October 25, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

The Honorable Jeff Sessions
Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530

The Honorable Christopher A. Wray
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
935 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20535

Dear Attorney General Sessions and Director Wray:

As you know, the Senate Judiciary Committee recently processed the nomination of Judge Brett M. Kavanaugh to serve as an Associate Justice on the Supreme Court of the United States, leading to his eventual confirmation on October 6, 2018. As part of that process, the Committee has investigated various allegations made against Judge Kavanaugh. The Committee’s investigation has involved communicating with numerous individuals claiming to have relevant information. While many of those individuals have provided the Committee information in good faith, it unfortunately appears some have not. As explained below, I am writing to refer Mr. Michael Avenatti and Ms. Julie Swetnick for investigation of potential violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 371, 1001, and 1505, for materially false statements they made to the Committee during the course of the Committee’s investigation.

ALLEGATIONS BY MR. AVENATTI AND MS. SWETNICK

On September 23, 2018, Mr. Avenatti posted a message on social media claiming that he was “represent[ing] a woman with credible information regarding Judge Kavanaugh and Mark Judge.” 1 Minutes later, Committee staff contacted Mr. Avenatti acknowledging his claim and asking that he “advise [them] of this information immediately so that Senate investigators may promptly begin an inquiry.” 2 Mr. Avenatti responded, failing to disclose the identity of his client but representing to Committee staff:

We are aware of significant evidence of multiple house parties in the Washington, D.C. area during the early 1980s during which Brett Kavanaugh, Mark Judge, and others would participate in the targeting of

1 https://twitter.com/MichaelAvenatti/status/1044006928416825344.
2 Email exchange between the Chief Counsel for Nominations Mike Davis and Michael Avenatti on Sept. 23, 2018, enclosed below.
women with alcohol/drugs in order to allow a ‘train’ of men to subsequently gang rape them.³

Noting Mr. Avenatti’s use of “we,” Committee staff asked Mr. Avenatti if he did in fact have a client making these claims or was solely doing so himself.⁴ He responded that he did have a client, but again did not identify her.⁵ On September 24, 2018, Mr. Avenatti posted an additional message on social media “[w]arning … [t]he GOP and others” to “be very careful in trying to suggest that she [Mr. Avenatti’s unnamed client] is not credible.”⁶ Then, on September 26, 2018, Mr. Avenatti publicly revealed that his client was Ms. Julie Swetnick.⁷ Ms. Swetnick is a former client of the law firm of Ms. Debra Katz, the attorney for Dr. Christine Blasey Ford.⁸

That same day, September 26, 2018, Mr. Avenatti submitted a sworn statement to the Committee purportedly written and signed by Ms. Swetnick, in which she accused Judge Kavanaugh of repeatedly drugging women and/or spiking their punch with alcohol in order to render them inebriated and disoriented so that groups of boys, including Judge Kavanaugh, could gang rape them.⁹ Specifically, she alleged in her sworn statement that she met Brett Kavanaugh “in approximately 1980-1981,” and that she “attended well over ten house parties in the Washington, D.C. area during the years 1981-1983,” some of which she claimed Brett Kavanaugh also attended. “During the years 1981-82,” Ms. Swetnick declared, “I became aware of efforts by Mark Judge, Brett Kavanaugh and others to ‘spike’ the ‘punch’ at house parties I attended with drugs and/or grain alcohol so as to cause girls to lose their inhibitions and their ability to say ‘No.’” She said that at these parties, which “were a common occurrence in the area and occurred nearly every weekend during the school year,” she witnessed Brett Kavanaugh participate in what she believed to be systematic sexual assaults of incapacitated women. “I … witnessed efforts by Mark Judge, Brett Kavanaugh and others to cause girls to become inebriated so they could then be ‘gang raped’ in a side room or bedroom by a ‘train’ of numerous boys. I have a firm recollection of seeing boys lined up outside rooms at many of these parties waiting for their ‘turn’ with a girl inside the room,” Ms. Swetnick declared, and “[t]hese boys included Mark Judge and Brett Kavanaugh.”

Ms. Swetnick’s sworn statement, which the Committee received on September 26, 2018, also mentioned for the first time the “Beach Week” parties in Ocean City, Maryland. Ms. Swetnick said that she was “told by other women this conduct also occurred during the Summer months in Ocean City, Maryland,” and she “witnessed such conduct on one occasion in Ocean City, Maryland during ‘Beach Week.’” However, Mr. Avenatti did not reference “Beach Week” in his September 23, 2018 email to the Committee. Mr. Avenatti’s original email only alleged conduct at house parties in the Washington, D.C. area. Notably, Ms. Swetnick submitted her statement broadening the area of the alleged incidents from Washington, D.C.

---
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⁶ https://twitter.com/MichaelAvenatti/status/1044233074609811456.
⁷ https://twitter.com/MichaelAvenatti/status/1044960940884709378.
⁹ Swetnick Sworn Statement, dated Sept. 25, 2018 and received on Sept. 26, 2018, enclosed below.
to Ocean City, Maryland, only after the Committee publicly released Judge Kavanaugh’s 1982 calendar - which included a notation for Beach Week during the week of June 6-12.  

**DIVERSION OF COMMITTEE RESOURCES TO INVESTIGATE MR. AVENATTI’S AND MS. SWETNICK’S ALLEGATIONS**

The sworn statement Mr. Avenatti submitted on behalf of Ms. Swetnick materially affected the Committee’s investigation of allegations against Judge Kavanaugh. Within hours of the submission, all the Democrats on the Senate Judiciary Committee sent a letter to me stating:

> In light of shocking new allegations detailed by Julie Swetnick in a sworn affidavit, we write to request that the Committee vote on Brett Kavanaugh be immediately canceled and that you support the reopening of the FBI investigation to examine all of the allegations against Kavanaugh or withdrawal of his nomination.

The Democrats’ letter specifically referenced the fact that Ms. Swetnick’s sworn statement was submitted to the Committee “under penalty of perjury, which would cause Ms. Swetnick to be subject to criminal prosecution” if her allegations are knowingly, willfully, and materially false.

After receiving the allegations from Mr. Avenatti and Ms. Swetnick, Committee staff immediately began investigating the claims, diverting significant resources to the effort. This included questioning Judge Kavanaugh in a transcribed interview on September 25, 2018, about the allegations Mr. Avenatti made to the Committee via his September 23, 2018 email. It also included questioning Judge Kavanaugh in another transcribed interview on September 26, 2018, about the specifics of Ms. Swetnick’s allegations after the Committee received her statement. Under penalty of felony, Judge Kavanaugh categorically denied the allegations and stated he did not know Ms. Swetnick. Committee staff also interviewed ten associates of Ms. Swetnick, working late nights and weekends to gather information to determine the veracity of Ms. Swetnick’s claims and evaluate her credibility. Committee staff sought to interview Ms. Swetnick, but Mr. Avenatti refused.

