UNITED STATES SENATE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR JUDICIAL NOMINEES

PUBLIC

1. Name: State full name (include any former names used).

Robert Earl Wier

2. <u>Position</u>: State the position for which you have been nominated.

United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Kentucky

3. <u>Address</u>: List current office address. If city and state of residence differs from your place of employment, please list the city and state where you currently reside.

Office:

United States Courthouse

101 Barr Street, Suite 411 Lexington, Kentucky 40507

Residence:

Versailles, Kentucky

4. Birthplace: St

State year and place of birth.

1967; Harlan, Kentucky

5. <u>Education</u>: List in reverse chronological order each college, law school, or any other institution of higher education attended and indicate for each the dates of attendance, whether a degree was received, and the date each degree was received.

1989 - 1992, University of Kentucky College of Law; J.D. (high distinction), 1992

1985 – 1989, University of Kentucky; B.A. (high distinction), 1989

6. <u>Employment Record</u>: List in reverse chronological order all governmental agencies, business or professional corporations, companies, firms, or other enterprises, partnerships, institutions or organizations, non-profit or otherwise, with which you have been affiliated as an officer, director, partner, proprietor, or employee since graduation from college, whether or not you received payment for your services. Include the name and address of the employer and job title or description.

2006 – Present United States District Court Eastern District of Kentucky 101 Barr Street, Suite 411 Lexington, Kentucky 40507 United States Magistrate Judge

1992 – Present Gabriel Thomas Compton Irrevocable Trust 275 Heritage Road Versailles, Kentucky Trustee

2000 – 2006 D & S, LLC 176 Pasadena Drive, Bldg 1 Lexington, Kentucky Member (law firm building ownership)

1996 – 2006
Ransdell & Wier, PLLC
(formerly entities Philpot, Ransdell, Roach & Wier, PLLC and Ransdell, Roach & Wier, PLLC)
176 Pasadena Drive, Bldg 1
Lexington, Kentucky
Member/Partner

1993 – 1995 Stoll Keenon & Park, LLP (now Stoll Keenon Ogden PLLC) 300 West Vine Street, Suite 2100 Lexington, Kentucky Associate

1992 – 1993
Judge Eugene E. Siler, Jr.
United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit
310 South Main Street, Suite 333
London, Kentucky
Law Clerk

7. <u>Military Service and Draft Status</u>: Identify any service in the U.S. Military, including dates of service, branch of service, rank or rate, serial number (if different from social security number) and type of discharge received, and whether you have registered for selective service.

I did not serve in the military. I registered for selective service at age 18.

8. <u>Honors and Awards</u>: List any scholarships, fellowships, honorary degrees, academic or professional honors, honorary society memberships, military awards, and any other

special recognition for outstanding service or achievement.

Valedictorian, University of Kentucky College of Law, 1992

Order of the Coif, University of Kentucky College of Law, 1992

Editor-in-Chief, *Kentucky Law Journal*, University of Kentucky College of Law Volume 80 (1991 – 1992)

Greenebaum, Doll & McDonald Award, University of Kentucky College of Law, 1990 – 1991, 1991 – 1992

Tommy Bell Scholarship, University of Kentucky College of Law, 1989 – 1990

Phi Beta Kappa, University of Kentucky, 1989

Dantzler-Dantzler Award (Outstanding senior (co-winner) in English), University of Kentucky, 1989

Departmental Honors in English, University of Kentucky, 1989

Harlan County Community Scholarship, 1985

Kentucky Valedictorian Scholarship, University of Kentucky, 1985

9. **Bar Associations**: List all bar associations or legal or judicial-related committees, selection panels or conferences of which you are or have been a member, and give the titles and dates of any offices which you have held in such groups.

Kentucky Bar Association (1992 – present)

Federal Bar Association, Kentucky Chapter (1993 – present) Treasurer (2001 – 2003)

Federal Magistrate Judges Association (2006 – present)

Fayette County Bar Association (1993 – 2006)

American Bar Association (1993 – 1996)

10. Bar and Court Admission:

a. List the date(s) you were admitted to the bar of any state and any lapses in membership. Please explain the reason for any lapse in membership.

Kentucky, 1992

There has been no lapse in membership.

b. List all courts in which you have been admitted to practice, including dates of admission and any lapses in membership. Please explain the reason for any lapse in membership. Give the same information for administrative bodies that require special admission to practice.

United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, 1993 United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky, 1993 United States District Court for the Eastern District of Kentucky, 1993 Supreme Court of Kentucky, 1992

There have been no lapses in membership.

11. Memberships:

a. List all professional, business, fraternal, scholarly, civic, charitable, or other organizations, other than those listed in response to Questions 9 or 10 to which you belong, or to which you have belonged, since graduation from law school. Provide dates of membership or participation, and indicate any office you held. Include clubs, working groups, advisory or editorial boards, panels, committees, conferences, or publications.

Centenary United Methodist Church, Lexington, Kentucky (1996 – 2006) Chair, Fellowship Committee (2005 – 2006) Ministry Support Study Team (2003 – 2005)

Spindletop Country Club (2003 – 2006)

Somerset Christian School, Parent Advisory Board (2009 – 2010)

b. The American Bar Association's Commentary to its Code of Judicial Conduct states that it is inappropriate for a judge to hold membership in any organization that invidiously discriminates on the basis of race, sex, or religion, or national origin. Indicate whether any of these organizations listed in response to 11a above currently discriminate or formerly discriminated on the basis of race, sex, religion or national origin either through formal membership requirements or the practical implementation of membership policies. If so, describe any action you have taken to change these policies and practices.

