

UNITED STATES SENATE
COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR JUDICIAL NOMINEES

PUBLIC

1. **Name:** State full name (include any former names used).

Christina Clair Reiss
Christina Clair Schulz

2. **Position:** State the position for which you have been nominated.

United States District Judge for the District of Vermont

3. **Address:** List current office address. If city and state of residence differs from your place of employment, please list the city and state where you currently reside.

Office: Costello Courthouse
32 Cherry Street
Burlington, VT 05401

Residence: [REDACTED]

4. **Birthplace:** State year and place of birth.

1962; Denver, Colorado

5. **Education:** List in reverse chronological order each college, law school, or any other institution of higher education attended and indicate for each the dates of attendance, whether a degree was received, and the date each degree was received.

1986 – 1989, University of Arizona, College of Law; J.D., 1989

1984 – 1985, University of Arizona, Master's Program in French; no degree received

1980 – 1984, St. Michael's College; B.A., 1984

6. **Employment Record:** List in reverse chronological order all governmental agencies, business or professional corporations, companies, firms, or other enterprises, partnerships, institutions or organizations, non-profit or otherwise, with which you have been affiliated as an officer, director, partner, proprietor, or employee since graduation from college, whether or not you received payment for your services. Include the name and address of the employer and job title or description.

9/2009 - Present
State of Vermont
Costello Courthouse
32 Cherry Street
Burlington, VT 05401
Presiding Judge

2004 - 2009
State of Vermont
Court Administrator's Office
Vermont Supreme Court
109 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05609-0701
District Court Judge

2001 - 2004
Gravel & Shea
76 St. Paul Street
Burlington, VT 05402-0369
Special Counsel (2001-2002); partner (2003-2004)

1992 - 2001
Sheehey Brue Gray & Furlong
30 Main Street
Gateway Square, Sixth Floor
Burlington, VT 05402-0066
law associate (1992-1994); partner (1995-2001)

1990 - 1992
Perkins, Thompson, Hinckley & Keddy
One Canal Street
Portland, ME 04112-0426
law associate

1989 - 1990
Maine Supreme Judicial Court
142 Federal Street
Portland, ME, 04112-0368
law clerk

1988
Streich, Lang, Weeks & Cardon
Phoenix, AZ
law clerk (summer of 1988)

1987 -1988
Hecker, Phillips, and Hooker, Tucson
405 West Franklin Street
Tucson, AZ 85701
law clerk

1985 – 1987
Chandler, Tullar, Udall & Redhair,
(now Udall Law Firm, LLP)
4801 E. Broadway Blvd., Suite 400
Tucson, AZ 8571
file clerk (1985-1986); law clerk (summer of 1987)

1985 - 1986
La Paloma Country Club & Resort
3660 E. Sunrise Drive
Tucson, AZ 85718
server

1985
Tandy Radio Shack
First Avenue,
Tucson, AZ
computer instructor

1984 - 1985
University of Arizona
Department of French & Italian, Modern Languages Room 549
Tucson, AZ 85721-0067
teaching assistant in French (1984-1985)

1984
Grand Union
Pearl Street, Essex Junction 05452 and Center Road, Essex Center, Vermont;
cashier/deli/fish market (Grand Union is no longer in existence) (1984 and prior to
graduating from college).

2001 - 2004
Amoskeag Woodworking, Inc.
31 Elm Court
Colchester, VT 05446
Corporate Secretary and Vice President

7. **Military Service and Draft Status:** Identify any service in the U.S. Military, including dates of service, branch of service, rank or rate, serial number (if different from social

security number) and type of discharge received, and whether you have registered for selective service.

I have not served in the military.

8. **Honors and Awards:** List any scholarships, fellowships, honorary degrees, academic or professional honors, honorary society memberships, military awards, and any other special recognition for outstanding service or achievement.

National Council of Teachers of English Student Writing Award (1980)

St. Michael's College: presented papers to the Vermont Academy of Arts & Letters (1981, 1982, 1983); Dean's List every semester.

University of Arizona: Teaching Assistant; French & Italian Department (1984-1985).

University of Arizona: Most Outstanding Student as voted by College of Law Faculty (1989); Order of the Coif (1989); Editor-in-Chief, Arizona Law Review (1988-1989); Arizona Law Review Editors' Award (1989) (best editor as voted by editorial board); Rosenberg Distinguished Editor's Award (1989) (most helpful editor as voted by second year law review members); Ralph E. Aigler Leadership, Scholarship, and Citizenship Award, University of Arizona (1989); E. Blois du Bois Scholarship (1986-1989); Internship Tohono O'odam Supreme Court, Sells, AZ (1989).

2003-2004 Chosen as a "Leading Lawyer" in Vermont by Chambers USA publication.

9. **Bar Associations:** List all bar associations or legal or judicial-related committees, selection panels or conferences of which you are or have been a member, and give the titles and dates of any offices which you have held in such groups.

Vermont Bar Association (1992 to present)

Maine Bar Association (1990 to present)

American Bar Association (1990 to 2006 and 2009 to present).

10. **Bar and Court Admission:**

- a. List the date(s) you were admitted to the bar of any state and any lapses in membership. Please explain the reason for any lapse in membership.

State of Maine: 5/1/90 (Inactive since 1994)

State of Vermont: 7/17/92

There have been no lapses in membership.

- b. List all courts in which you have been admitted to practice, including dates of admission and any lapses in membership. Please explain the reason for any lapse in membership. Give the same information for administrative bodies that require special admission to practice.

United States District Court for the District of Maine: 5/1/90

United States District Court for the District of Vermont: 8/10/92

United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit: 1/22/01

There have been no lapses in membership.

11. Memberships:

- a. List all professional, business, fraternal, scholarly, civic, charitable, or other organizations, other than those listed in response to Questions 9 or 10 to which you belong, or to which you have belonged, since graduation from law school. Provide dates of membership or participation, and indicate any office you held. Include clubs, working groups, advisory or editorial boards, panels, committees, conferences, or publications.

Inactive member of the Essex Center Grange.

Arizona Law Review (1987-1989)

Vermont Trial Judges Association / Vice-president (2008-2009); Secretary (2006-2008).