**MS. SWETNICK’S AND MR. AVENATTI’S SUBSEQUENT CONTRADICTIONS OF THEIR ALLEGATIONS**

In short, Mr. Avenatti and Ms. Swetnick made grave allegations against Judge Kavanaugh, and the Committee diverted significant resources to investigate the claims. However, in light of Ms. Swetnick’s and Mr. Avenatti’s own statements to the media, information obtained from Committee interviews of her

---

10 Judge Kavanaugh’s Summer 1982 Calendar, provided to Senate Judiciary Committee. Available at: https://www.judiciary.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Kavanaugh%20Summer%201982%20Calendar%20Pages1.pdf.


12 *Id.*


associates, and publicly reported information about her and Mr. Avenatti, it has become apparent that the statements Mr. Avenatti and Ms. Swetnick submitted to the Committee likely contained materially false claims.

On October 1, 2018, NBC News aired an interview of Ms. Swetnick by Ms. Kate Snow, in which Ms. Swetnick contradicted key claims she had made to the Committee via Mr. Avenatti.\textsuperscript{15} When asked about the claim in her sworn statement that she was aware of Brett Kavanaugh spiking punch at parties with drugs and/or grain alcohol, Ms. Swetnick demurred, stating instead that “I saw [Kavanaugh] giving red Solo cups to quite a few girls” but that “I don’t know what he did” as far as spiking punch. In this revised account to NBC, she merely claimed she “saw him by” punch containers. This materially contradicted her statement in her sworn statement that she was “aware of efforts by … Brett Kavanaugh … to ‘spike’ the ‘punch’ at house parties … to cause girls to become inebriated and disoriented so they could then be ‘gang raped.’”\textsuperscript{16} Ms. Swetnick’s sworn statement to the Committee claimed she had “personal knowledge of the information” stated in it. Yet, when CNN later questioned Mr. Avenatti about the clear contradictions between Ms. Swetnick’s statements in her sworn declaration and those to NBC about Judge Kavanaugh spiking punch, he conceded: “One of her friends informed her of what she just put in the declaration or what was attested to in the declaration.”\textsuperscript{17}

When the NBC interview with Ms. Swetnick addressed claims in her sworn statement that she had “a firm recollection of seeing boys,” including Brett Kavanaugh, “lined up outside rooms at many of these parties” to gang rape incapacitated women, Ms. Swetnick again contradicted her statement to the Committee. She denied both that there were lines of boys outside rooms and that she had any actual knowledge at the time of any gang rapes in those rooms by these boys.

Ms. Snow and Ms. Swetnick had the following exchange in which Ms. Swetnick contradicted her claim of seeing boys lined up outside rooms at these parties she supposedly attended:

\begin{quote}
Ms. Swetnick: I would see boys standing outside of rooms, congregated together…. I would see them laughing, a lot of laughing.
Ms. Snow: Standing in line outside a room?
Ms. Swetnick: Not a line, but definitely huddled by doors.\textsuperscript{18}
\end{quote}

So, contradicting her sworn statement claim that she had “a firm recollection” of seeing boys lined up outside bedrooms at parties to systematically rape women, her revised account to NBC merely claimed that she saw groups of boys standing together and laughing in the general vicinity of doors at house parties.

Similarly, although Ms. Swetnick claimed in her sworn statement that, based on “personal knowledge,” it was her “firm recollection” that these boys were lined up for the purpose of “waiting for

16\textsuperscript{See} Swetnick Sworn Statement.
18\textsuperscript{See} Kate Snow, Kavanaugh Accuser Julie Swetnick Speaks Out on Sexual Abuse Allegations, NBC News (Oct. 1, 2018).\end{flushright}
their ‘turn’ with a girl inside the room,” *i.e.*, for their turn to rape a victim incapacitated by punch spiked with drugs or alcohol, she contradicted this as well in her NBC interview, instead admitting that she did not have any knowledge at the time that any such activity was actually happening, but only assumed as much after the fact, stating: “I didn’t know what was occurring ... and I didn’t understand what it could possibly be.” Ms. Snow attempted to clarify, asking: “So you’re suggesting that, **in hindsight,** you **think** he [Kavanaugh] was involved in this behavior [gang rapes]?” Ms. Swetnick responded: “I would say [pause] yes. It’s just too coincidental.”19

Ms. Swetnick also contradicted the timeline she provided in her sworn statement, in which she stated: “I attended well over ten house parties in the Washington D.C. area during the years 1981-83 where Mark Judge and Brett Kavanaugh were present.” In the NBC interview, Ms. Swetnick stated that she was sexually assaulted at one of these house parties when she was 19 and stopped going to them afterwards. According to public records, Ms. Swetnick would have turned 20 toward the end of 1982. So, her claim that she attended these parties through 1983 is contradicted by her claim she stopped attending when she was 19.20

In sum, the sworn statement Mr. Avenatti submitted to the Committee on behalf of Ms. Swetnick claimed she had “personal knowledge” that Judge Kavanaugh spiked punch with drugs and alcohol at house parties in 1981-83 in order to cause girls to become incapacitated so that lines of boys would systematically sexually assault them. She later contradicted each of those claims in her interview with NBC.

Those contradictions did not go unnoticed. When NBC introduced her interview segment, Ms. Snow explicitly stated: “There are things that she told us on camera that differ from her written statement last week.”21 When later asked by an MSNBC anchor whether Ms. Swetnick has credibility issues, Ms. Snow stated: “I would say yes because there are – just to be clear there are things that she said to me that differ from her initial statement, which was a sworn statement last week, submitted to the Judiciary Committee.”22 A CNN host similarly noted the contradictions and quizzed Mr. Avenatti about them.23 While differences between a media report and a statement to the Committee would not necessarily rise to the level of warranting a referral, when the source of the contradictory media reports is the declarant herself, as is the case here, it does.

**LACK OF CREDIBLE EVIDENCE MS. SWETNICK EVER KNEW JUDGE KAVANAUGH**

Not only did Ms. Swetnick materially contradict the allegations of sexual misconduct she and Mr. Avenatti made to the Committee about Judge Kavanaugh, there is simply no credible evidence that Ms. Swetnick ever even met or socialized with Judge Kavanaugh. On the contrary, there is substantial evidence they did not know each other. Ms. Swetnick was older and attended a different high school in a different town – one whose students were reportedly not known to regularly socialize with students from Judge Kavanaugh’s high school. The only apparent commonality between Ms. Swetnick and Judge Kavanaugh is that they both lived in Montgomery County, Maryland in the early 1980s. That is not particularly

19 *Id.*
20 In addition to contradicting her statement to the Judiciary Committee, Ms. Swetnick’s NBC interview was also internally inconsistent and contradictory, such as her baffling explanations of how and when she decided to come forward with her allegation.
22 MSNBC Live with Craig Melvin (Oct. 2, 2018).
meaningful for determining whether they knew each other; according to information from the U.S. Census Bureau, Montgomery County had a population of over 600,000 in 1982.