To the best of my knowledge, none of the organizations listed above currently discriminates or formerly discriminated on the basis of race, sex, religion or national origin, either through formal membership requirements or the practical implementation of membership policies.

12. Published Writings and Public Statements:

a. List the titles, publishers, and dates of books, articles, reports, letters to the editor, editorial pieces, or other published material you have written or edited, including material published only on the Internet. Supply four (4) copies of all published material to the Committee.

With John Roach, *The Employment-at-Will Doctrine and Exceptions*, Employment Law in Kentucky (3d ed. 2007). Copy supplied.

With John Roach, *The Employment-at-Will Doctrine and Exceptions*, Employment Law in Kentucky (2d ed. 2000). Copy supplied.

With John Roach, *Do Viable Claims Still Exist under the ADA?*, Kentucky Bench & Bar (Mar. 2000). Copy supplied.

With Elbert P. Tuttle, et al., Tribute to Donald A. Winslow, 81 Ky. L.J. 285 (1993). Copy supplied.

Note, Troubled Waters: A Reaction to the Eleventh Circuit's Pollution of CERCLA's Safe Harbor for Lenders, 79 Ky. L.J. 829 (1991). Copy supplied.

b. Supply four (4) copies of any reports, memoranda or policy statements you prepared or contributed in the preparation of on behalf of any bar association, committee, conference, or organization of which you were or are a member. If you do not have a copy of a report, memorandum or policy statement, give the name and address of the organization that issued it, the date of the document, and a summary of its subject matter.

None.

c. Supply four (4) copies of any testimony, official statements or other communications relating, in whole or in part, to matters of public policy or legal interpretation, that you have issued or provided or that others presented on your behalf to public bodies or public officials.

None.

d. Supply four (4) copies, transcripts or recordings of all speeches or talks delivered by you, including commencement speeches, remarks, lectures, panel discussions, conferences, political speeches, and question-and-answer sessions. Include the date and place where they were delivered, and readily available press reports about the speech or talk. If you do not have a copy of the speech or a transcript or recording of your remarks, give the name and address of the group before whom the speech was given, the date of the speech, and a summary of its subject matter. If you did not speak from a prepared text, furnish a copy of any outline or notes from which you spoke.

May 2, 2017: Panelist, Legal Writing, Central Kentucky American Inn of Court, University of Kentucky Boone Center, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

August 16, 2016: Speaker, Federal Litigation, Greater Lexington Paralegal Association, Fayette Circuit Court, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

June 9, 2016: Panelist, "Litigating an Employment Law Claim," University of Kentucky Employment Law Institute, Campbell House, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

March 21, 2016: Presenter, Civics Presentation, Mary Todd Elementary School, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

May 11, 2016: Panelist, "Emerging Topics in Federal Criminal Practice," Kentucky Bar Association, Louisville, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

March 11, 2016: Speaker, "Adding Americans," Transylvania University, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

May 8, 2015: Speaker, "Protecting Rights and Maintaining Boundaries: A Judicial Perspective on the Treatment of Pro Se Litigants," Kentucky History Center, Frankfort, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

April 8, 2015 (also February 27, 2014 and February 7, 2013): Speaker, University of Kentucky College of Law, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

June 5, 2014: Panelist, "Litigating an Employment Law Claim," University of Kentucky Employment Law Institute, Four Points Sheraton, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

April 25, 2014: Panelist, "Current Issues in Federal Criminal Law," Kentucky Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers, United States Courthouse, London, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

May 14, 2013: Panelist, "Hot Topics in the Practice of Criminal Law in the Eastern District of Kentucky," 2013 Federal Bench and Bar Conference, United States Courthouse, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

August 3, 2012: Moderator, "Federal Criminal Defense," Judicial Fellows Program of the Eastern District of Kentucky, United States Courthouse, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

May 17, 2012: Panelist, "Litigating an Employment Law Claim," University of Kentucky Employment Law Institute, University of Kentucky College of Law, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

November 17, 2011: Speaker, National Honor Society Induction Ceremony, Lexington Christian Academy, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

July 8, 2011: Speaker, "Practice before United States Magistrate Judges," Kentucky Council of School Board Attorneys, Marriott, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

June 17, 2011: Speaker, "Permission to Speak Freely: New Rule 26 and Its Changes Related to Expert Reports, Identification, and Discovery," Kentucky Bar Convention, Lexington Convention Center, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

May 4, 2011: Panelist, "Practicing Civil Cases," Federal Bar Association, Kentucky Chapter, United States Courthouse, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

March 16, 2011: Speaker, "Best Practices for CJA Attorney Billing," United States District Court, Eastern District of Kentucky, United States Courthouse, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

June 23, 2009: Speaker, "Federal Court Update," Fayette County Bar Association, Fayette County Courthouse, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

February 28, 2009: Panelist, "E-Discovery Best Practices," Northern Kentucky University Law Review, Marriott Rivercenter, Covington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

November 6, 2008 (also September 2007, September 2009, and November 2010): Speaker, Federal Court Update, Kentucky Bar Association, Various Locations. Notes supplied.

May 22, 2008: Speaker, "Federal Criminal Law Update," Federal Bar Association, Kentucky Chapter, United States Courthouse, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

December 19, 2006: Speaker, "The Bail Reform Act," Eastern District of Kentucky CJA Panel, United States Courthouse, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

October 2, 2006: Speaker, "Remarks at Public Investiture," the United States Courthouse, London, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

September 15, 2004 (also September 2003 and September 2005): Speaker, "Presentation on Practice Formation Issues," University of Kentucky College of Dentistry, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

October 2003: Speaker, "Family," Lexington Christian Academy's Fall Banquet, Marriott, Lexington, Kentucky. Notes supplied.

