18 77:19 89:25 95:5 100:7 recalls 82:7 receive 5:22 received 23:19 45:25 66:17 67:4 receiving 24:12, 14 **Recess** 39:25 69:12 recognize 72:2 recognizes 56:13 recollection 23:22 24:7 96:21 112:9 recommend 46:6 reconfirmed 116:7 reconvened 44:1 record 3:1, 12, 21 5:25 6:7 7:23 21:16 28:25 33:4 39:23 40:2, 3, 5 46:9 54:2 58:23 69:11, 14 71:1 79:17, 20 82:14 86:9 88:25 109:12, *15*, *16* 113:2, *3*, *4* 121:11, 14 122:22 recorded 43:14, 24 123:7 recording 18:5 22:2, 6 43:5, 7, 16 44:9 90:3 recordings 20:5 43:19 records 116:4 recounting 17:14 70:6 recruit 92:2, 3 redirect 79:13 reemphasize 50:20 refer 31:17 61:25 63:4 **reference** 72:3, 5 76:24 92:5 referenced 12:4 16:15 62:14 references 64:3 66:8 referred 17:10 91:19, 23 107:2 111:7

referring 49:8 62:3 **Reform** 99:2 refreshers 48:12 **refuse** 84:17 regard 59:20 82:21 87:1 regarding 21:23 41:24 51:10 61:23 66:20 67:7 91:16 117:2 regardless 99:8 100:22 103:20 117:9 **regards** 33:21 86:18 105:6 **regular** 10:3 11:18 26:20 53:17, 20 regularly 26:18 83:13 regulated 87:22 reiterated 84:1 reiterating 30:1 related 12:6 13:10 16:9 19:18 20:19 26:13 27:8 34:5 40:25 45:1 47:19 60:9, 16, 21 62:5. 12, 14 63:13 70:23 86:23 89:17 105:10 **relating** 41:19 43:1, 2 44:4 45:1, 25 56:16 58:1 66:7 117:*1* relationship 47:14 48:2 54:9, 13 101:19 **relay** 35:8 relaved 14:4 56:15 64:15 95:9 111:23 112:9 115:7 release 27:13 65:6. 10 80:12, 20 121:17, 20 released 77:16 90:3 releases 121:13 relevant 73:8 89:5 rely 11:12 41:17 **relving** 56:17 58:2 **remember** 22:*15* 24:2 29:17 30:3, 7 74:24 75:7 83:17 84:19 95:16 104:16 108:7 reminding 31:3 reopening 17:6 24:5, 6 **repeat** 55:10 repeated 59:19

repeatedly 82:19

rephrase 66:25

68:3 110:8 **replace** 99:10 **report** 10:15 18:3 19:4 26:18, 21 41:16 111:20 reported 22:8 35:19 47:7, 9 66:18 67:5 73:12 84:5 111:5, 8, 12 112:18, 20 117:13 reporters 116:4 **reporting** 41:5, 6, 9, 19, 24 42:18 53:18, 20 59:12 90:14 94:17 reports 11:19 12:6 16:8 17:18 40:20 41:19, 24 42:20, 21 66:21 67:8, 13 68:*1*, *6* 90:2 117:*3* represent 90:17 representative 8:11 represented 34:15 Republican 16:23 73:7 92:12 request 81:8 requested 3:7 103:10 **required** 6:16 11:5 requires 47:18 48:18, 19 105:20 resign 20:23 94:24 96:17 102:4 103:22 107:23 109:24 110:6, 11, 20 115:2, 13 resignation 10:25 90:23 91:2, 6 93:2. 24 94:2 95:18, 22 96:1, 7 97:24, 25 98:22 102:14, 21. 24 103:5, 9, 14 104:8, 9, 12 105:12 106:1 107:13, 17, 24 108:3, 15, 21 109:22 110:6 113:13 115:11, 13 121:*1* resigned 47:10 62:24 63:7 90:8 resigning 90:19 93:15, 16 99:13 113:*14* resisting 86:2 resolve 58:24 resolved 19:15 resources 21:3 47:25 52:2 84:10 **respect** 20:24 22:11 24:4 35:16 40:22 42:18, 24