Vermont Judicial College Committee (2008 to present).

Family Court Rules Committee (2006 to present).

Alternative Dispute Resolution Committee (2005 to present).

- b. The American Bar Association's Commentary to its Code of Judicial Conduct states that it is inappropriate for a judge to hold membership in any organization that invidiously discriminates on the basis of race, sex, or religion, or national origin. Indicate whether any of these organizations listed in response to 11a above currently discriminate or formerly discriminated on the basis of race, sex, religion or national origin either through formal membership requirements or the practical implementation of membership policies. If so, describe any action you have taken to change these policies and practices.

None of the organizations listed above discriminates on the basis of race, sex, religion, or national origin.

12. Published Writings and Public Statements:

- a. List the titles, publishers, and dates of books, articles, reports, letters to the editor, editorial pieces, or other published material you have written or edited, including material published only on the Internet. Supply four (4) copies of all published material to the Committee.

Edited: Arizona Law Review (1988-1989).

“Procedural Due Process and Municipal Boards,” 55 Maine Townsman (Feb. 1992).

“Building a Successful Equitable Estoppel Defense Against State and Municipal Action,” 6 Maine Bar Journal 276-82 (Sept. 1991).

“Officer, What’s the Charge? Confession Law in Light of Colorado v. Spring,” 20 Arizona Law Review, 551-570 (1988).

“To the Lighthouse,” Onion River Review (1983).

- b. Supply four (4) copies of any reports, memoranda or policy statements you prepared or contributed in the preparation of on behalf of any bar association, committee, conference, or organization of which you were or are a member. If you do not have a copy of a report, memorandum or policy statement, give the name and address of the organization that issued it, the date of the document, and a summary of its subject matter.

None.

- c. Supply four (4) copies of any testimony, official statements or other communications relating, in whole or in part, to matters of public policy or legal interpretation, that you have issued or provided or that others presented on your behalf to public bodies or public officials.

None.

- d. Supply four (4) copies, transcripts or recordings of all speeches or talks delivered by you, including commencement speeches, remarks, lectures, panel discussions, conferences, political speeches, and question-and-answer sessions. Include the date and place where they were delivered, and readily available press reports about the speech or talk. If you do not have a copy of the speech or a transcript or recording of your remarks, give the name and address of the group before whom the speech was given, the date of the speech, and a summary of its subject matter. If you did not speak from a prepared text, furnish a copy of any outline or notes from which you spoke.

Judicial College/ Expungement and Sealing of Records (2008)

Bridging the Gap/Vermont Bar Association ("VBA") (2006, 2008 & 2009)
We the People/Essex Middle School (Spring 2008)
Spectrum Youth/Avoiding Criminal Activity (April 2008)
Liquor Control Board Law Enforcement Training (Spring 2007)
Mid-Winter Thaw: Family Court Issues /VBA (Jan. 2008)
Leadership Champlain/Criminal Justice and Available Community Resources
(Fall 2007)
Whither Litigation/VBA (Oct. 2007)
Presenter at a Foster Parents Conference (4/25/09)
What Trial Judges Want You to Know/National Business Institute ("NBI")
(5/15/09).
Devitt Award for Hon. D. Brock Hornby Presentation Remarks; Supreme Court
of the United States (9/13/09)

In addition, as an attorney, I gave several presentations on land use, insurance coverage disputes, lender liability and employment law for between the years of 1992 to 2004 for the NBI, VBA, and Lorman Education Services.

- e. List all interviews you have given to newspapers, magazines or other publications, or radio or television stations, providing the dates of these interviews and four (4) copies of the clips or transcripts of these interviews where they are available to you.

The Essex Reporter (July 2009)

13. **Judicial Office:** State (chronologically) any judicial offices you have held, including positions as an administrative law judge, whether such position was elected or appointed, and a description of the jurisdiction of each such court.

In August 2004 I was appointed by Governor James Douglas for a six-year term as a District Court Judge, State of Vermont. The court is a general jurisdiction court (criminal, civil, juvenile, family).

Current Assignment: Administrative Judge, Costello Courthouse, Chittenden Family and District Courts.

Prior Assignments: Lamoille County, presiding judge; Chittenden County District Court Felony Trial Judge, Burlington, Vermont (2007 to 2008); Orleans County Presiding Judge, Newport, Vermont (4/2007 to 9/2007); Chittenden County District Court Misdemeanor Trial and Arraignment Judge, Burlington, Vermont (2006 to 4/2007); Washington County Family Court Presiding Judge, Barre, Vermont (2005 to 2006); and Addison County Presiding Judge, Middlebury, Vermont (2004 to 2005).

From 2004 to present, I have also presided over trials and other matters in Franklin County District Court, St. Albans, Vermont; Franklin County Family Court, St. Albans,

Vermont; Washington County District Court, Barre, Vermont; and Washington County Superior Court, Montpelier, Vermont.

a. Approximately how many cases have you presided over that have gone to verdict or judgment? 250

i. Of these, approximately what percent were:

jury trials? 20%; bench trials 80% [total 100%]

civil proceedings? 60%; criminal proceedings? 40% [total 100%]

b. Provide citations for all opinions you have written, including concurrences and dissents.

Vermont trial court decisions are not published and are not maintained in a data base.

c. For each of the 10 most significant cases over which you presided, provide: (1) a capsule summary of the nature the case; (2) the outcome of the case; (3) the name and contact information for counsel who had a significant role in the trial of the case; and (3) the citation of the case (if reported) or the docket number and a copy of the opinion or judgment (if not reported).

Vermont Human Rights Comm'n v. Benevolent and Protective Order of the Elks of United States of America, 2008 VT 34, 949 A.2d 1064; Washington Superior Court; jury trial on plaintiffs' claims of discrimination in seeking membership in a fraternal organization; outcome: Plaintiff's verdict; court issued injunctive relief; Plaintiffs' counsel: Robert Appel, Esq., Vermont Human Rights Commission, 14-16 Baldwin Street, Montpelier, VT 05633-6301; (802) 828-2482; Edwin Hobson, Esq., 289 College Street, Burlington, VT 05401-8320 (802) 863-2000; and Ethan Shaw, Esq., 2631 Telegraph Avenue, # 303, Oakland, CA, 94612 (510) 387-0951; Defendant's counsel: Norman Watts, Esq., Watts Law Firm, 19 Central Street, P.O. Box 270, Woodstock, VT 05091-0270 (802) 457-1020.