Mid-2003: Speaker, "Forgiveness," Fayette Christian Lawyers Association, Radisson, Lexington, Kentucky. I gave a brief address on the topic of forgiveness from the perspective of a Christian practitioner. I have no notes, transcript, or recording. To my knowledge, the Fayette Christian Lawyers Association no longer exists.

January 2001: Co-Chair, Federal Practice Seminar, Federal Bar Association, Kentucky Chapter, United States Courthouse, Lexington, Kentucky. The seminar focused on new lawyers. I have no notes, transcript, or recording. The Federal Bar Association, Kentucky Chapter does not have an address.

December 6, 1997: Speaker, Kentucky Employment Lawyers Association, Hilton, Erlanger, Kentucky. I provided a talk involving mediation tips and suggestions. I have no notes, transcript, or recording. To my knowledge, the Kentucky Employment Lawyers Association no longer exists.

May 5, 1995: Speaker, Keynote, Harlan County Community Scholarship Banquet, Harlan Baptist Church, Harlan, Kentucky. Notes and press coverage supplied.

I have endeavored to identify each responsive instance, having searched my files, my prior available calendars, and on-line. There is a period from private practice, from 2000 to 2006, when I used an electronic calendar that no longer is available to me. I have done my best to recall speeches from that period, though I do not have a calendar reflective of that period.

e. List all interviews you have given to newspapers, magazines or other publications, or radio or television stations, providing the dates of these interviews and four (4) copies of the clips or transcripts of these interviews where they are available to you.

Carl Keith Greene, Harlan County Native Robert Wier Installed as E. Kentucky District Court's Magistrate Judge, The Sentinel Echo, Oct. 6, 2006. Article supplied.

Andy Mead, et al., Roach Worked with Key Parties, Argued in a Possible Precedent, Lexington Herald-Leader, Sept. 6, 2005. Article supplied.

Andy Mead, Roach Says He Might Step Aside in Water Case, Lexington Herald-Leader, Sept. 9, 2005. Article supplied.

Judicial Experience not a Factor for High Court. Fletcher Says Legal Experience Important for His Appointee, Lexington Herald-Leader, May 10, 2005. Article supplied.

Case Raises Questions About Lawyers Financing Judicial Campaigns, Assoc. Press, Aug. 23, 2004. Article supplied.

Ryan Alessi, *Judge is Accused of Mixing Law and Politics*, Lexington Herald-Leader, Aug. 22, 2004. Article supplied.

Former Manager Wins \$1.17 Million in Bias Case, Assoc. Press, Jan. 15, 1999. Article supplied.

John Cheves, Award Against Strip Club \$1.17 Million, Lexington Herald-Leader, Jan. 14, 1999. Article supplied.

Inn Runs Afoul of Ordinance, Assoc. Press, Jan. 12, 1999. Article supplied.

John Cheves, Suit Says UK Had Bias Against Ex-LCC Chief, Lexington Herald-Leader, Apr. 9, 1998. Article supplied.

Cheryl Truman, History and Science Buffs, Athletes Among 600 Governor's Scholars, Lexington Herald-Leader, Apr. 11, 1984. Article supplied.

13. <u>Judicial Office</u>: State (chronologically) any judicial offices you have held, including positions as an administrative law judge, whether such position was elected or appointed, and a description of the jurisdiction of each such court.

Section 636 of Title 28, along with specific federal statutes, governs the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge position, as implemented by the federal rules, local rule, other federal statutes, and the referral practices of the District Judges. In the Eastern District of Kentucky, I generally handle full pre-trial management in civil and criminal cases on the Central Division docket. This includes regular dispositive matter referrals in both civil and criminal cases. I have a full civil consent docket. Further, I oversee most pre-charge activity involving the Court (warrants, pen/trap applications, SCA applications, etc.), manage the Grand Jury, and carry a host of miscellaneous duties (petty offense and Class A misdemeanor adjudication, referral of state and federal habeas / Section 2255 matters, extradition, international prisoner transfer, *pro se*, naturalization, civil mediation, etc.).

I have served as a United States Magistrate Judge since September 1, 2006. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Kentucky appointed me to the position following a merit selection process, as established in 28 U.S.C. § 631. My first eight-year term expired in 2014, and after another merit selection process regarding reappointment, the Court unanimously reappointed me for a second term.

a. Approximately how many cases have you presided over that have gone to verdict or judgment?

If this question seeks the total number of cases, regardless of variety, I have handled to judgment, the answer exceeds 400 (to include all misdemeanor case dispositions and civil consent cases). A Magistrate Judge does not have felony trial or dispositional authority, so matters tried to a verdict would include misdemeanor trials and civil jury trials. Most cases, both on the criminal and civil side, result in a judgment or otherwise conclude without a trial.

As for matters actually tried to judgment, I have presided over four jury trials and five bench trials. I have selected the jury in other civil cases and in five felony cases; I have taken the verdict or presided over jury deliberations in three additional felony cases.

i. Of these, approximately what percent were:

jury trials:	50%
bench trials:	50%
civil proceedings: criminal proceedings:	50% 50%

My docket encompasses a full range of civil and criminal cases within the authority of a United States Magistrate Judge, as defined by 28 U.S.C. § 636. The trial percentage assignment relates to full trials actually occurring. The docket, by local rule and practice, involves extensive civil and criminal referrals. The 50/50 allocation is on paper; the actual temporal allocation depends on the particular litigation workload at a point in time.

b. Provide citations for all opinions you have written, including concurrences and dissents.

See attached list of cases.

c. For each of the 10 most significant cases over which you presided, provide: (1) a capsule summary of the nature the case; (2) the outcome of the case; (3) the name and contact information for counsel who had a significant role in the trial of the case; and (3) the citation of the case (if reported) or the docket number and a copy of the opinion or judgment (if not reported).