44:3 50:5 51:11 55:5, 11 59:24 60:3, 16 65:16 67:*3* 68:*4* 81:*13* 82:14 83:20 113:13 114:14, 23 116:17, 24 117:6 118:12, 13 119:8 120:23 **respond** 66:13 response 91:25 97:8 105:11 114:19 **responses** 5:22 6:1 responsibilities 8:20 46:22 responsibility-wise 48:8 responsible 11:23 14:3 52:5 89:13 rest 10:19 99:19 105:24 restate 67:1 result 24:20 106:7 results 57:3 89:21 106:3 retabulated 17:7 **return** 10:18 69:3 review 22:3, 24 54:18 59:5 61:6 65:10 reviewed 23:11 43:18 65:18 reviewing 114:15 116:*18* reviews 121:1 **Rich** 14:8, 15 15:9 19:1, 7, 13, 14 20:13 25:9 31:24 32:17 68:10 83:12 84:23, 25 85:3 91:22 93:1, 20, 23 96:5, 22 103:6 **RICHARD** 2:4 4:1 29:5 47:5 55:21 right 7:21 8:18 22:22 23:9, 11 25:10 39:7 51:13 68:25 70:3 72:21 76:11 91:4 96:6 105:17 109:6 112:22 113:25 115:2 116:*17* 117:17 118:20 121:6 right-hand 64:1 rightly 56:22 58:11 **rights** 26:15 ring 47:5 risks 50:23 **role** 7:25 57:20 96:4 97:3, 4 98:5

106:19 111:15 114:2, 10 120:9 **room** 76:1 96:24 Rosen 35:9 46:4 65:7 66:4 78:2 79:14 80:2 83:2, 5, 8 88:13 102:7 Rosen's 55:22 roughly 44:13 round 7:18 36:3, 5 39:10, 13 69:19 70:19, 20 104:11 106:11 109:9 113:10 121:24 rounds 5:9 **Rudv** 12:9 rule 50:17 105:18 **Rules** 5:5 run 12:11 26:8 120:15 **running** 36:3 120:25 **runoff** 15:15 93:25 102:14 107:19 108:11 109:22 run-of-the-mill 50:1 RYAN 2:22 6:10 < S > **SARA** 2:6 3:18 7:11 40:10 69:2, 5 106:9 109:7 121:10 **SAs** 67:19 satisfied 22:13 71:8 114:18 saw 14:2 16:7 34:12 37:7 45:3 64:7, 12 73:17 90:2, 4 95:7 saying 17:2 30:7 36:19 63:2 64:5 105:2 109:18 says 49:10 54:23 55:24 58:7 63:24 64:5 65:7 67:17 71:23

