Senate Committee on the Judiciary

Hearing on “Proposals to Reduce Gun Violence: Protecting Our Communities While Respecting the Second Amendment”

Questions for the Record from

Ranking Member Charles E. Grassley

Questions for Dr. Daniel Webster, Director and Professor, Johns Hopkins Center for Gun Policy and Research: Dr. Webster’s responses are printed in italics.

(1) Your testimony referenced a number of studies on gun violence, some conducted by the Centers for Disease Control, but many others of which you conducted or which were conducted by others and included in your book.
   (a) Who funded the studies referred to in your testimony?
       Funding for each study cited is listed at the bottom of this document.
   (b) Did you receive any salary, income, or other benefits in connection with funding, conducting, or publishing the result of these studies?
       I received my normal salary from Johns Hopkins University for the research that I conducted.
   (c) If so, who provided the salary, income or other benefits
       The Johns Hopkins University.

(2) Your testimony at page 6 and note 32 states “84 percent of gun owners and 74 percent of NRA members reported that they supported laws requiring a background check for all gun sales.” How was it determined with certainty that the survey respondents owned guns or were NRA members? They were asked questions on a survey about whether they owned any firearms and whether they were a member of the National Rifle Association. Did respondents self-identify as falling within these categories? Yes
   Were respondents required to provide proof of their ownership of guns or membership in the NRA? No

(3) Your testimony advocates the banning of so-called “assault weapons.” Many hunting weapons are more powerful and can do more damage to human flesh than many guns that would be singled out in the “assault weapons” bill that is before the Senate. Why is it sensible to ban the guns in the bill that can do less damage rather than the more powerful guns? Assault weapons have features that facilitate criminal use and an assailant’s ability to rapidly fire a large number of rounds of ammunition and thereby increase both the likelihood of injury and death as well as the number of injuries and deaths in an attack. Such features include the ability to accept large capacity magazines, pistol grips and folding
stocks on rifles (to make the weapon more concealable), and threaded barrels for attaching silencers. These features are unnecessary for legitimate sporting uses or self-defense.
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The making of this book was supported with funds from Johns Hopkins University.


Grants from The Joyce Foundation.


A grant from The Joyce Foundation.


I am not sure who funded this research.


The World Health Organization.

A grant from The Joyce Foundation.


The Eli & Edythe L. Broad Foundations and by an anonymous donation. Preliminary work was supported by a grant from the Joyce Foundation.
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United States Department of Justice.


A grant from the Joyce Foundation.


Grants from The John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation and The Joyce Foundation.
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A grant from the National Institute of Justice.


The Washington Post


A grant from the National Institute of Justice and additional support from the University of Pennsylvania and the University of Maryland.
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Senator Lindsey Graham (R-SC)
“Proposals to Reduce Gun Violence: Protecting Our Communities While Respecting the Second Amendment”

Dr. Webster’s responses are written in italics.

Written questions for:

Dr. Daniel Webster:

1. You mention your analysis of a survey of prison inmates, which concluded that nearly 80 percent had acquired their guns from “unlicensed private sellers.” You suggest that these transfers are currently exempt from background checks. This raises a couple of questions:

   a. Did your analysis look at the states in which those acquisitions occurred? The data reported were not stratified based on whether the crime occurred in a state that required background checks for private handgun sales or not because the survey did not ask the offenders to identify the state in which the firearm originated or was purchased. Our research and others has shown that guns commonly flow from states with lax gun sales laws, most of which do not regulate private transactions of firearms. When we did stratify the data based on whether the state where the crime occurred regulated private handgun sales or not, we found that criminals in states with no background checks for private handgun sales were more likely than offenders in states where private handgun sales were regulated to have acquired their handgun from a licensed dealer (16.8% vs. 8.5%) or a friend, family member, or at a gun show/flea market (44.1% vs.38.3%). Conversely, offenders in states where private handgun sales were regulated were more likely than offenders in states where private handgun sales are not regulated to have acquired their handgun through the black market that includes interstate gun traffickers (44.3% vs.29.2%). If not, given that a number of states do require background checks or purchase permits for at least some private gun sales, how can you know whether those inmates’ transactions actually were exempt from background checks? We could not determine with certainty whether each handgun acquisition was in a state that did or did not regulate private handgun sales, but we do know from ATF traces of crime guns that the large majority of guns recovered from criminals in states that do not regulate private handgun sales were initially sold within the state where the guns were involved in crime.

   b. Did your study analyze whether those inmates’ decisions were related to the existence or non-existence of any background check system, at either the federal or state level? No, the inmates were no asked why they acquired their handguns from their sources.

2. You mention your study of Missouri, based on information on firearms traced in the state. Is the ATF tracing system designed to collect statistics for academic research, or to
provide the history of individual firearms for investigative purposes? To provide the history of individual firearms for investigative purposes.

Does the trace system provide a random sampling of firearms used in crime? No.

Is it even limited to firearms actually used in crime? Not always.