Cases of significance (with focus on cases resulting in trial or judgment)

1. *United States v. Basic*, No. 5:11-MJ-05002-REW, 2012 WL 3067466 (E.D. Ky. July 27, 2012).

The case involved an extradition request by Bosnia and Herzegovina against Azra Basic, a United States citizen, for alleged war crimes committed in BiH in or around 1992. I handled the entire extradition proceeding, the first of its kind in this District. Ultimately, after multiple lengthy hearings and briefing rounds, I certified extraditability of Basic under applicable standards. The record involved several hundred pages of translated BiH official materials. The case presented a series of complicated international law and treaty exposition elements. The case now is complete in terms of judicial process. Chief Judge Caldwell agreed with the propriety of my decision, *Basic v. Steck*, No. 5:12-CV-274-KKC, 2015 WL 4164901 (E.D. Ky. Aug. 12, 2015), the Sixth Circuit affirmed, *Basic v. Steck*, 819 F.3d 897 (6th Cir. 2016), and the United States Supreme Court denied a petition for a writ of certiorari, *Basic v. Steck*, 137 S. Ct. 196 (2016).

Counsel for Petitioner:

Patrick F. Nash Nash Marshall, PLLC 129 West Short Street Lexington, KY 40507 859-254-3232

Counsel for Respondent:

James E. Arehart (retired)
U.S. Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Kentucky
260 West Vine Street
Suite 300
Lexington, KY 40507-1612
859-233-2661

Terry Schubert United States Department of Justice Office of International Affairs 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20530-0001

2. *Martin v. Johnson*, No. 5:15-CV-234-REW, 2016 WL 6699138 (E.D. Ky. Nov. 14, 2016)

After I denied Defendant Dennis Johnson, a police officer, qualified immunity in an earlier decision, see 2016 WL 617843 (E.D. Ky. Feb. 16, 2016), this

case proceeded to a jury trial and verdict. The jury found for Defendant Johnson. Plaintiff Martin then filed a post-judgment motion for judgment as a matter of law. I denied the motion, concluding that a reasonable jury, on the trial record, had a legally sufficient basis to find for Johnson. Martin did not appeal.

Counsel for Plaintiff:

William L. Davis Law Office of William L. Davis 108 Pasadena Drive, Suite 200 Lexington, KY 40503 859-260-1997

Kevin Reed Palley 329 West 5th Street Lexington, KY 40508-3708 859-268-1110

Counsel for Defendant:

Perry R. Arnold Kentucky State Police Legal Office 919 Versailles Road Frankfort, KY 40601 502-782-4261

3. EEOC v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., No. 6:01-CV-339-KKC

When I began in 2006, this case, an enormous (thousands of claimants) civil pattern and practice gender discrimination case against Wal-Mart related to the London, Kentucky, distribution center, already was five-plus years old. With a full pretrial management referral from the District Judge, I instituted discovery and pretrial practices and protocols to move the case toward resolution. For a lengthy period, this involved a dedicated monthly management hearing. I issued dozens of civil discovery orders addressing depositions, discovery scope, experts, and privilege. The docket entries in the civil case ultimately exceeded 700, and the parties resolved the case by agreement in 2010.

Counsel for Plaintiffs:

Aimee Lynn McFerren EEOC - Louisville Area Office 600 Martin Luther King, Jr. Place, Suite 268 Louisville, KY 40202-2285 502-582-6308

Nancy Dean Edmonds

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission 101 West Ohio Street, Suite 1900 Indianapolis, IN 46204-4203 317-226-7229

Counsel for Defendant:

Kathryn A. Quesenberry Dinsmore & Shohl, LLP 101 South Fifth Street, Suite 2500 Louisville, KY 40202 502-581-8025

4. Automated Cutting Technologies, Inc. v. BJS North America E, Inc., No. 5:10-CV-208-REW, 2012 WL 2872823 (E.D. Ky. July 12, 2012).

I denied-in-part summary judgment and conducted a four-day jury trial in this contract-based civil consent case, which raised novel questions under Kentucky's version of the UCC. Neither party appealed the case verdict.

Counsel for Plaintiff:

Thomas Walcutt Miller Miller, Griffin & Marks, P.S.C. Security Trust Building 271 West Short Street, Suite 600 Lexington, KY 40507-1292 859-255-6676

Counsel for Defendant:

Broderick L. Young Arnett, Draper & Hagood Suite 2300, First Tennessee Plaza P.O. Box 300 Knoxville, TN 37901-0300 865-546-7000

Kevin G. Henry Sturgill, Turner, Barker & Moloney PLLC 333 West Vine Street, Suite 1400 Lexington, KY 40507 859-255-8581

5. United States v. \$64,495.00 in U.S. Currency, No. 5:13-CV-265-REW, 2014 WL 5432119 (E.D. Ky. Oct. 27, 2014).

In this civil consent case, an *in rem* forfeiture action, I denied the United States summary judgment, and the case progressed to a jury trial and verdict. Neither side filed post-judgment motions or appealed the case outcome.

Counsel for Plaintiff:

David Y. Olinger, Jr. U.S. Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Kentucky 260 West Vine Street, Suite 300 Lexington, KY 40507-1612 859-685-4896

Counsel for Defendant:

Bryan E. Bennett Bennett Law Office, PSC 1410 Versailles Road Lexington, KY 40504 859-254-0123

6. Ball Corp. v. Durham, No. 5:12-CV-201-REW, 2014 WL 349312 (E.D. Ky. Jan. 31, 2014).

I conducted a bench trial in this civil consent case, which centered on identification, between two competing spousal claimants, of the proper beneficiary under an ERISA-governed pension plan. No party appealed my findings of fact or conclusions of law.