In addition to denying her allegations, Judge Kavanaugh told the Committee under penalty of felony that he did not know Ms. Swetnick. Mark Judge similarly denied the allegations and stated to the Committee, also under penalty of felony, “I do not know Julie Swetnick.” Michael Fegan, a friend of Judge Kavanaugh’s in high school who “attended most of the same social events” as Judge Kavanaugh, stated the following to the Committee under penalty of felony:

I have never heard of Ms. Swetnick. My understanding is that she graduated from Gaithersburg High School three years before we graduated from Georgetown Prep. During my high school years, I did not know any girls from Gaithersburg High School. We did not socialize with girls from Gaithersburg High School.

Indeed, a letter to the Committee under penalty of felony signed by 64 “men and women who knew Brett Kavanaugh well in high school” called Ms. Swetnick’s allegations “[n]onsense” and noted: “In the extensive amount of time we collectively spent with Brett, we do not recall having ever met someone named Julie Swetnick.”

For their part, it appears the media similarly could not find any evidence that Ms. Swetnick actually knew Judge Kavanaugh. As Ms. Snow from NBC News reported:

We’ve been trying independently to reach out to anyone who remembers attending parties with Julie Swetnick and Brett Kavanaugh, and we’ve been asking her attorney for names. So far, we’ve not found anyone who remembers that…. We asked him [Mr. Avenatti], I asked him at that point can you provide us with any names of people who went to the parties with her. Just because we couldn’t place her, NBC News has not since last week been able to place her in that time period at those house parties in that group of friends. There aren’t other people coming forward as happens in many other stories we cover, who say 'yes I was there too.' … We’re just trying to do our reporting…. To date, as of today, we haven’t been able to find anyone who says 'yes, I saw her in the same room with Brett Kavanaugh.’ and of course Judge Kavanaugh says he was not in the same room with her, he doesn’t even know who she is.

Ms. Swetnick did eventually provide NBC News the names of four people she said attended these alleged parties with her, but according to NBC: “One of them said he does not recall a Julie Swetnick.

---

25 Letter from Mark Judge to Chairman Grassley and Ranking Member Feinstein (Sept. 28, 2018), enclosed below.
26 Letter from Michael C. Fegan to Chairman Grassley (Oct. 1, 2018), enclosed below.
27 Letter from Kavanaugh high school friends to Chairman Grassley and Ranking Member Feinstein (Sept. 26, 2018), enclosed below.
28 Kate Snow, Kavanaugh Accuser Julie Swetnick Speaks out on Sexual Abuse Allegations, NBC News (Oct. 1, 2018).
Another of the friends she named is deceased. We’ve reached out to the other two, and haven’t heard back.”

After the media hubbub about Ms. Swetnick’s contradictory interview and the lack of any corroboration for her claims, Mr. Avenatti belatedly produced a vague and anonymous declaration he claimed supported her allegations. Mr. Avenatti did not provide the identity of this supposed declarant to the Committee, nor did he make him or her available for an interview with Committee staff. It does not appear any media outlet has been able report any interview with the purported declarant or validate anything in the anonymous declaration. Indeed, it is unclear who actually wrote the anonymous declaration. Mr. Avenatti also apparently has a history of claiming to have anonymous clients who never materialize in any verifiable form.

**ISSUES WITH MS. SWETNICK’S CREDIBILITY**

During the course of the Committee’s investigation of allegations against Judge Kavanaugh, Committee investigators spoke with 45 individuals, obtained 25 written statements, and reviewed numerous other materials. This included speaking with ten associates of Ms. Swetnick who knew her at various times in her life ranging from junior high to the present day. In doing so, Committee investigators did not find any information to corroborate Ms. Swetnick’s claims. On the contrary, they received substantial information calling into question her credibility. Based on this and public reports, it appears Ms. Swetnick has a history of making false legal claims and false accusations of sexual misconduct.

Through his attorney, Richard Vinneccy provided the Committee a signed statement regarding Ms. Swetnick. According to his statement, Mr. Vinneccy was in a romantic relationship with Ms. Swetnick for seven years, and he said he submitted his statement to the Committee “to exercise [his] civic duty and attest to the credibility or lack thereof of Ms. Swetnick.” Among other odd behavior by Ms. Swetnick that Mr. Vinneccy noted, he described how she “harassed and stalked” him after he ended their relationship. When he told her to stop calling because he had entered a relationship with a woman (who he later married) and that he and his new girlfriend were expecting a child, Mr. Vinneccy says Ms. Swetnick: 1) threatened to murder him, his girlfriend, and their unborn child; 2) threatened to falsely tell the police he had raped her; 3) threatened to have him deported; 4) stated she would not grant him a divorce; and 5) claimed she was pregnant with twins. As Mr. Vinneccy told the Committee, he and Ms. Swetnick were never married, so he found her reference to not granting him a divorce bizarre. Mr. Vinneccy is an American citizen, so he also found her threats of deportation bizarre. He also stated that he confirmed that Ms. Swetnick’s claim of being pregnant with twins “was a complete fabrication and that there was no pregnancy.”

Because he was afraid that she would harm him and his family, as she had threatened, Mr. Vinneccy filed a restraining order against her. He stated that a temporary injunction was granted, but he understood that for a permanent injunction to be issued in Florida, there would first need to be a hearing which Ms. Swetnick would attend. Fearing for his and his family’s safety if Ms. Swetnick confronted him at the

---

29 Id.
30 https://twitter.com/MichaelAvenatti/status/1047447758993547265. Mr. Avenatti emailed the same redacted declaration to the Committee on October 2, 2018.
hearing, he did not go through with the hearing. Instead, he moved to a new residence and changed his phone numbers to avoid further contact from Ms. Swetnick.

In addition to describing those actions by Ms. Swetnick, Mr. Vinneccy also stated that during their seven-year relationship, Ms. Swetnick was often financially unstable and was “always seeking financial gain from frivolous law suits.” He also described other odd behavior relevant to her mental stability. Mr. Vinneccy closed his letter by stating:

Based on my history with Ms. Swetnick, I do not believe her allegations against Judge Kavanaugh and it is my opinion that she is perpetuating a fraud against him. Her motives may be for financial gain or notoriety but they are certainly not to expose the truth.