Trickett v. Ochs, 2007 WL 5323745. Right to farm case with claims of trespass and nuisance by plaintiffs operating a bed & breakfast next to defendants' apple orchard. This case had been litigated in various forms for many years prior to resolution; outcome: compensatory and punitive damages verdict in favor of plaintiffs; the court issued an injunction on plaintiff's equitable claims; Plaintiff's counsel: Paul Gillies, Esq., Tarrant Marks & Gillies, 44 E. State Street, P.O. Box 1440, Montpelier, VT 05601-1440 (802) 223-1112; Defendant's counsel: Peter Langrock, Esq., Langrock Sperry & Wool, LLP, 111 S. Pleasant Street, PO Drawer 351, Middlebury, VT 05753-0351 (802) 388-6356.

State of Vermont v. Kenneth Bailey, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 3917-7-05 Cncr; murder in the first degree; outcome: case dismissed by court; State's counsel: Chittenden County Deputy State's Attorney Justin Jiron, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865; Defendant's counsel: Kerry DeWolfe, Esq., Rubin Kidney Myer & DeWolfe, 237 N. Main Street, Barre, VT 05641-4125 (802) 479-2514.

State of Vermont v. Herman Yoh, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 1462-3-98 Cncr; murder in this first degree; outcome: post trial sentencing after remand by Vermont Supreme Court resulting in plea agreement to first degree murder sentence with dismissal of defendant's post-conviction claims; State's counsel: Chittenden County Deputy State's Attorney Edward Sutton, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865 and Assistant Attorney General John Treadwell, Vermont Attorney General's Office, 109 State Street, Montpelier, VT 05609-1001 (802) 828-5512; Defendant's counsel: William Nelson, Esq., 52 High Street, Middlebury, VT 05753 (802) 388-6781.

State v. Marilyn Haulenbeek, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 30-57-7-07 Cncr, murder in the first degree; outcome: plea agreement to two counts of aggravated assault with a deadly weapon; defendant had significant mental health issues; State's counsel: Chittenden County Deputy State's Attorney Andrew Strauss, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865; Defendant's counsel: Robert Sussman, Esq. Chittenden County Public Defender's Office, 192 College Street, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-6323.

State v. Ronald Ronnquist, Chittenden District Court Docket 5180-10-05 Cncr; murder in the first degree; outcome: plea agreement to second degree murder; Defendant's blood alcohol content at the time of the offense was in excess of .3; State's counsel: Chittenden County State's Attorney T.J. Donovan, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865; Defendant's counsel: David Williams, Esq., Sleight & Williams, 364 Railroad Street, Ste E. St. Johnsbury, VT 05819-1688 (802) 748-5176.

State of Vermont v. Jeffrey D. Buehner, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 647-2-07 Cncr. Lewd & lascivious conduct with a child; Defendant had previously been named "Teacher of the Year"; outcome: plea agreement to the offense charged; State's counsel: Chittenden County Deputy State's Attorney Susan Hardin, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865; Defendant's counsel: Bradley Stetler, Esq., 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865.

State of Vermont v. Kenneth Davis, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 598-12-06 Cncr aggravated sexual assault; outcome: guilty verdict after jury trial; State's counsel: Chittenden County Deputy State's Attorney Susan Hardin, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865; Defendant's counsel: Michael Straub, Esq., 19 Church Street, Ste 9, PO Box 587, Burlington, VT 05402-0587 (802) 864-6766.

State of Vermont v. Phat Nguyen, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 358-1-07 Cncr; Dispensing a narcotic with death resulting; outcome: plea agreement to offense charged; State's counsel: Chittenden County Deputy State's Attorney Justin Jiron, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865; Defendant's counsel: Bradley Stetler, Esq. Stetler, Allen & Kampmann, 95 St. Paul St., Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 660-8646.

State of Vermont v. Christopher Cerutti, Washington District Court, Docket No. 1535-12-06 Wncr; charges: sexual assault/no consent and possession of marijuana; outcome: guilty verdict after jury trial on sexual assault; not guilty on possession of marijuana; State's counsel: Washington County State's Attorney Thomas Kelly, Washington County State's Attorney's Office, 25 North Main St. Ste 9, Barre, VT 05641-4163 (802) 479-4220; Defendant's counsel: Scott Williams, Esq., Williams & Associates, PLC, P.O. Box 400, S. Barre, VT 05670 (802) 522-5622.

- d. For each of the 10 most significant opinions you have written, provide: (1) citations for those decisions that were published; (2) a copy of those decisions that were not published; and (3) the names and contact information for the attorneys who played a significant role in the case.

None of the decisions were published.

State of Vermont v. Kenneth Bailey, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 3917-7-05 Cncr. State's counsel Chittenden County Deputy State's Attorney Justin Jiron, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865; Defendant's counsel: Kerry DeWolfe, Esq., Rubin Kidney Myer & DeWolfe, 237 N. Main Street, Barre, VT 05641-4125 (802) 479-2514.

State of Vermont v. Herman Yoh, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 1462-3-98 Cncr. State's counsel: Chittenden County Deputy State's Attorney Edward Sutton, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865 and Assistant Attorney General John Treadwell, Vermont Attorney General's Office, 109 State Street, Montpelier, VT 05609-1001 (802) 828-5512; Defendant's counsel: William Nelson, Esq., 52 High Street, Middlebury, VT 05753 (802) 388-6781.

State of Vermont v. Jeffrey D. Buehner, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 647-2-07 Cncr. State's counsel: Chittenden County Deputy State's Attorney Susan Hardin, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865; Defendant's counsel: Bradley Stetler, Esq., 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865

State of Vermont v. Kenneth Davis, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 598-12-06 Cncr. State's counsel: Chittenden County Deputy State's Attorney Susan Hardin, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865; Defendant's counsel: Michael Straub, Esq., 19 Church Street, Ste 9, PO Box 587, Burlington, VT 05402-0587 (802) 864-6766.