scanning 78:9

scheduled 15:15

scope 5:20 21:8, 10,

14 24:10 42:1, 2, 4,

9 46:2, 7 53:21, 25

59:5 60:12 81:1,

second 33:10 69:4

16, 19, 22 82:11

101:12 109:13

110:15 121:20

secondary 59:8

season 28:2

97:21

scenes 99:18

Secretary 14:24 15:11 16:9, 12 17:1, 3, 24 18:12 19:25 22:4, 8 23:25 34:9, 17 38:13, 20 39:5 43:8, 17, 20 56:19 57:1 58:8 73:8 76:3, 17, 21 77:15 78:18 80:15 89:19 91:8, 9 93:14 115:20 **Section** 46:25 48:17 53:12 54:23, 25 55:6, 15 **secure** 16:16 17:6, 11 22:11 see 5:23 11:14, 24, 25 13:6 14:14, 21 17:9, 24 22:17 29:12 35:20 42:20 43:9 46:5 50:11 68:12 70:15, 17 77:8 79:24 83:15 90:5 102:22 120:10 **seeing** 16:22 **seeking** 90:16 seen 13:13 22:5 23:18 36:25 segment 17:8 **SENATE** 1:4 12:6, 18 13:3 15:15 20:7 37:2, 3 62:12 64:11, 16 92:12 107:19 108:11 **SENATOR** 2:4, 5 3:23, 24 4:2, 8, 12, 16, 19 7:8 21:16 39:15 40:2 62:11, *13* 63:5 64:*15* 69:19 72:15 82:18 106:21, 22 113:5 121:18 send 18:5 27:19 64:22 108:12 115:16, 23 senior 51:20 96:4 114:2 sense 48:1 70:11 73:4 82:1 86:4 sensitive 10:9, 12, 14 15:16, 20 24:20 30:21, 25 31:3 40:20, 22 41:5 53:16 99:20 sensitivities 19:23 sensitivity 18:24 24:12 sent 16:18 19:17 29:13 40:21 41:24

64:24 65:4, 5 81:6 105:23 108:25 separately 20:2 21:22 79:9 **sequence** 107:11 **serious** 37:*13* **serve** 97:18 98:7 99:5 served 8:10, 14 104:22, 24 121:4 **service** 104:*15* 121:9, 25 122:8, 11, serving 99:9 **SES** 103:7 **session** 84:17 set 27:9 69:23 71:21 82:4 98:16, 18 117:20 **setting** 119:*12* **settled** 108:17 seven 23:22 shake 122:15 **shift** 50:6, 12 **shit** 107:3, 8 **short** 3:3 5:14 25:14 35:13 36:3 **shortly** 39:24 109:22 **show** 10:14 **showed** 12:10 16:11 17:8 **showing** 78:10 **shown** 16:13 17:12 75:3 shows 71:25 **shred** 64:12 shredded 62:18 shredding 62:14 64:8 106:17 **side** 10:5 40:16 109:5, 8 sidelines 57:7 sign 84:15 **signature** 68:11, 15 72:24 73:9 75:24, 25 77:12, 17 78:23 79:8, 10 signatures 76:2 significant 26:23 **similar** 49:16 116:16 simply 38:18 50:7 56:2 57:10 single 26:22 sir 4:10 42:16 50:22 53:11 54:7, 9, 17, 21 60:9 61:1, 5, 11, 25 63:19 64:25 65:25 68:14,

25 69:5 113:19 116:14 121:24 sit 26:9 120:2 situation 20:8 36:11 87:12 98:13 six 8:11 **sixth** 64:1 **small** 41:3 **Smith** 80:10 **smoking** 12:14 smooth 99:7, 17, 21 101:2 **solely** 83:20 **somebody** 115:*12* somewhat 38:9 sophisticated 120:6 **Sorry** 3:25 13:19 23:8 34:8 35:7 45:9 87:5 95:15 107:25 110:8, 22 114:8 sort 21:20 26:9 36:19 87:25 99:12 **sound** 120:19 sounds 107:15, 21 **sources** 74:10 **Southern** 61:14 120:5, 16 **speak** 7:4 38:3, 6 44:22 52:4 speaking 48:20 49:10 89:3 95:5 special 14:21 20:3 31:14 84:17 90:20 113:16 **specific** 42:7 81:2, 3, 15 82:8 104:19 105:14 115:25 Specifically 6:19 41:10 51:23 65:22 73:21 74:24 75:24 79:24, 25 80:20 85:18 91:7 92:24 112:8 **spell** 3:11 **spite** 94:17 spoke 44:18 62:11. 13 63:5 spoliation 51:1 **spot** 95:14 112:21 **staff** 4:5, 9 5:10, 11 7:9 39:15 40:3 69:19 72:15 75:22 76:17 81:7 82:18 109:3 113:6 stamp 55:20 63:19 **stamped** 54:17 65:23 standing 57:6