Furthermore, in a defamation lawsuit filed against Ms. Swetnick by one of her former employers, Webtrends, the company reportedly indicated that Ms. Swetnick engaged in a pattern of lies and made multiple false accusations of sexual misconduct. The company stated Ms. Swetnick lied in her employment application, falsely claiming she had earned an undergraduate degree from Johns Hopkins University, but the company subsequently learned the University had no record of her attendance. The company also stated that Ms. Swetnick misrepresented the length of time she had worked for a previous employer, and took medical leave while simultaneously claiming unemployment benefits in the District of Columbia. Webtrends stated that a few weeks after Ms. Swetnick started working for the company, its human resources department received a complaint that she had engaged in unwelcome and inappropriate sexual conduct towards two male coworkers at a business lunch. The company stated that, in response to the complaint received against her, Ms. Swetnick falsely accused multiple male coworkers of sexually harassing her and threatened to sue the company. The company later found that Ms. Swetnick had engaged in misconduct but found no evidence to support her sexual harassment claims.

The Committee was also contacted by multiple employees of a different company where Ms. Swetnick previously worked, who stated it was their understanding that when Ms. Swetnick was confronted there about possible misconduct on her part, she responded by making a false sexual harassment or assault claim against that company as well, which it settled in order to avoid negative publicity. The employees

33 Id. Many other associates of Ms. Swetnick also described to the Committee issues with her mental health, substance abuse, and/or credibility issues, but requested confidential treatment from the Committee, which we are honoring. One former associate of Ms. Swetnick, Dennis Ketterer, did provide a signed public statement under penalty of felony in which he told the Committee that Ms. Swetnick’s own father had told him “she had psychological and other problems.” Statement by Dennis Ketterer to Senate Judiciary Committee (Oct. 2, 2018), enclosed below.

34 Statement of Richard Vinneccy for Senate Judiciary Committee Investigation (Oct. 4, 2018), enclosed below.
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requested confidentiality from the Committee regarding their names and the name of the company, which we are honoring.

Ms. Swetnick also reportedly made false claims in a personal injury lawsuit against the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority.\(^41\) According to the Associated Press, in the lawsuit Ms. Swetnick “claimed she lost more than $420,000 in earnings after she hurt her nose in a fall on a train.”\(^42\) Ms. Swetnick reportedly claimed she was a model and actor with numerous modeling commitments with companies at the time of the accident, but lost them because of her purported injuries.\(^43\) To justify these claims, she reportedly named “Konam Studios” as one of the companies promising to employ her, and identified Nam Ko of “Kunam Studios” as a potential witness for her case.\(^44\) But, reporters from the AP spoke with Mr. Ko and discovered the following:

Ko, however, told AP on Friday that he was just a friend of Swetnick’s and that he had never owned a company with a name spelled either way and had never agreed to pay her money for any work before she injured her nose. He said he first met Swetnick at a bar more than a year after her alleged accident. “I didn’t have any money back then. I (was) broke as can be,” Ko said. Ko said he has a hazy memory of Swetnick asking to use him as a “character reference” but doesn’t recall hearing about her lawsuit. “I thought it was for a job application,” he said.\(^45\)

In short, it appears Ms. Swetnick has a substantial history of credibility issues. When viewed in light of the fact there is no credible evidence she ever knew Judge Kavanaugh, and the fact she has contradicted key aspects of her allegations against him, this lends credence to the likelihood that she made materially false statements to the Committee in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1001. Those statements obstructed the Judiciary Committee’s efforts to investigate allegations against Judge Kavanaugh and the processing of his nomination, potentially in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1505. Given Mr. Avenatti’s role in this with Ms. Swetnick, along with Mr. Avenatti’s own substantial credibility issues (discussed next), there may have been a conspiracy to violate these laws, in potential violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371.

**ISSUES WITH MR. AVENATI’S CREDIBILITY**

In addition to the credibility issues Committee investigators uncovered surrounding Ms. Swetnick, Mr. Avenatti has substantial credibility issues of his own. For example, Mr. Avenatti appears to have several issues stemming from his involvement with Global Baristas, a company he reportedly formed with actor Patrick Dempsey in 2012, which purchased the Tully’s Coffee chain out of bankruptcy.\(^46\) Mr.
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\(^42\) Id.
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\(^46\) See Kate Briquelet, Michael Avenatti Lived the High Life While Owing Millions to IRS, THE DAILY BEAST (Oct. 21, 2018). Available at: https://www.thedailybeast.com/michael-avenatti-lived-the-high-life-while-owing-millions-to-irs?ref=scroll.
Dempsey sued Mr. Avenatti in 2013, stating that Mr. Avenatti had lied to him about serious financial matters. According to the Seattle Times:

“My decision to become a member and manager of Global Baristas was based, in part, on Michael Avenatti’s representation that he would provide both the capital to fund the entire Tully’s acquisition and sufficient working capital to allow Global Baristas to operate the Tully’s Coffee stores once the acquisition was completed,” Dempsey said in the suit.

Instead, he alleged, Avenatti used Global Baristas to borrow $2 million for working capital without telling him. The loan carries an “exorbitant” interest rate of 15 percent annually, the lawsuit says.

Mr. Avenatti’s company was also reportedly involved in additional litigation implicating his credibility, including one case in which a judge sanctioned his company for misconduct, “an acrimonious landlord-tenant dispute that led to court sanctions, fines and judgments against Avenatti’s coffee firm, in part for failing to comply with court orders to produce evidence.”

Earlier this year, Mr. Avenatti was also reportedly under investigation by the State Bar of California as a result of a complaint regarding “what Mr. Avenatti has done in connection with Global Baristas,” namely a claim that “he bought a company out of bankruptcy and then used it for a ‘pump and dump’ scheme to deprive federal and state taxing authorities out of millions of dollars.”

Mr. Dempsey’s lawsuit against Mr. Avenatti was not the only time a business partner accused him of deception. Jason Frank, a former partner at Mr. Avenatti’s law firm Eagan Avenatti, “resigned in May 2016 after alleging that the firm didn’t pay him millions of dollars that he was owed, misstated the firm’s profits, and wouldn’t provide copies of tax returns and other financial documents.” Mr. Frank filed for arbitration and “a three-judge panel found that Avenatti’s former firm Eagan Avenatti ‘acted with malice, fraud and oppression,’” by withholding relevant information from Mr. Frank. On October 22, 2018, the

48 Id.
51 See Michael Balsamo, Judge Orders Law Firm of Stormy Daniel’s Lawyer to Pay $10M, THE ASSOCIATED PRESS (May 22, 2018). Available at: https://www.apnews.com/12a3b63b2964cf79f09315f8a1ad059; see also See Kate Briquelet, Michael Avenatti Lived the High Life While Owing Millions to IRS, THE DAILY BEAST (Oct. 21, 2018).
53 Kate Briquelet, Michael Avenatti Lived the High Life While Owing Millions to IRS, THE DAILY BEAST (Oct. 21, 2018); see Michael Balsamo, Judge Orders Law Firm of Stormy Daniel’s Lawyer to Pay $10M, THE ASSOCIATED PRESS (May 22, 2018).
California judge hearing the case on this issue ruled that Mr. Avenatti was personally liable and ordered him to pay $4.85 million in back pay to Mr. Frank.\(^{54}\)