State of Vermont v. Phat Nguyen, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 358-1-07 Cncr. State's counsel: Chittenden County Deputy State's Attorney Justin Jiron, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865; Defendant's counsel: Bradley Stetler, Esq., Stetler, Allen & Kampmann, 95 St. Paul St., Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 660-8646.

State of Vermont v. George Bradford, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 5353-12-06 Cncr. State's counsel: Chittenden County Deputy State's Attorney Susan Hardin, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865; Defendant's counsel: Robert Sussman, Esq. Chittenden County Public Defender's Office, 192 College Street, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-6323.

State of Vermont v. Marci Godin, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 1532-4-07 Cncr. State's counsel: Chittenden County Deputy State's Attorney Julia Flores, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865; Defendant's counsel: Richard Goldsborough; Kirkpatrick & Goldsborough, PLLC , 1223 Shelburne Road, Ste E-1, Lakewood Commons, S. Burlington, VT 05403 (802) 651-0960.

State of Vermont v. Danielle Martel, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 466-10-07 Cncr. State's counsel: Chittenden County Deputy State's Attorney Colin McNeil, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865; Defendant's counsel: Douglas Kallen, Esq., Bergeron, Paradis & Fitzpatrick, LLP, 27 Main Street, PO Box 925, Burlington, VT 05402-0925 (802) 863-1191.

State of Vermont v. Travis Hatin, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 5144-12-07. State's counsel: Chittenden County Deputy's State's Attorney Shannon Dilley, 32 Cherry Street, Ste 305, Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 863-2865; Defendant's counsel: Ernest Allen, Esq., Stetler, Allen & Kampmann, 95 St. Paul St., Burlington, VT 05401 (802) 660-8646.

O'Neil Walker v. President and Fellows of Middlebury College d/b/a Middlebury College, Addison Superior Court, Docket No. 99-5-05 Ancv. Plaintiff's counsel: Devin McLaughlin, Esq., Langrock Sperry & Wool, LLP, 111 S. Pleasant Street, PO Drawer 351, Middlebury, VT 05753-0351 (802) 388-6356; Defendant's counsel: Karen McAndrew, Esq., Dinse Knapp & McAndrew, P.C., 209 Battery St., PO Box 988, Burlington, VT 05402-0988 (802) 864-5751.

- e. Provide a list of all cases in which certiorari was requested or granted.

None.

- f. Provide a brief summary of and citations for all of your opinions where your decisions were reversed by a reviewing court or where your judgment was affirmed with significant criticism of your substantive or procedural rulings. If any of the opinions listed were not officially reported, provide copies of the opinions.

In re Richard Laws, 2007 VT 54, 182 Vt. 66, 928 A.2d 1210 The Vermont Supreme Court reversed and remanded the case, ruling that it should not have been dismissed although venue was improper and further that dismissal based upon petitioner's failure to raise his claims in his first post-conviction relief petition required analysis of each of the petitioner's claims. The court observed that it had not previously decided whether 13 V.S.A. § 7134 barred claims that *could have been brought* in a prior post-conviction relief petition. The court adopted the standard set forth in McClesky v. Zant, 499 U.S. 467, 494 (1991).

State of Vermont v. Peterson, 2007 VT 24, 181 Vt. 436, 923 A.2d 585. In this case, the Vermont Supreme Court addressed whether the physical fruits (in this case, marijuana plants) of statements that were the product of custodial interrogation without Miranda warnings should be suppressed as "fruit of the poisonous tree." The United States Supreme Court has held that Miranda and the Fifth Amendment protect against the admission of testimonial evidence only. The "fruit of the poisonous tree" is generally a Fourth Amendment doctrine. In United State v. Patane, 542 U.S. 630 (2007), the United States Supreme Court refused to uphold suppression of the physical fruits of a Miranda violation. The Vermont Supreme Court has held that the Vermont Constitution is "synonymous" with the federal Constitution with regard to the Fifth Amendment. See State v. Rheume, 2004 VT 35, ¶ 18 ("the Article 10 privilege against self-incrimination and that contained in the Fifth Amendment are synonymous."). The Vermont Supreme Court's decision, reversing my trial court decision and rejecting Patane, reflected a departure from its previous Fifth Amendment jurisprudence.

State of Vermont v. Bottigilonge, 2007 VT 12, 181 Vt. 577, 917 A.2d 500. In a two-sentence Entry Order, the Vermont Supreme Court reversed my determination that the defendant was "seized" at the time of the stop as a reasonable person would not feel free to drive away with a police officer knocking on her windshield.

State of Vermont v. Casey, unpublished Entry Order decided by three-justice panel (not to be considered precedent) (attached). In this case, the panel reversed my evidentiary ruling that the defendant's prior threats to human service agencies were relevant to his intent when he placed a call to the agency which provides food stamps, threatening to come down to the agency and hold a gun to the worker's head in order to get her to do the necessary paperwork which caused a lock down in a building housing various social service agencies. Although the decision does not reflect these facts, the State sought to introduce a number of such incidents pursuant to a Notice of Other Bad Acts to prove the defendant's intent. Under Vermont law, disturbing the peace by telephone requires the defendant to have the requisite intent at the time he or she placed the call. The law thus excludes liability for threats made and intended to be made only as the conversation develops. Also not reported in the decision is the defendant's initial concession, through counsel, that he made the threat as alleged but only did so

when he became frustrated as the call developed. In his trial testimony, the defendant subsequently denied that he ever uttered the threat alleged.

- g. Provide a description of the number and percentage of your decisions in which you issued an unpublished opinion and the manner in which those unpublished opinions are filed and/or stored.

All Vermont trial court decisions are unpublished. There is no official or unofficial data base for them.

- h. Provide citations for significant opinions on federal or state constitutional issues, together with the citation to appellate court rulings on such opinions. If any of the opinions listed were not officially reported, provide copies of the opinions.

None of these cases were officially reported. Copies of these decisions are attached.