start 3:5, 22 4:4 7:22 15:12 17:14 18:*1* 69:2, 8 113:*14* **started** 7:9, 13 16:21 45:7, 11 104:20 113:25 starters 70:1 starting 8:11 45:13 **state** 3:11 8:10 9:4 11:10 12:6, 10, 18, 22, 23 13:3 16:4, 24 17:*1* 20:20 21:23 23:21 32:6 33:21 34:6 35:23, 24 36:10 37:5, 24 43:2 55:2 56:16, 20 57:2 58:1, 8, 23 60:8 62:11, 12 64:15, 16 70:12, 23 72:6, 14 73:8 76:3, 18, 21 77:15 80:15 88:18 89:17, 19 91:9 93:14 106:21, 22 111:7 112:14 stated 59:14 72:25 74:19 78:17 83:24 statement 13:17 33:5 58:15, 16 115:20 121:21 **statements** 6:20, 23 12:19 20:6 23:2 35:19 43:14 **STATES** 1:4 8:2, 8, *15* 10:4 33:*11* 51:16 52:19 61:22 116:15 122:9 **State's** 14:24 15:11 16:9, 12 17:1, 3, 24 18:12 19:25 22:4, 8 23:25 28:17 34:10, 18 38:13, 20 39:5 43:8, 17, 20 78:18 stating 75:2 status 23:6 111:20 statute 6:22 stay 46:6 91:1 96:1 98:4 99:16 102:15 103:2 105:19 108:5, 7 stenographer 5:23 step 18:24 24:22 steps 15:23 20:25 32:8 49:23 50:24 88:21 118:23 **Sterling** 16:*11* 34:13 **Steve** 104:13 **stick** 106:11

stolen 41:4 45:6 83:23 stop 49:1 69:1 **story** 18:13 straight 23:1 strange 87:8 stress 100:19 101:6 stressful 100:11 **strike** 87:4 strikes 82:10 structure 41:9, 16, 19 42:18 stuck 112:16, 21 stuffing 34:7 subcommittee 62:12 64:16 subcommittees 9:20 **subject** 6:21 12:19 47:25 72:22 subjects 10:19 **submit** 91:1 93:24 96:1, 10 97:25 102:20, 24 103:5 107:17 110:11 115:*11* **submitted** 103:9, 11 104:12 106:1 submitting 95:17 subpoenas 116:4 subsequently 106:17 substance 10:2 11:13, 25 20:15 24:23 29:18 30:7, 24 41:22 64:14 84:4 112:19 substantial 30:4 31:4, 19 32:7 49:11.18 52:15 53:9, 15 substantiated 85:6 86:3 substantive 10:8 succession 111:25 successor 63:11 sudden 90:22 **sued** 73:7 sufficient 25:5 106:3 116:10 suggest 85:2 suggested 95:19 96:10 **suggesting** 84:15, 16 **suitcase** 12:11 13:3, 14 16:15 17:10 22:12 35:21 37:1 59:3 suitcases 34:7 78:11 **summary** 29:18 90:6, 12 110:1

114:16 summation 74:19 summer 104:21 **Sunday** 90:19 91:23 102:21 Superior 74:22 80:21 supervised 8:23 supervisor 101:20 supervisory 26:25 **support** 67:19 82:15 105:19 supposed 48:25 **Supreme** 75:1 sure 12:24 17:16 18:*14* 21:2 23:*1* 25:12 30:22 32:4 37:14 38:10 48:13 57:5 68:8 69:9 94:9 98:4 100:21 106:15 115:2 surprised 85:9 98:23 surrounding 9:6 14:18 18:25 24:6 81:21, 25 91:5 surveillance 16:4 **system** 41:5