Moreover, Mr. Avenatti reportedly has credibility issues relating to the IRS. According to a report by the *Los Angeles Times*, “Eagan Avenatti, [Mr. Avenatti’s] Newport Beach firm, has defaulted on millions of dollars in debt and fallen years behind in paying its payroll taxes…. The firm has also defaulted on more than $800,000 in federal payroll taxes, penalties and interest that Avenatti had promised that it would pay.”\(^{55}\)

In response, federal prosecutors asked that the court hold Mr. Avenatti’s firm in contempt, stating: “In this case, the Debtor and its responsible officer Michael Avenatti made misrepresentations to the detriment of the United States.”\(^{56}\) Instead, the parties reached an agreement that Mr. Avenatti’s firm will be allowed to make monthly payments of $75,000 towards paying back the owed taxes.\(^{57}\)

In divorce proceedings from his second wife, Lisa Storie-Avenatti, she also referenced potential dishonesty by Mr. Avenatti regarding his earnings.\(^{58}\)

Storie-Avenatti said in court papers that in November 2016, Avenatti told her he earned $3.7 million, but that she suspected his actual take-home was “substantially higher” based on his self-publicized verdicts, the couple’s 2016 expenses and his “secreting from me of his tax returns and bank records.”\(^{59}\)

There seem to be numerous additional press reports that cast doubt on Mr. Avenatti’s credibility. However, having reviewed several already, Committee investigators determined that delving into additional ones would be beating a dead horse.

Mr. Avenatti made allegations against Judge Kavanaugh in his email to Committee staff, and he submitted allegations to the Committee on behalf Ms. Swetnick. He reportedly told the *Associated Press* that he “fully vetted” Ms. Swetnick before taking her claims public.\(^{60}\) and he told CNN: “When I – when we made the allegations, guess what, I had done significant due diligence in connection with this before we made the allegations.”\(^{61}\) However, given that he and Ms. Swetnick have contradicted key parts of the claims; that there is no credible evidence that Ms. Swetnick ever knew Judge Kavanaugh and substantial evidence she did not; and the substantial credibility issues surrounding both Mr. Avenatti and Ms. Swetnick, I ask that the FBI investigate whether Mr. Avenatti criminally conspired with Ms. Swetnick to make materially false statements to the Committee and obstruct the Committee’s investigation.


\(^{56}\) Kate Briquelet, *Michael Avenatti Lived the High Life While Owing Millions to IRS*, The Daily Beast (Oct. 21, 2018).

\(^{57}\) Id.

\(^{58}\) Id.

\(^{59}\) Id.


CONCLUSION

Committee investigations in support of the judicial nomination process are an essential part of the Committee’s constitutional role. The Committee is grateful to citizens who come forward with relevant information in good faith, even if they are not one hundred percent sure about what they know. But when individuals intentionally mislead the Committee, they divert Committee resources during time-sensitive investigations and materially impede our work. Such acts are not only unfair; they are potentially illegal. It is illegal to knowingly and willfully make materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements to Congressional investigators. It is illegal to obstruct Committee investigations. It is illegal to conspire to do either of those things. When charlatans make false claims to the Committee – claims that may earn them short-term media exposure and financial gain, but which hinder the Committee’s ability to do its job – there should be consequences. These laws exist to ensure there are.

Accordingly, in light of the seriousness of these facts, and the threat these types of actions pose to the Committee’s ability to perform its constitutional duties, I hope you will give this referral the utmost consideration. Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. If you have any questions, please contact a professional investigative counsel in the Committee’s Oversight and Investigations Unit at (202) 224-5225.

Sincerely,

Charles E. Grassley
Chairman
Committee on the Judiciary

Enclosures:
1. Email exchange between the Chief Counsel for Nominations Mike Davis and Michael Avenatti on Sept. 23, 2018
2. Swetnick Sworn Statement
3. Letter from Mark Judge to Chairman Grassley and Ranking Member Feinstein
4. Letter from Michael C. Fegan to Chairman Grassley
5. Letter from Kavanaugh High School Friends to Chairman Grassley and Ranking Member Feinstein
6. Statement of Richard Vinneccy for Senate Judiciary Committee Investigation
7. Statement by Dennis Ketterer to Senate Judiciary Committee
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Mike: I represent a client. And seeing as we are talking about an appointment to the SCOTUS, there is nothing wrong with this process being public.

What is the status of Mark Judge’s testimony?

I look forward to receiving the answers to the questions.

Michael

Michael J. Avenatti, Esq.

The preceding email message (including any attachments) contains information that may be confidential, protected by the attorney-client or other applicable privileges, or constitutes non-public information. It is intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s). If you are not an intended recipient of this message, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system. Use, dissemination, or reproduction of this message by unintended recipients is not authorized and may be unlawful.

On Sep 23, 2018, at 6:26 PM, Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep) wrote:

Mr. Avenatti,

Thank you for reaching out to me. I noticed that you just publicly Tweeted our email conversation below.

In your email below, you mentioned “we“ several times. To clarify, are you representing a client? Or are you making these allegations yourself? On behalf of anyone else?

I look forward to receiving your evidence.

Thank you,
Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
Dear Mr. Davis:

Thank you for your email. We are aware of significant evidence of multiple house parties in the Washington, D.C. area during the early 1980s during which Brett Kavanaugh, Mark Judge and others would participate in the targeting of women with alcohol/drugs in order to allow a "train" of men to subsequently gang rape them. There are multiple witnesses that will corroborate these facts and each of them must be called to testify publicly. As a starting point, Senate investigators should pose the following questions to Judge Kavanaugh without delay and provide the answers to the American people:

1. Did you ever target one or more women for sex or rape at a house party? Did you ever assist Mark Judge or others in doing so?
2. Did you ever attend any house party during which a woman was gang raped or used for sex by multiple men?
3. Did you ever witness a line of men outside a bedroom at any house party where you understood a woman was in the bedroom being raped or taken advantage of?
4. Did you ever participate in any sexual conduct with a woman at a house party whom you understood to be intoxicated or under the influence of drugs?
5. Did you ever communicate with Mark Judge or anyone else about your participation in a "train" involving an intoxicated woman?
6. Did you ever object or attempt to prevent one or more men from participating in the rape, or taking advantage, of a woman at any house party?

Please note that we will provide additional evidence relating to the above conduct.
both to the Committee and the American public in the coming days.