State of Vermont v. James Peterson, Addison District Court, Docket No. 462-10-04 Ancr

State of Vermont v. Kenneth Bailey, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 3917-7-05 Cncr.

State of Vermont v. Herman Yoh, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 1462-3-98 Cncr.

State of Vermont v. Jeffrey D. Buehner, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 647-2-07 Cncr.

State of Vermont v. Kenneth Davis, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 598-12-06 Cncr.

State of Vermont v. Phat Nguyen, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 358-1-07 Cncr.

State v. George Bradford, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 5353-12-06 Cncr

State v. Danny Savo, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 1440-4-07 Cncr

State v. R. Craig Hall, Addison District Court, Docket No. 493-11-04 Ancr

- i. Provide citations to all cases in which you sat by designation on a federal court of appeals, including a brief summary of any opinions you authored, whether majority, dissenting, or concurring, and any dissenting opinions you joined.

I have not sat by designation on any federal court of appeal.

14. **Recusal:** If you are or have been a judge, identify the basis by which you have assessed the necessity or propriety of recusal (If your court employs an "automatic" recusal system by which you may be recused without your knowledge, please include a general description of that system.) Provide a list of any cases, motions or matters that have come before you in which a litigant or party has requested that you recuse yourself due to an asserted conflict of interest or in which you have recused yourself sua sponte. Identify each such case, and for each provide the following information:

- a. whether your recusal was requested by a motion or other suggestion by a litigant or a party to the proceeding or by any other person or interested party; or if you recused yourself sua sponte;
- b. a brief description of the asserted conflict of interest or other ground for recusal;
- c. the procedure you followed in determining whether or not to recuse yourself;
- d. your reason for recusing or declining to recuse yourself, including any action taken to remove the real, apparent or asserted conflict of interest or to cure any other ground for recusal.

Kneebinding v. Howell, Lamoille Superior Court, Docket No. 74-3-09 Lecr (May 2009). This case seeking injunctive relief was brought by an attorney in a law firm in which I was formerly a partner. The attorney was not at the firm while I was there and the case was not pending or contemplated at the time I was affiliated with the firm. I had no prior knowledge of the case and so I did not initially recuse myself. The Defendant, representing himself, filed an Answer naming two of my former partners as alleged counterclaim defendants, accusing them of persecuting him, and citing cases that were handled by the firm while I was associated with it. I was concerned about the potential for an appearance of bias, especially from the prospective of a pro se litigant. I recused myself in an Entry Order. Another judge was available to take the case and did so.

Perkins v. Euro Dec, Lamoille Superior Court, Docket No. 243-9-07 Lecv (March 2009). I recused myself in this case because I had formally represented the Defendant in a bankruptcy proceeding wherein certain creditors filed objections to his discharge. In that proceeding, I became familiar with the company and its principals' business practices and finances. This lawsuit involved similar issues. I explained my prior involvement on the record and announced that I would be recusing myself.

State v. Timothy Madden, Chittenden District Court, Docket No. 5304-12-07 Cncr (Sept. 2008). This case involved a defendant who had allegedly shot his best friend while hunting, mistaking him for a coyote. It was a highly publicized case because the defendant was a probation officer who had just come back from military service in Iraq and because hunting cases of this type generally garner state-wide media coverage in Vermont. I was within days of issuing a decision on a motion to suppress and to dismiss, including dismissal for failure to state a claim, when my own father was shot and killed

by a neighbor who was shooting at a make-shift target range next to my parents' home. My father's death was highly publicized because he was a well-known professor at a local college and because he was shot while eating dinner in his home. I decided to recuse myself so that the Madden case could be decided without interference and distraction. Although I believed I could decide the case fairly, I was concerned about the appearance of bias. I announced this decision on the record to the litigants.

State v. Shawn Hickok, Lamoille District Court Docket No. 574-9-08 Leclr. This is the only case in which a party has asked me to recuse myself. This case involved three counts of felony distribution of prescription drugs based upon controlled buys and a wire warrant. I rejected a plea agreement that imposed no jail time. I referred the request for recusal to Vermont's trial court Administrative Judge who denied it. See Judge Amy Davenport's Entry Order attached.

Family Court case. I do not recall the parties to this 2004 case. It was a highly contested divorce involving a woman who had taken care of my middle child during a lengthy hospitalization in a neonatal intensive care unit. She had also travelled by airplane with my child to Boston Children's Hospital. I did not recognize the parties by their names prior to coming on to the bench because I knew the woman only as "Nurse Linda." I disclosed my prior involvement with Nurse Linda to the parties and voiced my inclination to recuse myself. The parties agreed with this suggestion.

15. Public Office, Political Activities and Affiliations:

- a. List chronologically any public offices you have held, other than judicial offices, including the terms of service and whether such positions were elected or appointed. If appointed, please include the name of the individual who appointed you. Also, state chronologically any unsuccessful candidacies you have had for elective office or unsuccessful nominations for appointed office.

Vermont State Board of Appraisers (2003-2004); appointed by the Vermont Commissioner of Taxes.

- b. List all memberships and offices held in and services rendered, whether compensated or not, to any political party or election committee. If you have ever held a position or played a role in a political campaign, identify the particulars of the campaign, including the candidate, dates of the campaign, your title and responsibilities.

None.

16. Legal Career: Answer each part separately.

- a. Describe chronologically your law practice and legal experience after graduation from law school including:

- i. whether you served as clerk to a judge, and if so, the name of the judge, the court and the dates of the period you were a clerk;

From 1989 to 1990, I served as a law clerk for the Maine Supreme Judicial Court; 142 Federal Street, Portland, ME, 04112-0368. I clerked for Hon. D. Brock Hornby and Hon. Caroline Glassman.

- ii. whether you practiced alone, and if so, the addresses and dates;

I have not practiced alone.

- iii. the dates, names and addresses of law firms or offices, companies or governmental agencies with which you have been affiliated, and the nature of your affiliation with each.