<T> tab 63:23 table 13:4 17:12 59:2 72:4 tabulating 17:5 **tabulation** 16:6, 21 29:20 49:11 78:9 80:14 take 5:14.15 18:24 21:15 24:22 30:11 39:14 49:19, 23 51:25 56:10 57:18. 22 71:5 79:3 86:2 88:21 97:5 117:14 121:15 taken 20:25 talk 17:21 18:14 21:9 29:12 32:13 41:14 83:13 85:1 86:*17* 88:*4* 101:*21* 109:10 talked 14:15 19:12 20:11 23:5 24:3 35:2, 15 85:23 88:11 97:23 103:1 talking 13:6, 12 24:3 70:18 90:25 109:8 tally 78:8 tape 18:9 71:25 78:10

team 26:12 51:25 65:7, 14, 18, 19 98:17 tell 7:24, 25 12:21 19:13 20:14 27:13 49:1 93:7 101:14 106:22 telling 12:24 19:7 96:15 105:18 tells 65:3 temporary 78:6 tender 109:21 110:5 tens 68:13 78:24 80:13 tension 105:7 term 47:22 109:25 115:*14* **termed** 56:3 57:10 terms 16:13 18:24 19:3, 10, 22 28:11 30:5 31:2, 13 73:19 94:18 96:6 100:2 101:4 103:2 104:3 113:23 120:6, 8 testified 12:17 13:2 64:11 116:21, 23 **testify** 12:17 testimony 5:19 34:19 55:4 74:2 **Thank** 3:2, 15 4:13, 14, 17 7:11, 21 8:5, 18 10:18 20:16 21:18 25:24 36:2, 6 39:9, 19 40:10, 12, 15 41:18 42:16 54:7 62:20 63:17. 24 66:1 69:3, 5, 6 72:21 75:21 105:11 106:24 109:1 113:1, 7, 9 118:11 121:8, 25 122:1, 2, 8, 12, 13 Thanks 29:9 65:9 96:5 104:14, 18 114:11 122:18 **That'd** 39:17 thing 20:21 26:21 41:3 71:12 105:17 112:22 119:25 things 10:9 11:20 12:23 23:2 26:13 35:14 41:6, 13, 16 71:15 74:2 82:5 85:25 95:1 97:11 100:12, 21 101:23 102:9 112:10 117:3 121:10 thing's 40:13

think 12:20 15:8, 25 16:1 17:17 18:21 19:14 20:1, 10 21:8, 25 22:22, 24 23:3, 19, 21, 23 24:3 25:8 29:17, *25* 30:3, *4* 31:16, 17, 21 32:6, 10, 15 35:1 36:12, 18 38:9, 14 39:9, 10, 12 42:2 44:7, 12 45:4, 14, 18, 19 46:3, 11 47:4, 10 48:19, 20 49:3, 9, 16, 25 50:2, 12, 16, 19, 22, 25 51:3, 6, 7 52:7, 8 53:23 55:16 57:16, 18, 21 61:19 62:5, 13 64:19 67:9, 15 68:4, 18, 22 76:10, 15 81:9 82:12, 14, *16* 84:22, *23* 85:20 86:25 92:2 94:25 95:19, 20 96:12, 22, 24 98:5, 14 100:11 101:8 104:1 105:1, 21, 23 106:10, 19, 24 108:2, 6 109:5, 7 110:18 111:21 112:11 113:24 114:25 117:10, 17, 19, 22, 23 118:5, 20 119:3, 5, 20, 22 120:1, 7, 13, 14 121:23 122:7, 22 **thinking** 90:20 103:19 113:14, 20 114:17 **Thinks** 64:7 103:21 thought 13:19 16:23 38:11 76:14 82:5 87:2, 8 90:21 95:12 104:3 111:14 113:15 thousands 68:13 78:24 80:13 thread 54:21 threat 51:2 threats 17:20 21:5 24:12, 14 three 22:1, 14, 15 23:12 43:4 44:7, 10 71:13 103:4 106:10 109:4 114:10 **three-day** 22:23 thrown 74:23 **till** 103:3 time 4:12 5:12, 15 7:10 8:13 9:5