Regards,

Michael Avenatti

---

From: Davis, Mike (Judiciary-Rep)
Sent: Sunday, September 23, 2018 4:42 PM
To: Michael J. Avenatti
Subject: SCOTUS -- Avenatti claim of evidence

Dear Mr. Avenatti,

According to your Tweet from 7:33 p.m. E.T. this evening, you claim to have information you consider credible regarding Judge Kavanaugh and Mark Judge. Please advise of this information immediately so that Senate investigators may promptly begin an inquiry.

Thank you,

Mike Davis

Mike Davis, Chief Counsel for Nominations
United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary
Senator Chuck Grassley (R-IA), Chairman
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
DECLARATION OF JULIE SWETNICK

I, JULIE SWETNICK, declare as follows:

1. My name is Julie Swetnick and I am a resident of Washington, D.C. I fully understand the seriousness of the statements contained within this declaration. I have personal knowledge of the information stated herein and if called to testify to the same would and could do so.

2. I am a graduate of Gaithersburg High School in Gaithersburg, MD.

3. I presently hold the following active clearances associated with working within the federal government: Public Trust - U.S. Department of Treasury (DOT), U.S. Mint (USM), Internal Revenue Service (IRS).

4. I have also previously held the following inactive clearances: Secret - U.S. Department of State (DOS), U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) and Public Trust - U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Customs and Border Protection (CBP).

5. My prior employment includes working with (a) Vietnam War Commemoration (VWC), Joint Services Providers (JSP), U.S. Department of Defense (DOD) in Arlington, Virginia; (b) U.S. Mint, U.S. Department of Treasury; (c) U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS), U.S. Department of Treasury; (d) Government Affairs and Communications Department, D.C. Department of General Services (DGS), Government of the District of Columbia (DC.Gov); (e) Customs and Border Protection (CBP), U.S. Department of Homeland Security; and (d) the U.S. Department of State (DOS). I was also one of the first 100 women in the world to achieve a Microsoft Certified Systems Engineering Certification (MCSE).

6. I first met Mark Judge and Brett Kavanaugh in approximately 1980-1981. I was introduced to them at a house party that I attended in the Washington, D.C. area. I observed Mark Judge and Brett Kavanaugh as extremely close friends during the early 1980s when I knew them and interacted with them. I would describe them as “joined at the hip” and I consistently saw them together in many social settings. There is no question in my mind that Mark Judge has significant information concerning the conduct
of Brett Kavanaugh during the 1980s, especially as it relates to his actions toward women.

7. Following that first introduction, I attended well over ten house parties in the Washington, D.C. area during the years 1981-1983 where Mark Judge and Brett Kavanaugh were present. These parties were a common occurrence in the area and occurred nearly every weekend during the school year. On numerous occasions at these parties, I witnessed Mark Judge and Brett Kavanaugh drink excessively and engage in highly inappropriate conduct, including being overly aggressive with girls and not taking "No" for an answer. This conduct included the fondling and grabbing of girls without their consent.

8. I observed Brett Kavanaugh drink excessively at many of these parties and engage in abusive and physically aggressive behavior toward girls, including pressing girls against him without their consent, "grinding" against girls, and attempting to remove or shift girls' clothing to expose private body parts. I likewise observed him be verbally abusive towards girls by making crude sexual comments to them that were designed to demean, humiliate and embarrass them. I often witnessed Brett Kavanaugh speak in a demeaning manner about girls in general as well as specific girls by name. I also witnessed Brett Kavanaugh behave as a "mean drunk" on many occasions at these parties.

9. I have been told by other women that this conduct also occurred during the Summer months in Ocean City, Maryland on numerous occasions. I also witnessed such conduct on one occasion in Ocean City, Maryland during "Beach Week."

10. I have reviewed Brett Kavanaugh's recent claim on Fox News regarding his alleged "innocence" during his high school years and lack of sexual activity. This claim is absolutely false and a lie. I witnessed Brett Kavanaugh consistently engage in excessive drinking and inappropriate contact of a sexual nature with women during the early 1980s.
11. During the years 1981-82, I became aware of efforts by Mark Judge, Brett Kavanaugh and others to “spike” the “punch” at house parties I attended with drugs and/or grain alcohol so as to cause girls to lose their inhibitions and their ability to say “No.” This caused me to make an effort to purposely avoid the “punch” at these parties. I witnessed efforts by Mark Judge, Brett Kavanaugh and others to “target” particular girls so they could be taken advantage of; it was usually a girl that was especially vulnerable because she was alone at the party or shy.

12. I also witnessed efforts by Mark Judge, Brett Kavanaugh and others to cause girls to become inebriated and disoriented so they could then be “gang raped” in a side room or bedroom by a “train” of numerous boys. I have a firm recollection of seeing boys lined up outside rooms at many of these parties waiting for their “turn” with a girl inside the room. These boys included Mark Judge and Brett Kavanaugh.

13. In approximately 1982, I became the victim of one of these “gang” or “train” rapes where Mark Judge and Brett Kavanaugh were present. Shortly after the incident, I shared what had transpired with at least two other people. During the incident, I was incapacitated without my consent and unable to fight off the boys raping me. I believe I was drugged using Quaaludes or something similar placed in what I was drinking.

14. I am aware of other witnesses that can attest to the truthfulness of each of the statements above.

I declare, under penalty of perjury and under the laws of the United States of America, that the foregoing is true and correct. I have executed this declaration on September 25, 2018.

Julie Swetnick
September 28, 2018

VIA E-MAIL

The Honorable Charles E. Grassley
Chairman
Committee on the Judiciary
United States Senate
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
Ranking Member
Committee on the Judiciary
United States Senate
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Grassley and Ranking Member Feinstein:

In response to the Committee's request for information, I, MARK JUDGE, declare:

1. The allegations in the Swetnick affidavit are so bizarre that, even while suffering from my addiction, I would remember actions so outlandish. I categorically deny them.

2. I do not know Julie Swetnick.

3. I do not recall attending parties during 1981-1983 when I fondled or grabbed women in an aggressive or unwanted manner.

4. I have never spiked punch to get anyone drunk or disoriented. Nor have I witnessed Brett Kavanaugh spike punch.

5. I have never engaged in gang rape of any woman, including Ms. Swetnick.

6. I will cooperate with any law enforcement agency that is assigned to confidentially investigate these allegations.

I am submitting this letter under penalty of felony.

Sincerely,

Mark Judge
October 1, 2018

Michael C. Fegan
Chairman Grassley
Senate Judiciary Committee
Room SD-224
Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Grassley,

I am writing to you regarding the character of Judge Brett Kavanaugh. Brett and I attended Georgetown Prep High School together from 1979 to 1983. We were classmates, teammates and friends. I attended most of the same social events that Brett attended in high school, and many after high school as well. I also attended “Beach Week” with him in June 1982. I have never seen Brett out of control from drinking alcohol. I have never seen Brett out of control in any situation, whether it be in the classroom, on the football field, on the basketball court or in any social setting.