1990 – 1992

Perkins, Thompson, Hinckley & Keddy
One Canal Street
Portland, ME 04112-0426
law associate

1992 – 2001

Sheehey Brue Gray & Furlong
30 Main Street
Gateway Square, Sixth Floor
Burlington, VT 05402-0066
law associate (1992-1994); partner (1995-2001)

2001 – 2004

Gravel & Shea
76 St. Paul Street
Burlington, VT 05402-0369
Special Counsel (2001-2002); partner (2003-2004)

- iv. whether you served as a mediator or arbitrator in alternative dispute resolution proceedings and, if so, a description of the 10 most significant matters with which you were involved in that capacity.

I have not served as a mediator or arbitrator.

b. Describe:

- i. the general character of your law practice and indicate by date when its character has changed over the years.

From approximately 1990 to 1992, I had a litigation practice concentrated

in the areas of patents, land use, and commercial banking. From approximately 1992 to 2001, I had a litigation practice concentrated in the areas of media law, land use law, business law, employment law, and federal criminal law. From approximately 2001 to 2004, I had a litigation practice concentrated in the areas of media law, land use law, business law, and employment law.

- ii. your typical clients and the areas at each period of your legal career, if any, in which you have specialized.

In media matters, I have represented Mt. Mansfield Television, Inc. (WCAX-TV); the Rutland Herald; the Burlington Free Press; and the Barre-Montpelier Times Argus.

In commercial and business matters, I have represented Federal Express; Green Mountain Power Corporation; Burlington Drug Company; Copelco Credit Corporation; Suss-Microtec; The Merchants Bank; The Shelburne Museum; Price Chopper; Saturn of South Burlington; Freedom Nissan; Freedom Chrysler; and Bruegger's Bagel Bakery.

In personal injury, products liability, employment, or legal malpractice matters, I have represented Volkswagen of America; the Dalkon Shield Claimants Trust; Federal Express; Porter Hospital, Price Chopper; Geiger of Austria; The Vermont Country Store; and Alps Legal Malpractice Division.

- c. Describe the percentage of your practice that has been in litigation and whether you appeared in court frequently, occasionally, or not at all. If the frequency of your appearances in court varied, describe such variance, providing dates.

My legal practice was primarily a litigation practice. On average, I appeared in court approximately twice a month with greater frequency when I was in trial.

- i. Indicate the percentage of your practice in:
 - 1. federal courts: 20%
 - 2. state courts of record: 75%
 - 3. other courts;
 - 4. administrative agencies: 5%

- ii. Indicate the percentage of your practice in:
 - 1. civil proceedings: 90%
 - 2. criminal proceedings: 10%

- d. State the number of cases in courts of record, including cases before administrative law judges, you tried to verdict, judgment or final decision (rather than settled), indicating whether you were sole counsel, chief counsel, or associate counsel.

As a lawyer, I tried seven jury trials to verdict and approximately thirty bench trials to a resolution. All of these cases were either as sole counsel or as co-counsel. I also participated as associate counsel in five additional jury trials and twenty-five bench trials.

- i. What percentage of these trials were:

1. jury: 24%;
2. non-jury. 76%

- d. Describe your practice, if any, before the Supreme Court of the United States. Supply four (4) copies of any briefs, amicus or otherwise, and, if applicable, any oral argument transcripts before the Supreme Court in connection with your practice.

I have not practiced before the Supreme Court of the United States.

17. **Litigation:** Describe the ten (10) most significant litigated matters which you personally handled, whether or not you were the attorney of record. Give the citations, if the cases were reported, and the docket number and date if unreported. Give a capsule summary of the substance of each case. Identify the party or parties whom you represented; describe in detail the nature of your participation in the litigation and the final disposition of the case. Also state as to each case:

- a. the date of representation;
- b. the name of the court and the name of the judge or judges before whom the case was litigated; and
- c. the individual name, addresses, and telephone numbers of co-counsel and of principal counsel for each of the other parties.

- (1) Burlington Drug Company v. VHA, Inc. and Cardinal Health, U.S. District Court for the District of Vermont, civil action no. 1:45-CV-15; pending before Hon. Jerome J. Niedermeier (2001-2003); co-counsel Jeffrey Behm, Esq., Sheehy Furlong & Behm, P.C., 30 Main Street, Gateway Square, Sixth Floor, P.O. Box 66, Burlington, VT 05402-0066; (802) 864-9891; opposing counsel Stephen Soule, Esq., Paul, Frank & Collins, P.C., One Church Street, P.O. Box 1307, Burlington, VT 05402-1307; (802) 860-4206. Our client, Burlington Drug Company, brought this antitrust suit which arose out of contracts governing the wholesaling of pharmaceutical drugs that included a "most favored nation" clause. The case settled before trial. I served as co-counsel during all stages of the proceedings which included extensive discovery outside the State of Vermont and substantial pre-trial motions, including motions for summary judgment.