12:4, 9, 22 13:10, 12 14:2, 9, 23 15:8, 13, 14 17:14, 23 19:24 20:9, 18 22:3, 4, 23 23:3, 9, 12 25:3, 7, 9, 10, 18 28:9, 10 32:23 34:14 36:3 37:11 38:24 39:10, 11 40:12 41:15 44:21 47:4 54:19 55:23 61:6, 12 65:10, 12 66:17, 18 67:5 68:25 69:3 71:6, 16, 19 72:8 73:3, 15 74:21, 25 77:11 80:17, 24 83:1, 3 84:10 85:8, 23 89:22 90:4, 11 94:8 96:12 97:19 98:18 100:9 104:22 108:18 121:8, 23 122:1, 19 timeline 22:20 24:24 63:10 timely 33:13, 18, 23 56:24 58:12, 18 times 55:5 92:17 100:14 120:4 time-wise 45:8 timing 107:15 118:20 119:4 title 114:7 115:20 121:*18*, *21* today 3:16 4:12, 14 6:5 40:12 46:5 121:8 122:*1* todav's 7:2 **told** 13:2, 23 14:15 15:3, 7 17:4 19:1, 5. 15 20:15 31:14 35:17 44:20 56:15 57:24 62:15 73:23 83:19 84:7, 25 85:3 87:12 88:6 93:23 95:11, 12 96:1, 5, 21 97:13, 24 98:6, 7, 13, 19, 22, 23 107:3 108:5 109:23 110:4, 9, 18, 21 111:9 114:11 **tomorrow** 16:20 tool 94:20 **top** 13:24 15:7 63:24 64:1 66:3 70:15 topic 82:25 85:18 **topics** 5:20 total 23:12 touch 24:21 tough 94:7 100:14

track 11:13, 24 14:14 27:21 31:22 52:3 69:20 119:6 **tracked** 35:14 tradition 103:24 108:*15* traditional 56:9 traditionally 87:20 trainings 48:12 transcribed 3:6 5:7 transcript 90:5 123:6 transition 63:14 94:8 98:15 99:7, 16 100:14, 19 101:1 113:22 114:11 120:8, 13 transparent 100:16 traumatic 101:8 tried 85:14 88:9, 10 99:21 100:13, 20 108:12 **trip** 76:13 78:25 79:1, 2, 4, 5 truck 61:23 62:4, 16 trucks 64:7, 12 **true** 11:15 22:12 73:22 92:10 **Trump** 44:23 66:5 73:6 78:1, 5, 22 80:6, 11, 21 84:20 89:18, 22 91:13, 14, 15, 19 92:13, 19, 23, 24 95:12, 23, 24 99:6 104:25 117:9 Trump/Raffensperge r 102:11 **Trumper** 91:20 92:10 95:11 96:20 **Trump's** 3:9 75:22 80:3 92:1 117:5 118:12, 17 trust 39:6 truth 12:25 **truthful** 6:13 7:2 truthfully 6:17 trv 15:4 16:1 17:18 26:4 39:2 57:22 87:11 99:4. *15* 100:*15* 101:2 106:11 114:3 trying 14:17 18:15 21:2 24:24 85:2 99:12 **Tuesday** 15:16 90:21 tune 50:7 **tuned** 50:9 turn 3:4 4:13 7:17 28:24 36:4

39:14 40:2 54:16 55:20 61:5 64:21 65:21 66:9 69:16 72:19, 21 101:9 104:10 106:8 113:5 **TV** 34:13 twice 20:11 two 4:13 10:25 13:17 21:25 22:14, 23 23:24 43:4 46:5 51:7 62:23 66:7 87:25 89:10 91:2 94:11 97:18 100:18 103:4, 19 107:12 108:16 109:4 111:23 112:2 120:13 121:10, 13 two-page 33:5, 10 **type** 9:3 11:8 20:24 30:5, 20, 24 111:3 types 21:3 45:11, 23 114:24 120:6, 25 typically 5:14