Regarding the allegation by Christine Blasey Ford, I never heard of such a gathering. We were a tight knit group, and I believe that if anything happened like Professor Ford described, I would have known about it. I never met Christine Blasey, and had never heard her name until mid-September 2018.

I cannot speak for the allegation from the Yale student, Deborah Ramirez, because I did not attend Yale. I can tell you that what Ms. Ramirez described would be completely out of character of the man I have known for almost 40 years.

As to the allegation made by Julie Swetnick, I have never heard of Ms. Swetnick. My understanding is that she graduated from Gaithersburg High School three years before we graduated from Georgetown Prep. During my high school years, I did not know any girls from Gaithersburg High School. We did not socialize with girls from Gaithersburg High School. We did not have any kind of punch drinks, hard liquor or drugs at our parties. I never witnessed any kind of sexual situation at any of our parties. If there was any kind of mistreatment of girls at any of our parties, I assure you that my friends and I, including Brett Kavanaugh, would have put a stop to it immediately and would have reported it to the Montgomery County, MD Police Department.

I know that last week was tough on you, as it was for many people throughout our country, and I appreciate the way that you have conducted the confirmation hearings. I urge all senators to vote to confirm Judge Brett Kavanaugh. He is one of the most ethical and moral men I know, and has been for the almost 40 years that I have known him.

I appreciate your time in this matter and for your service to our country.

Sincerely,

Michael C. Fegan
September 26, 2018

The Honorable Charles Grassley
Chairman
Committee on the Judiciary
United States Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
Ranking Member
Committee on the Judiciary
United States Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Grassley and Ranking Member Feinstein:

We are men and women who knew Brett Kavanaugh well in high school. We have seen reports today that Julie Swetnick, who says she graduated from Gaithersburg High School, submitted a declaration to the Committee alleging that Brett participated in horrific conduct during high school, including targeting girls for gang rape. Nonsense. We never witnessed any behavior that even approaches what is described in this allegation. It is reprehensible.

In the extensive amount of time we collectively spent with Brett, we do not recall having ever met someone named Julie Swetnick. Nor did we ever observe Brett engaging in any conduct resembling that described in Ms. Swetnick’s declaration.

Brett Kavanaugh is a good man. He has always treated women with respect and decency. He is a man of honor, integrity, and compassion. These shameful attacks must end. This process is a disgrace and is harming good people.

Russell Aaronson
Daniel Anastasi
Steve Barnes
Patrick Beranek
Michael Bidwill
Michael Boland
David Brigati
Missy Bigelow Carr
Sharon Crouch Clark
Steve Combs
Cisti Conway
Mark Daly
DeLancey Davis
Julie DeVol
Meg Williams Dietrick
Paula Duke Ebel
Michael Fegan
Maura Fitzgerald
Susan Fitzgerald
Jim Foley

Timothy Gaudette
James Gavin
William Geimer
Mary Beth Greene
Mary Ellen Greene
Daniel Hanley
Melissa Hennessy
Beccy Moran Jackson
Brian H. Johnston
Maura Kane
Kevin Kane
Thomas Kane
Amarie Kappaz
George M. Kappaz
Timothy Kirlin
Kelly Leonard
Maura M. Lindsay
John F. Loome, IV
Suzanne Matan
Meghan McCaleb
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scott McCaleb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernard McCarthy, Jr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael R. McCarthy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephanie McGill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephanie McGrail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byron J. Mitchell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sean Murphy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul G. Murray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas D. Olson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John F. Ostronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth (Betsy) Manfuso Pothier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Quinn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark A. Quinn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mae Joyce Rhoten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Richardson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Maurice Rowe, IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Royston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice Kelley Scanlon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Sullivan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynthia Urgo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donald Urgo, Jr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrick T. Waters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megan Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jodi Yeager</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
October 4th, 2018

STATEMENT OF RICHARD VINNECCY
For the Senate Judiciary Committee Investigation

I, Richard Vinneccy, do hereby swear and affirm that all of the statements made herein are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

First and foremost, I never wanted to come out publicly on this issue. However, numerous media outlets bombarded me with phone calls and questions when someone discovered the history of the restraining order I had sought against Ms. Swetnick in Miami, Florida. Unfamiliar in this arena, I mistakenly spoke to Politico, made a short two phrase statement to them which was then transmitted nationwide without my authority. Thereafter, I was thrown into the national spotlight and, consequently, I felt compelled to set the record straight against false accusations made by Ms. Swetnick’s lawyer and, more importantly, to exercise my civic duty and attest to the credibility or lack thereof of Ms. Swetnick.

I was involved in a romantic relationship for 7 years with Julie Swetnick. For 2 out of those 7 years we lived together in Bethesda, Maryland. Thereafter, my work transferred me internationally to Panama and ultimately to Miami. Thus, due to geographics much of the relationship was long distance.

Despite the distance, we remained close and not once did Ms. Swetnick ever mention that she had been raped or sexually assaulted. Not once did Ms. Swetnick ever mention that she had attended any parties where she witnessed, train rapes, gang rapes, or other sordid sexual activity. Not once did she ever mention Brett Kavanaugh.

As to her mental stability, or lack thereof, throughout the relationship, I noticed odd behaviors exhibited by Ms. Swetnick. For the most part she was financially unstable and always seeking financial gain from frivolous law suits. She was abnormally possessive and jealous of me. She always wanted to be the center of attention and exaggerated everything in her life. When we would have disagreements she would try to provoke me to hit her. As to why she did that, I can only believe it was to instigate me to do something physically violent to her so that she could play the victim, contact the police and have me arrested. At times she threatened me not to mistreat her because she could do to me what she had done with her ex-boyfriend. While I do not know the entire story, I recall her telling me that her ex-boyfriend was in jail. Perhaps one of the most bizarre things about her was a closet that she kept in our Bethesda home. The closet was
“off limits” to me, however, one day I opened it and found three large boxes filled with years and years of receipts from grocery stores.

Looking back, I am not sure why I stayed in this relationship for so long. As a 60 year old wiser and more experienced man now, I can only blame inexperience, immaturity and whatever else it is that causes one to stay in an unhealthy relationship for too long. Ultimately, once I was transferred to Miami, I finally decided it would be best to end the relationship. I did and thereafter, Ms. Swetnick harassed and stalked me for almost 2 months via telephone and appeared at a trade show conference in Seattle that I was attending, unannounced and uninvited.

For obvious reasons this was difficult and uncomfortable for me, but even more so since I began a new relationship with the woman who would ultimately become my wife of 12 years and with whom I had two children. Finally, I told her to stop the calls, that I had moved on, that I had met someone and that we were expecting a baby.