Counsel for Plaintiffs:

Andrew Bret Millar Dinsmore & Shohl LLP 250 West Main Street, Suite 1400 Lexington, KY 40507 859-425-1000

Counsel for Claimants:

Zachary D. Smith Mark E. Godbey and Associates 708 Walnut Street, Suite 600 Cincinnati, OH 45202 513-241-6650

James Thomas Hodges 810 Sycamore Street, Suite 211 Cincinnati, OH 45202 513-421-8454

7. United States v. Patel, No. 5:13-CR-162-KKC-REW.

This was a complicated, multi-party case centered on alleged human trafficking/illegal employment at a local Subway franchise. In addition to complicated issues regarding discovery and liability, the case also required management of multiple material witnesses (witnesses material to the case but also potentially victims and also potentially culpable as to other crimes, necessitating careful oversight of counsel and release issues). Eventually, the case resulted in guilty pleas on distinct charges by both Defendants and release of all material witnesses.

Counsel for Defendants:

Robert Michael Murphy Law Office of R. Michael Murphy, PLLC 709 Millpond Road Lexington, KY 40514 859-333-8422

Jarrod James Beck
Law Office of R. Michael Murphy, PLLC
709 Millpond Rd.
Lexington, KY 40514
270-860-2025
jarrod.beck@gmail.com

Mark A. Wohlander Wohlander Law Office P.O. Box 910483 Lexington, KY 40591 859-361-5604 mark@wohlanderlaw.com

Hydee R. Hawkins U.S. Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Kentucky 260 W. Vine Street Suite 300 Lexington, KY 40507-1612 859-685-4881 Hydee.Hawkins@usdoj.gov

David A. Marye
U.S. Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Kentucky
260 W. Vine Street
Suite 300
Lexington, KY 40507-1612
859-685-4873
David.Marye@usdoj.gov

8. United States v. Dadanovic, No. 6:09-CR-63-ART.

This was a complicated, multi-party international drug trafficking case. The pre-trial management required significant court involvement in processing discovery, addressing suppression, handling pre-trial motions, accounting for language issues, and overseeing counsel and 6th Amendment matters. A lengthy trial by the District Judge resulted in convictions for all Defendants.

Counsel for Defendant Emir Dadanovic:

W. Currie Milliken Milliken Law Firm 1039 College Street, 1st Floor P.O. Box 1640 Bowling Green, KY 42102-1640 270-843-0800

Counsel for Defendant Kemal Dugalic:

William Gary Crabtree 120 East Fourth Street London, KY 40741 606-878-8888

Counsel for Defendant Omer Dugalic:

David S. Hoskins Hoskins, Hill & Hill, PLLC 400 S. Main Street P.O. Box 1185 Corbin, KY 40702-1185 606-528-7181

Counsel for Defendant Donta Hamilton:

James Hibbard 120 East Second Street London, KY 40741 606-864-8755 jhibbardatty@windstream.net

Counsel for Defendant Jerdin Ovidio Yanes:

Elizabeth Snow Hughes Green Chesnut & Hughes PLLC Chase Tower 201 East Main Street, Suite 800 Lexington, KY 40507 859-475-1471

Counsel for Defendant Hatil Batlak:

Willis G. Coffey Coffey & Ford, P.S.C. P.O. Box 247 Mt. Vernon, KY 40456 606-256-4405

Counsel for Defendant Dzevad Avdic:

B. Alan Simpson 908 State Street P.O. Box 3480 Bowling Green, KY 42102 270-782-3929

Counsel for Defendant Mladen Bjelogravic:

Michael Dean Dean Law Offices P.O. Box 218 Irvine, KY 40336 606-723-4000

Counsel for Defendant Shane Page:

William M. Butler, Jr. 500 West Jefferson Street, Suite 1520 Louisville, KY 40202 502-582-2020

Counsel for United States:

William Samuel Dotson U.S. Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Kentucky 601 Meyers Baker Road, Suite 200 London, KY 40741-3035 606-330-4827

9. First Tech. Capital, Inc. v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., 53 F. Supp. 3d 972 (E.D. Ky. 2014).

I granted summary judgment to First Technology Capital in this hard-fought commercial civil consent case, which raised issues under Kentucky law of contract formation, misrepresentation/fraud, and unjust enrichment. JPMorgan Chase did not appeal my ruling.

Counsel for Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant:

Andrew M. Stephens 107 Church Street, Suite 200 Lexington, KY 40507 859-233-2232

Michael Joseph Gartland DelCotto Law Group PLLC 200 North Upper Street Lexington, KY 40507 859-231-5800

Counsel for Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff:

David B. Tachau Tachau Meek PLC 3600 National City Tower 101 South Fifth Street Louisville, KY 40202-3120 502-238-9900

10. Capital budgeting management: United States v. Eugene Slone, 6:12-CR-28-ART; United States v. Jimmy Benge, 6:12-CR-59-ART, United States v. Vernon Renus Delph, 6:12-CR-59-ART, United States v. Gerald Lee Sizemore, 6:12-CR-59-ART.

Judges Thapar and Van Tatenhove tasked me with managing the capital case budgeting in each of these federal death penalty eligible cases. The matters were largely distinct, but I formulated a model process for budget assessment and approval, working closely with the Sixth Circuit budgeting counsel during the budget approval steps. I conducted numerous *ex parte* conferences to assess requests and tried to create a streamlined mechanism for fair consideration of defense proposals and processing of vouchers. This included an interim billing protocol, which I created and implemented. Hearings or *ex parte* proceedings in the cases included budget justification, expert review, screening of particular requests, and guarding against retention-based conflicts of interest.