- (2) Ethel Jugel, Administrator of the Estate of Jay Jugle v. Volkswagen of America, U.S. District Court for the District of Vermont, civil action no. 5:93-CV-51, pending before Hon. William K. Sessions III, (1993-1995); co-counsel Donald Rendall, Esq., Green Mountain Power Corp., 163 Acorn Lane, Colchester, VT 05446-6611; (802) 655-8420; opposing counsel Gary Lange, Esq., Swanson & Lange, 125 College Street, 6th Floor, P.O. Box, 5067, Burlington, VT 05402-5067; (802) 658-3025. We represented Volkswagen of America in this products liability case arising out a vehicle fire that badly burned the plaintiff who later died from his injuries. His estate brought claims in excess of a million dollars. We tried this case for approximately three weeks in federal court to a defense verdict. I served as co-counsel at all stages of the proceedings which included substantial pre-trial motions, including motions for summary judgment and *Daubert* motions.
- (3) The Merchants Bank v. C.R. Davidson Company, Inc., Docket No. S644-1198 Windsor Superior Court and Docket No. 98-11733 Bankruptcy Court (1998-2000), pending before Hon. Alan Cheever and Hon. Francis Conrad; co-counsel Jeffrey Behm, Esq., Sheehey Furlong & Behm, P.C., 30 Main Street Gateway Square, Sixth Floor, P.O. Box 66, Burlington, VT 05402-0066; (802) 864-9891; opposing counsel John Paul Faignant, Esq., Miller & Faignant, P.C., 1213 US Route 7 North, P.O. Box 6688, Rutland, VT 05702-6688; (802) 775-2521. This was a lender liability lawsuit arising out of various foreclosures initiated by our client, the Merchants Bank. It involved extensive proceedings in state and bankruptcy court. I was the lead counsel for the Merchants Bank which was facing at least two other lender liability cases that were handled by other firms. The Merchants Bank identified this case as their least expensive and most successful lender liability case.
- (4) In re: Carl Riccitelli, Case No. 95-10030 FGC; Carl Riccitelli v. Green Mountain Power Corp., Adv. Pro. No., 98-1005; pending before Hon. Francis Conrad (bankruptcy court) and Hon. J. Garvan Murtha (U.S. District Court for the District of Vermont); co-counsel: Donald Rendall, Esq., Green Mountain Power Corp., 163 Acorn Lane, Colchester, VT 05446-6611; (802) 655-8420; opposing counsel John Paul Faignant, Esq., Miller & Faignant, P.C., 1213 US Route 7 North, P.O. Box 6688, Rutland, VT 05702-6688; (802) 775-2521. In this case, the plaintiff asserted claims of trespass, negligence, strict products liability, breach of warranty and negligent infliction of emotional distress arising out of fire at his residence in which his brother arranged for power to supplied to the house allegedly without plaintiff's authority. The case was resolved on summary judgment in favor of my client Green Mountain Power Corp. The summary judgment decision was appealed to the U.S. District Court (Hon. J. Garvan Murtha) and to the Second Circuit Court of Appeals.
- (5) Van Vlandren v. Paul, Frank & Collins, Inc. et al., Chittenden Superior Court Docket No. 1246 92CnC, pending before Hon. Linda Levitt, co-counsel Jeffrey Behm, Esq., Sheehey Furlong & Behm, P.C., 30 Main Street Gateway Square, Sixth Floor, P.O. Box 66, Burlington, VT 05402-0066; (802) 864-9891; opposing counsel: Douglas Pierson, Esq. and Chip Wadhams, Esq., Pierson Wadhams Quinn Yates & Coffrin, LLP, 253 S. Union Street, Burlington, VT 05401-4531 (802) 863-2888. This was a case of first impression

in Vermont, establishing that a violation of Vermont's waste disposal regulations was a cloud on title. It was tried on a theory of legal malpractice which resulted in a jury verdict in favor of Plaintiffs.

- (6) United States of America v. William E. Mcneely, Carlos Godoy, and Jeffrey Renaud, U.S. District Court, District of Vermont Docket No. 2:94 CR 000072-01-02; pending before Hon. Fred Parker; co-counsel Jeffrey Behm, Esq., Sheehey Furlong & Behm, P.C., 30 Main Street Gateway Square, Sixth Floor, P.O. Box 66, Burlington, VT 05402-0066; opposing counsel: Assistant U.S. Attorney Peter Hull, U.S. Attorney's Office, Federal Building, 11 Elmwood Ave., P.O. Box 570, Burlington, VT 05402-6725 (802) 951-6725. This was Mann act case tried to a jury verdict finding our client Carlos Godoy guilty as charged. Mr. Godoy's conviction was partially vacated as a result of a post-judgment motion for judgment of acquittal.
- (7) In the Matter of the Search of The Offices of Northeast Medical Supply, Inc., Case No. 2:95-mj- 23-01 (pending before Hon. Mag. Jerome Niedermeier); United States of America v. NE Medical Supplies, - 1:98-cv-305 (pending before Hon. J. Garvan Murtha) co-counsel: Jeffrey Behm, Esq., Sheehey Furlong & Behm, P.C., 30 Main Street Gateway Square, Sixth Floor, P.O. Box 66, Burlington, VT 05402-0066; opposing counsel: Assistant U.S. Paul Van de Graaf, U.S. Attorney's Office, Federal Building, 11 Elmwood Ave., P.O. Box 570, Burlington, VT 05402-6725 (802) 951-6725. This was a complicated Medicare fraud case that involved a litigated search warrant and return of property.
- (8) James Bruce v. Geiger of Austria, 78-4-01 Ancv, pending before Addison Superior Court; Hon. Matthew Katz (2002-2003). Opposing counsel William Miller, Esq. and Devin McLaughlin, Esq., Langrock, Sperry & Wool, 111 S. Pleasant Street, PO Drawer 351, Middlebury, VT 05753-0351; (802) 388-6356. This case was tried to a defense verdict for my client Geiger of Austria. It involved employment law claims with damages in excess of \$500,000. One unique aspect of this case was that it was brought by Geiger's former director of human resources and thus the plaintiff was very knowledgeable about Geiger's employment practices and records.
- (9) Herald Association, Inc. v. Governor Howard Dean, M.D., 174 Vt. 350, 816 A.2d 469 (2002); pending before Vermont Supreme Court; co-counsel: Robert Hemley, Esq., Gravel & Shea, 76 St. Paul Street, P.O. Box 369, Burlington, VT 05402-0369 (802) 658-0220. Opposing counsel: Vermont Attorney General William Sorrell; Vermont Attorney General's Office; 109 State Street, Montpelier, VT 05609-1001 (802) 828-0269; our media clients sought copies of Governor's daily schedule under Access to Public Records Act. This case involved the extent of the executive privilege.
- (10) Andrew Corporation v. Gabriel Electronics Incorporated, civil action no. 83-0372p, pending in U.S. District Court for the District of Maine before Chief Judge Hon. Gene Carter; (1990-1992). Co-counsel: Hon. John H. Rich, III, now Magistrate for the U.S. District Court, District of Maine, Portland Courthouse, 156 Federal Street, Portland, ME, 04101; (207) 780-3356 ext. 2208. Opposing counsel: Stephen Rudasill, Esq. Nixon,

Peabody LLP, Chicago, Ill. This was the damages portion of a lengthy patent infringement case relating to the technology for horn antennas. The Court of Appeals for the First Circuit reversed the District Court's finding of non-infringement by our client Gabriel Electronics Incorporated ("Gabriel"). It determined that Gabriel had in fact infringed upon the plaintiff's patent, and remanded the case for a determination of damages. Gabriel ultimately incurred a reasonable royalty liability that would permit it to remain in business. In addition, the District Court found no evidence of "willfulness" in Gabriel's infringement and declined to award attorney's fees to the plaintiff.