< U > **U.S** 4:1 8:6, 19 9:8, 12 10:6 11:10, 21 14:10 15:15 25:12 26:7 27:14 28:16 30:10, 14 41:8 42:19 47:17 48:1, 2, 15, 21 51:14, 15 53:16 61:12, 14, 20 62:1 73:3 76:19 83:15 87:17 91:20 92:13. 20 94:6, 12 97:4, 14 98:24 99:2, 9 100:1 101:18 105:15, 22 106:19 108:9, 15 112:1, 14 114:7 119:19 120:3 **U.S.C** 6:22 ultimate 49:5 56:14 111:16 ultimately 18:2 35:6 49:3 51:*1* 94:23 **unable** 7:1 unauthorized 89:4 unclear 23:16 underlying 29:13 33:2 undermining 60:5 underneath 13:4 17:*11* **understand** 6:1, 14, 15, 17, 24 26:5

46:10, 13 56:1 59:14 66:24 77:25 81:23 104:18 105:13 109:18 111:4 118:4 understanding 19:9 32:5 33:19 38:11 43:11 73:1 76:1 82:4 83:1 92:18, 23 103:13, 16 110:24 Understood 41:8 63:17 64:17 67:14 101:13, 15 111:21 118:11 119:8 undertake 56:5 Unfortunately 55:24 59:15 96:3 97:6 99:23 103:3 unfounded 34:11 unhappiness 82:1 **unhappy** 92:20 93:3, 8 95:10 unit 47:15 48:5 57:13 59:17 **UNITED** 1:4 8:2.8. *15* 10:4 51:*16* 52:19 116:15 122:9 **units** 60:5 unknown-to-you 89:4 unorthodox 119:18, 22 unplanned 101:8 **unquote** 5:20 31:8 unreasonable 66:19 67:6, 9, 10, 16 117:2, 14 unrelated 106:14 unrestricted 5:19 untoward 56:23 58:11 unusual 76:14, 15 87:5, 16 101:25

112:24 **upcoming** 13:16 update 19:2 updates 14:7 **upset** 90:11 use 66:14 72:14 usually 11:4, 22

< V > Vacancy 99:2 vacate 113:23 vague 104:7 various 9:21 21:5 27:16 28:11 41:6 43:10 45:4, 19 78:1 83:15 verbal 5:25

verification 68:12, 15 78:23 79:10 verified 76:2 verify 21:1 68:20 versus 32:1, 11 71:17 vet 52:1, 13, 14 vetting 51:10 92:15 video 12:10 16:2 17:20 18:4, 10 20:20 21:24 22:6 23:18, 20 24:1, 13, 19 33:21 34:14, 18 35:17 43:2, 11, 16 62:16 89:17 111:7 videotape 13:20 14:1, 6, 14, 17, 21, 22, 25 15:1, 5 16:3, 10, 13, 14 36:25 70:23 view 42:22 49:17 103:23 viewed 9:15 15:17 50:9 views 94:1 violation 11:8 visit 76:5, 9, 17 **visited** 75:23 **voice** 86:4 **volume** 66:16 67:3 voluntarily 3:17 5:17 122:5, 19 vote 49:11 voted 80:22 voter 26:1 42:8 44:25 45:24 60:15, 20 66:16 67:3 83:21 116:18, 24 voters 15:18 92:4 **votes** 78:8 80:13 84:18 89:20 voting 16:9 27:8 49:11 51:11 57:21

< W > waiting 23:6 31:14 want 6:12 14:5, 12 21:12 22:14 23:1 25:24 28:24 37:13 44:6 45:13, 15 53:10 64:2 66:7 69:3 72:24 73:4, 25 74:10 78:13 79:23 82:12 87:6 94:19 98:1,4 100:15 104:1 105:19 109:9 111:11 114:7 115:16, 23 116:22