Counsel for Defendant Eugene Slone:

Brandon J. Storm 107 North Main Street London, KY 40741 606-877-6655

Candace C. Crouse Pinales, Stachler, Young, Burrell & Crouse Co., LPA 455 Delta Avenue, Suite 105 Cincinnati, OH 45226 513-252-2750

David P. Baugh

2025 East Main Street, Suite 114 Richmond, VA 23223 804-743-8111

David J. Guarnieri McBrayer, McGinnis, Leslie & Kirkland, PLLC 201 East Main Street, Suite 900 Lexington, KY 40507 859-231-8780

Martin S. Pinales
Pinales, Stachler, Young, Burrell & Crouse Co., LPA
455 Delta Avenue, Suite 105
Cincinnati, OH 45226
513-252-2750

Counsel for Defendant Jimmy Benge:

Christopher W. Adams 102 Broad Street, Suite C P.O. Box 561 Charleston, SC 29401 843-577-2153

Ned Pillersdorf Pillersdorf, DeRossett & Lane 124 West Court Street Prestonsburg, KY 41653 606-886-6090

Robert E. Norfleet Robert E. Norfleet Law Office 313 West Mount Vernon Street Somerset, KY 42501 606-679-1550

Counsel for Defendant Gerald Lee Sizemore:

Cynthia L. Short McCallister Law Firm, P.C. 917 West 43rd Street Kansas City, MO 64111-3133 816-931-2229

J. Kent Wicker Dressman Benzinger LaVelle PSC 321 West Main Street, Suite 2100 Louisville, KY 40202 502-572-2500

Counsel for Defendant Vernon Renus Delph:
Benjamin Gerald Dusing
The Law Offices of Benjamin G. Dusing, PLLC
50 E. RiverCenter Boulevard, Suite 820
Covington, KY 41011
513-322-1900

John Mitchell Bailey, IV 330 Franklin Road, Suite 135A-427 Brentwood, TN 37027-3282 615-319-1342

d. For each of the 10 most significant opinions you have written, provide: (1) citations for those decisions that were published; (2) a copy of those decisions that were not published; and (3) the names and contact information for the attorneys who played a significant role in the case.

Opinions of significance (primarily nonconstitutional, see also Question 13.h) in addition to those reflected in Question 13.c:

1. Biszantz v. Stephens Thoroughbreds, LLC, No. 5:13-CV-348-REW, 2015 WL 574594 (E.D. Ky. Feb. 11, 2015), aff'd, 620 F. App'x 535 (6th Cir. 2015).

Counsel for Plaintiff:

Thomas Walcutt Miller Miller, Griffin & Marks, P.S.C. Security Trust Building 271 West Short Street, Suite 600 Lexington, KY 40507-1292 859-255-6676

Counsel for Defendant:

Jacob Kenneth Michul Hurt Crosbie & May, PLLC The Equus Building 127 West Main Street Lexington, KY 40507 859-254-0000

2. Romine v. Saint Joseph Health Sys., No. 5:11-CV-282-REW, 2012 WL 5930629 (E.D. Ky. Nov. 27, 2012), aff'd, 541 F. App'x 614 (6th Cir. 2013).

Counsel for Plaintiff:

Edward E. Dove

201 West Short Street, Suite 300 Lexington, KY 40507 859-252-0020

Counsel for Defendant:

Bradley J. Sayles Barnett, Benvenuti & Butler, PLLC 489 East Main Street, Suite 300 Lexington, KY 40507 859-226-0312

Jeffery Todd Barnett Barnett, Benvenuti & Butler, PLLC 489 East Main Street, Suite 300 Lexington, KY 40507 859-226-0312

3. Acuity Brands Lighting, Inc. v. Bickley, No. 5:13-CV-366-DLB-REW, 2015 WL 10551946 (E.D. Ky. Nov. 30, 2015).

Counsel for Plaintiff:

David E. Gevertz Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC 3414 Peachtree Road Northeast, Suite 1600 Atlanta, GA 30326 404-577-6000

Kathryn Hinton
Baker Donelson Bearman Caldwell & Berkowitz PC
3414 Peachtree Road Northeast, Suite 1600
Atlanta, GA 30326
404-577-6000

Counsel for Defendant:

Barry D. Hunter Frost Brown Todd LLC Lexington Financial Center 250 West Main Street, Suite 2800 Lexington, KY 40507-1749 859-231-0000

Rebekah Gene Ballard Frost Brown Todd LLC Lexington Financial Center 250 West Main Street, Suite 2800 Lexington, KY 40507-1749 859-231-0000

Lauren Desiree Lunsford Reminger & Reminger Co., LPA 269 West Main Street, Suite 700 Lexington, KY 40507 859-233-1311

Shea W. Conley Reminger & Reminger Co., LPA 269 West Main Street, Suite 700 Lexington, KY 40507 859-233-1311

4. EiA Properties, LLC v. Fenwick Equestrian, LLC, No. 5:14-CV-328-REW, 2015 WL 5698540 (E.D. Ky. Sept. 28, 2015).

Counsel for Plaintiff:
John Nathan Billings
Billings Law Firm PLLC
111 Church Street, Suite 200
Lexington, KY 40507
859-225-5240

Christopher L. Thacker Billings Law Firm PLLC 111 Church Street, Suite 200 Lexington, KY 40507 859-225-5240

John F. Billings Billings Law Firm PLLC 111 Church Street, Suite 200 Lexington, KY 40507 859-225-5240

Counsel for Defendants:

James R. Stinetorf Bullock & Coffman LLP 234 North Limestone Lexington, KY 40507 859-225-3939

Thomas Dulaney Bullock Bullock & Coffman LLP 234 North Limestone Lexington, KY 40507 859-225-3939

5. United States v. Denham, 663 F. Supp. 2d 561 (E.D. Ky. 2009).

Counsel for Defendant:

Stephanie Lynne McKeehan Law Office of Stephanie L. McKeehan P.O. Box 2562 London, KY 40743 606-877-1663

Counsel for the United States:

Robert K. McBride U.S. Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Kentucky 207 Grandview Drive, Suite 400 Ft. Mitchell, KY 41017-2762 859-652-7033

Jason D. Parman U.S. Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Kentucky 601 Meyers Baker Road, Suite 200 London, KY 40741-3035 606-330-4831

6. United States v. Girod, 159 F. Supp. 3d 773 (E.D. Ky. 2015).

Counsel for Defendant:

Michael B. Fox Fox Law Office 185 Tom T. Hall Boulevard P. O. Box 1450 Olive Hill, KY 41164-1450 606-286-5351

Charles E. McFarland 338 Jackson Road New Castle, KY 40500 502-845-2754

Counsel for United States:

Kate K. Smith U.S. Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Kentucky 260 West Vine Street, Suite 300 Lexington, KY 40507-1612 859-685-4855 7. In re Application of the United States for an Order Authorizing Disclosure of Cell Site Location Information, No. 6:08-6038M-REW, 2009 WL 8231744 (E.D. Ky. Apr. 17, 2009).

Counsel for United States:

William Samuel Dotson U.S. Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Kentucky 601 Meyers Baker Road, Suite 200 London, KY 40741-3035 606-330-4827

Counsel appointed to represent contrary interest:

Patrick F. Nash Nash Marshall, PLLC 129 West Short Street Lexington, KY 40507 859-254-3232

8. United States v. Redmond, Recommended Disposition, No. 6:07-CR-24-DCR-REW (E.D. Ky. June 25, 2007), ECF No. 96, adopted, 2007 WL 1999997 (E.D. Ky. July 5, 2007).

Counsel for Defendant:

Robert L. Abell 120 North Upper Street P.O. Box 983 Lexington, KY 40588-0983 859-254-7076

Counsel for United States:

Kevin Charles Dicken U.S. Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Kentucky 260 West Vine Street, Suite 300 Lexington, KY 40507-1612 859-685-4888

9. *United States v. Woodall*, No. 5:10-CR-121-KSF-REW-3, 2013 WL 1412342 (E.D. Ky. Mar. 25, 2013).

Counsel for Defendant:

Matthew Ryan Malone Hurt Deckard & May, PLLC The Equus Building 127 West Main Street Lexington, KY 40507 859-254-0000

Counsel for United States:

Andrew T. Boone U.S. Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Kentucky 260 West Vine Street, Suite 300 Lexington, Kentucky 40507-1612 (859) 685-4841

10. *Lindon v. Kakavand*, No. 5:13-CV-26-DCR-REW, 2014 WL 12648464 (E.D. Ky, Apr. 29, 2014).

Counsel for Plaintiff:

Lea A. Player Morris & Player PLLC 1211 Herr Lane, Suite 205 Louisville, KY 40222 502-426-3430

Counsel for Defendant:

Bradley Aaron Case
Dinsmore & Shohl, LLP
101 South Fifth Street, Suite 2500
Louisville, KY 40202
502-540-2300

Stephen J. Mattingly Dinsmore & Shohl, LLP 101 South Fifth Street, Suite 2500 Louisville, KY 40202 502-540-2300

e. Provide a list of all cases in which certiorari was requested or granted.

Foley v. White, No. 6:00-CV-552-DCR-REW, 2012 WL 6965070 (E.D. Ky. Nov. 15, 2012), adopted, 2013 WL 375185 (E.D. Ky. Jan. 30, 2013), amended, 2013 WL 990828 (E.D. Ky. Mar. 12, 2013), cert. denied, 135 S. Ct. 951 (2015), aff'd, 835 F.3d 561 (6th Cir. 2016).

United States v. Arnold, No. 6:04-CR-31-DCR, 2008 WL 4951597 (E.D. Ky. Oct. 20, 2008), adopted in part, 2008 WL 4951791 (E.D. Ky. Nov. 18, 2008), aff'd, Order (6th Cir. Jan. 7, 2011), ECF No. 254, cert. denied, 131 S. Ct. 3079 (2011).

United States v. Clark, No. 6:06-CR-47-DCR-REW (E.D. Ky. Apr. 16, 2008), adopted, 2008 WL 2622890 (E.D. Ky. July 1, 2008), aff'd, Order (6th Cir. Dec. 21, 2011), ECF No. 284, cert. denied, 133 S. Ct. 677 (2012).

Walker v. Carter County, Ky., Order, No. 0:09-CV-25-DLB (E.D. Ky. Aug. 18, 2009), ECF No. 59, cert. denied, 130 S. Ct. 805 (2009).

United States v. Taylor, No. 6:09-CR-43-ART, 2010 WL 3190739 (E.D. Ky. July 26, 2010), adopted, 2010 WL 3190740 (Aug. 11, 2010), aff'd, Order (6th Cir. May 17, 2013), ECF No. 205, cert. denied, 134 S. Ct. 340 (2013).

United States v. Hamilton, E.D. Ky., 6:09-CR-63-ART, aff'd, 489 F. App'x 10 (6th Cir. 2012), cert. denied, 133 S. Ct. 669 (2012).