18. **Legal Activities:** Describe the most significant legal activities you have pursued, including significant litigation which did not progress to trial or legal matters that did not involve litigation. Describe fully the nature of your participation in these activities. List any client(s) or organization(s) for whom you performed lobbying activities and describe the lobbying activities you performed on behalf of such client(s) or organizations(s). (Note: As to any facts requested in this question, please omit any information protected by the attorney-client privilege.)

I have acted as counsel to several banks in the sale of non-performing and under-performing loan portfolios. Most of my work in this respect was performed for The Merchants Bank

As a judge, I strive to be active in all forms of legal education from presenting at conferences to attorneys and lay persons on a wide array of subjects, to assisting in planning the judicial education of Vermont trial judges. In every county in which I have presided, I have held bench-bar meetings and encouraged an open conversation with the community as to how the court can better administer justice and serve the community's needs.

19. **Teaching:** What courses have you taught? For each course, state the title, the institution at which you taught the course, the years in which you taught the course, and describe briefly the subject matter of the course and the major topics taught. If you have a syllabus of each course, provide four (4) copies to the committee.

French I, University of Arizona, Department of French & Italian, Tucson, Arizona (1984). I do not have a copy of the syllabus.

20. **Deferred Income/ Future Benefits:** List the sources, amounts and dates of all anticipated receipts from deferred income arrangements, stock, options, uncompleted contracts and other future benefits which you expect to derive from previous business relationships, professional services, firm memberships, former employers, clients or customers. Describe the arrangements you have made to be compensated in the future for any financial or business interest.

I am vested in the State of Vermont Employee Pension program.

21. **Outside Commitments During Court Service:** Do you have any plans, commitments, or agreements to pursue outside employment, with or without compensation, during your service with the court? If so, explain.

None.

22. **Sources of Income:** List sources and amounts of all income received during the calendar year preceding your nomination and for the current calendar year, including all salaries, fees, dividends, interest, gifts, rents, royalties, licensing fees, honoraria, and other items exceeding \$500 or more (if you prefer to do so, copies of the financial disclosure report, required by the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, may be substituted here).

See attached Financial Disclosure Report

23. **Statement of Net Worth:** Please complete the attached financial net worth statement in detail (add schedules as called for).

See attached Net Worth Statement

24. **Potential Conflicts of Interest:**

- a. Identify the family members or other persons, parties, categories of litigation, and financial arrangements that are likely to present potential conflicts-of-interest when you first assume the position to which you have been nominated. Explain how you would address any such conflict if it were to arise.

My primary social contacts are with my husband's and my own large family. He is the middle child of eight and I am the middle child of seven. We also socialize occasionally with a small group of close friends who are non-lawyers. I do not belong to any organizations that are likely to appear before me and I do not have any financial interests outside of my own employment and investments.

- b. Explain how you will resolve any potential conflict of interest, including the procedure you will follow in determining these areas of concern.

I will carefully consider the potential for a conflict of interest and disclose all relevant information to the litigants. I will then permit them to discuss that information outside my presence. I will consult the applicable rules, precedent, and the Code of Conduct for United States Judges. After doing so, I will make a determination, and advise the parties of my decision in writing or on the record.

25. **Pro Bono Work:** An ethical consideration under Canon 2 of the American Bar Association's Code of Professional Responsibility calls for "every lawyer, regardless of professional prominence or professional workload, to find some time to participate in serving the disadvantaged." Describe what you have done to fulfill these responsibilities, listing specific instances and the amount of time devoted to each.

From approximately 1994 to 2004, I represented Vermont Cares, an organization dedicated to assisting Vermonters living with HIV, and its clients on a pro bono basis in an array of matters including discrimination complaints, social security appeals, employment issues, and end-of-life decisions. This occupied approximately 5-10 % of my time on an annual basis. I also represented a number of individuals and entities on a pro bono basis, devoting at least 100 billable hours annually to this representation. I was trained and acted as a Guardian ad Litem in the Family Court.

26. Selection Process:

- a. Please describe your experience in the entire judicial selection process, from beginning to end (including the circumstances which led to your nomination and the interviews in which you participated). Is there a selection commission in your jurisdiction to recommend candidates for nomination to the federal courts? If so, please include that process in your description, as well as whether the commission recommended your nomination. List the dates of all interviews or communications you had with the White House staff or the Justice Department regarding this nomination. Do not include any contacts with Federal Bureau of Investigation personnel concerning your nomination.

I submitted a written application to a nine-member merit selection committee. The selection committee then chose eight applicants for interviews and conducted an investigation of each of the eight candidates. Members of the public and attorneys were permitted to submit anonymous comments for the committee's consideration. The selection committee then recommended four applicants for further consideration by U.S. Senator Patrick Leahy. Senator Leahy interviewed each of the four candidates with his staff by videoconference. I then interviewed with Senator Leahy in person. He later called me and asked me further questions and ultimately advised me that he intended to recommend me to the U.S. District Court for the District of Vermont vacancy.

I was contacted by staff from the Department of Justice regarding the completion of nomination paperwork, and have had subsequent conversations with Department staff regarding that paperwork and the nomination process. On September 24, 2009 I had a formal interview at the Department of Justice with staff from the Department of Justice and from the White House Counsel's Office. My nomination was submitted to the United States Senate on October 13, 2009.

- b. Has anyone involved in the process of selecting you as a judicial nominee discussed with you any currently pending or specific case, legal issue or question in a manner that could reasonably be interpreted as seeking any express or implied assurances concerning your position on such case, issue, or question? If so, explain fully.

No.

AFFIDAVIT

I, Christina Reiss, do swear
that the information provided in this statement is, to the best
of my knowledge, true and accurate.

10/9/09

(DATE)



(NAME)



(NOTARY) Jennifer Brock
Exp. 2/10/11