87:7

118:3, 4 121:8, 10, wanted 14:13 18:14 19:24 27:24 29:11 30:22 31:22 32:1 34:4 35:13 36:8 39:1 40:3 49:19 68:18 69:17 71:17 84:18 92:23, 24 95:10, 13 96:8, 16 103:10 104:8 106:14 108:8, 16 114:3 115:8, 9 118:2*1* 121:*1* 122:17 wants 57:5 69:2 85:4, 11 115:19 warehouse 62:17 WASHINGTON 1:7 52:24 watchers 16:17, 22 35:24 watching 18:10 104:5 **wavered** 94:15 way 11:4 12:21 15:18 26:7 27:10, 18 30:9 36:18 37:23 42:22 50:23 57:5 70:11 75:11, 14 83:10 94:12 96:15 98:1, 2, 11 103:19, 23 104:7 106:20 118:3, 7 119:19 122:16 wavs 27:19 Wednesday 1:17 102:25 103:12 week 26:22 90:21 93:19, 24 95:18 102:25 107:18 108:17 109:23 114:1 115:11 weeks 91:2 108:16 114:10 WEINSHEIMER 2:15 4:21 21:7 41:25 42:4 46:1, 6, 10, 13 53:2, 21, 25 60:11, 18, 23 81:1, 11 82:12 well 20:14 24:17 38:22 46:8 56:19 58:8 61:15 74:4 75:6 78:19 82:10, 25 84:21 85:4, 10 89:8 96:12 97:8 100:6 101:10, 16 114:17 117:10

went 16:11 94:7 98:21 we're 17:3 24:24 39:5 85:5 88:6 121:15 we've 35:14 78:12 91:12 whatnot 15:3 White 13:16 15:6 21:11 37:14, 19, 25 42:6 76:25 81:7, 12, 18 87:21 91:22 96:23, 24 97:13 100:23 101:24 107:8 116:1, 2 wide 29:22 widespread 10:23 13:18 25:2, 16, 21 37:12 85:3 90:15 106:2, 6 willingness 3:17 122:18 WITNESS 2:20 3:11, 13 81:11 89:4 123:12 witnesses 6:3, 19 19:24 20:1 35:15. 18 82:15 88:17 89:1, 16 woman 64:10 women 94:6 99:25 wonder 100:15 word 59:18 66:14 72:15 122:3 words 62:9 84:22, 23 115:9 work 9:19, 21 17:5 26:8, 15 27:21 30:9 32:24 39:16, 18 86:10, 17, 20 87:1 89:7 98:11 100:5 103:4, 19 work/assessments 56:18 58:2 worked 64:11 112:13 worker 78:7 workers 17:9 18:20 23:18, 24 24:13 34:6 35:23, 24 78:11 **working** 9:*1* 11:23 18:4 23:8 54:12 104:20 works 25:25 27:10 **worried** 100:8 wrap 109:6, 8 written 5:25 18:2, 3 wrong 40:20 43:3 44:6 108:12

27:24 32:4 35:10

120:2

wrongdoing 65:8 78:19 wrongly 56:22 58:11 < Y > **Yeah** 31:12 46:8 50:16 57:16 70:14 102:8 109:12 year 113:22 years 8:10, 11 100:18 **Year's** 83:1, 18 <Z> **ZDEB** 2:6 3:1, 15, 18 4:3, 8, 20, 24 5:2 6:3, 12, 19 7:1, 4, 12, 16 36:4, 6, 7 39:18, 20, 23 40:1, 9 69:6, 10, 13, 15 72:19 81:5, 19 82:16, 23 106:10, *13* 109:2, *12*, *16*, *17* 110:8 111:4 113:*1*, 4 122:14 **Zoom** 3:20 4:3 7:6