Ms. Swetnick’s reaction was scary, frightening and bizarre causing me to fear for my life and that of my new girlfriend and our unborn child. In a nutshell she: 1) told me that she was going to kill me, my girlfriend and our unborn child; 2) she was going to report me to the FBI and have me deported; 3) she was going to tell the police that I raped her in Seattle; 4) she was not going to grant me a divorce and; 5) that she was pregnant with twins.

- I have been a citizen of this country since the 80’s so as far as deporting me, this did not make sense.
- I have never raped Ms. Swetnick.
- Ms. Swetnick and I were never married however in her opinion we were due to a Maryland statute, which she claimed, qualified us as a married couple since we co-habitated in that state for 2 years.
- I asked Ms. Swetnick for the medical records proving that she was pregnant with twins and after consulting with her “doctor”, I confirmed the story was a complete fabrication and that there was no pregnancy.

I decided to file a restraining order in Miami, Florida because that is where I was residing and I was afraid she was going to come to Miami to do harm to my family and I as she had threatened. The temporary injunction was granted. (In Florida, the legal process to obtain a restraining order consists of a two phase process. First, one applies for a temporary injunction via a petition. A judge then reviews the petition and if that is granted, a temporary injunction is put in place until a hearing for a permanent injunction is held.)

Ultimately, I did not go through with the hearing on the permanent injunction for several reasons. First, not being a lawyer and never having done this before, I did not know that the final step to obtain the permanent injunction would entail confronting Ms. Swetnick personally in court at the hearing. When I found out about this, I thought it over with my girlfriend at the time.
who was fearful for her own life, and together we determined not to perpetuate my connection with Ms. Swetnick or instigate her any further. I was not sure how Ms. Swetnick was going to retaliate if she was forced to fly down to Miami and confront me in court in front of a judge. So, instead we moved to a new residence and changed our telephone numbers in hopes that we would never have to see Ms. Swetnick again.

Thankfully, we never did see or hear from her again.

Based on my history with Ms. Swetnick, I do not believe her allegations against Judge Kavanaugh and it is my opinion that she is perpetuating a fraud against him. Her motives may be for financial gain or notoriety but they are certainly not to expose the truth.

Richard Vinneccy

Date: Oct 4, 2018
My name is Dennis Ketterer.

I am a former weeknight meteorologist for Channel 7 (WJLA) in Washington, D.C., and won an EMMY in 1995.

I want to preface this by saying, I am neither proud of nor guiltless in the actions about to be mentioned in this letter. I hope my family, friends, and church members can forgive me.

I first met Julie Swetnick in 1993 at a Washington, D.C. bar near Wisconsin Circle. I was at a going-away party for channel 7 anchor Dale Solly. I left the party to go to the bar to buy a soda. I haven’t drunk alcohol since my 18th birthday.

As I sat alone at the end of the bar, Julie approached me. She was alone, quite beautiful, well-dressed and no drink in hand. Consequently, my initial thought was that she might be a high end call girl because at the time I weighed 350lbs so what would someone like her want with me?

But, there was no conversation about exchanging sex for money so I decided to talk with her a few minutes. I had never been hit on in a bar before.

I didn’t leave with her that night, although we talked about getting together. Over the next couple of weeks we met at what I believed and still believe was Julie’s place. From the beginning Julie knew I was married and that I was having marital issues.
As we shared conversations, my lasting impression of Julie was that she was smart, fun and funny. But she was also an opportunist. I felt she only had interest in my 350lb self because I was on television and well known.

Although we were not emotionally involved there was physical contact. We never had sex despite the fact she was very sexually aggressive with me. I'm not implying I didn’t like her advances, I just wasn’t ready to make the jump. It came to a head so we talked about sex.

During a conversation about our sexual preferences, things got derailed when Julie told me that she liked to have sex with more than one guy at a time. In fact sometimes with several at one time. She wanted to know if that would be ok in our relationship.

I asked her if this was just a fantasy of hers. She responded that she first tried sex with multiple guys while in high school and still liked it from time-to-time. She brought it up because she wanted to know if I would be interested in that.

A.I.D.S. was a huge issue at the time. And I had children. Due to her having a directly stated penchant for group sex, I decided not to see her anymore. It put my head back on straight. That was the last conversation we had.

Julie never said anything about being sexually assaulted, raped, gang-raped or having sex against her will. She never mentioned Brett Kavanaugh in any capacity.

In 1996 I decided to run again for Congress in Maryland’s 8th district as a Democrat. I thought Julie could help my primary campaign in some way because of her personality, great smile
and good looks. Also, in the course of our past conversations, she told me that she too was a Democrat.

Because I had lost Julie’s number I called her father to get it. When I talked to him about possibly bringing her on to help with my campaign, he told me that she had psychological and other problems at the time. When I asked he would not go into detail and said that I wouldn’t want her to work on my campaign. His response was rather abrupt. He hung up on me.

That was the end of my Julie saga...or so I thought.

On Wednesday, September 26th, I heard that Mr. Kavanaugh had a third accuser. When Julie’s name was mentioned as the accuser, and due to the type of accusation, I was deeply troubled and felt a moral dilemma. Do I reach out and tell the truth of what I knew and risk family relationships, or remain silent.

The whole Kavanaugh confirmation process over the last few days brought out very deep issues within me. I know what it’s like to be sexually assaulted and not be believed. I was 9 years old when it happened at the hands of my grandfather’s best friend.

I also know what it’s like to be accused of something significant that I didn’t do and not be believed. Because of this and eternal considerations, the pressure on me built throughout the afternoon and early evening.

That evening was very difficult for me as I had to explain to my wife of three years what had happened 25 years ago, before we met and long before we were married. I explained my situation and she said she knew that if I didn’t do the right thing, I couldn’t live with myself.
Because of my less than perfect past, and having repented of this, I felt the need for spiritual guidance. I reached out to a church leader. We talked for a while. I explained that I felt horribly about this more-than-indiscretion. I knew if I came forward that in addition to me, it would affect my children, my grandchildren, my ex-wife, my wife, Julie, the Kavanaughs.

Finally, after much thought and frankly tears of remorse, I decided to be forth-coming with what I knew first-hand. I had to take the advice I'd always given my children. That is; Doing the right thing is almost never the easy thing, but it's always the right thing.

My heart felt very heavy because of the possible familial risks. But I knew I had to do the right thing. At my request, he put me in touch with another church leader we knew, who then reached out to Senator Hatch's Salt Lake office in my behalf.

As I watched part of the afternoon confirmation hearing the next day, and saw Mrs. Kavanaugh looking so sad I felt that she needed to know that in this instance, her husband was being mischaracterized.

My heart still feels heavy, for me as well as Julie and the Kavanaughs. That said, based on my direct experience with Julie, I do not believe her allegations against Mr. Kavanaugh.

Sincerely,

Dennis Ketterer