United States v. Rowe, Recommended Disposition, No. 5:10-CR-19-KKC-REW (E.D. Ky. Jan. 30, 2013), ECF No. 86, adopted, 2013 WL 3213079 (E.D. Ky. June 24, 2013), aff'd, Order (6th Cir. Apr. 14, 2015), ECF No. 99, cert. denied, 136 S. Ct. 521 (2015).

Lawson v. Motley, Recommended Disposition, No. 7:10-CV-09-HRW-REW (E.D. Ky. Sept. 6, 2012), ECF No. 42, adopted, Order (E.D. Ky. Sept. 20, 2012), ECF No. 44, aff'd, Order (6th Cir. Apr. 2, 2013), ECF No. 47, cert. denied, 133 S. Ct. 2778 (2013).

United States v. Jones, E.D. Ky., 5:10-CR-78-JMH, aff'd, 489 F. App'x 57 (6th Cir. 2012), cert. denied, 133 S. Ct. 554 (2012).

United States v. Basic, No. 5:11-MJ-05002-REW, 2012 WL 3067466 (E.D. Ky. July 27, 2012), aff'd sub. nom., Basic v. Steck, No. 5:12-CV-274-KKC, 2015 WL 4164901 (E.D. Ky. Aug. 12, 2015), aff'd, 819 F.3d 897 (6th Cir. 2016), cert. denied, 137 S. Ct. 196 (2016).

Brewster v. Brown, Recommended Disposition, No. 0:13-CV-74-JMH-REW (E.D. Ky. Dec. 31, 2013), ECF No. 13, adopted, 2014 WL 585370 (E.D. Ky. Feb. 13, 2014), aff'd, Order (6th Cir. May 11, 2016), ECF No. 24, cert. denied sub. nom., Brewster v. Hart, 137 S. Ct. 306 (2016).

United States v. Brummett, Recommended Disposition, No. 5:13-CR-135-DCR-REW (E.D. Ky. May 2, 2014), ECF No. 45, adopted, 2014 WL 2118265 (E.D. Ky. May 21, 2014), aff'd, Order (6th Cir. Dec. 4, 2015), ECF No. 80, cert. denied, 136 S. Ct. 1687 (2016).

United States v. Roberts, Recommended Disposition, No. 6:11-CR-50-GFVT-REW (E.D. Ky. Oct. 14, 2015), ECF No. 94, adopted, 2016 WL 1717073 (E.D. Ky. Apr. 28, 2016), aff'd, Order (6th Cir. Jan. 24, 2017), ECF No. 104, cert. denied, Order (U.S. June 5, 2017), ECF No. 106.

It is quite possible that there have been other petitions, for example, by Section 2255 movants or Section 2254 petitioners, not captured in the list referenced above. I have searched various ways for that information, which is not something

- readily or centrally tracked. I am unaware of a grant of certiorari in any case I have handled.
- f. Provide a brief summary of and citations for all of your opinions where your decisions were reversed by a reviewing court or where your judgment was affirmed with significant criticism of your substantive or procedural rulings. If any of the opinions listed were not officially reported, provide copies of the opinions.
 - 1. United States v. Lopez, Recommended Disposition, No. 6:06-CR-120-DCR (E.D. Ky. Dec. 7, 2006), ECF No. 24, adopted, 2006 WL 3827468 (E.D. Ky. Dec. 27, 2006), rev'd, 567 F.3d 755 (6th Cir. 2009). I recommended denial of a motion to suppress and the District Judge agreed. Based on the intervening issuance of Arizona v. Gant, 129 S. Ct. 1710 (2009), the Sixth Circuit reversed. Unpublished opinions supplied.
 - 2. United States v. Hodson, Recommended Disposition, No. 6:06-CR-117-DCR (E.D. Ky. Dec. 13, 2006), ECF No. 24, adopted, 2006 WL 3845032 (E.D. Ky. Dec. 27, 2006), rev'd, 543 F.3d 286 (6th Cir. 2008). I recommended denial of a motion to suppress. Although I found the warrant not supported by probable cause, I also deemed Leon to spare the search. The District Judge agreed, but the Sixth Circuit reversed. The decision appears at United States v. Hodson, 543 F.3d 286 (6th Cir. 2008). Unpublished opinion supplied.
 - 3. United States v. Jones, Recommended Disposition, No. 6:04-CR-70-DCR (E.D. Ky. Nov. 19, 2009), ECF No. 39, adopted, 2010 WL 55930 (E.D. Ky. Jan. 4, 2010), rev'd, 689 F.3d 621 (6th Cir. 2012). I recommended dismissal of a § 2255 motion based on timeliness. The District Judge agreed, adding other alternative dismissal bases. On appeal, the United States waived the timeliness defense, and the Sixth Circuit reinstated the motion. Unpublished opinion supplied.
 - 4. EEOC v. Nestle Prepared Foods, Recommended Disposition, No. 5:11-MC-358-JMH (E.D. Ky. Apr. 26, 2012), ECF No. 17, rejected-in-part, 2012 WL 1888130 (E.D. Ky. May 23, 2012). I determined that an administrative subpoena issued by the EEOC should be enforced against Nestle Prepared Foods. The District Judge agreed in part but rejected enforcement. Unpublished opinions supplied.
 - 5. United States v. Poor, Recommended Disposition, No. 5:11-CR-114-KKC (E.D. Ky. Mar. 12, 2012), ECF No. 76, adopted, 2012 WL 1153324 (E.D. Ky. Apr. 5, 2012). I recommended grant of a motion to suppress where I found no probable cause and the United States had made no good faith argument or showing in the motion briefing or during the substantive hearing. On objection, and after full development of the good faith argument, the District Judge adopted my decision but permitted part of the evidence under Leon.

Unpublished opinion supplied.

- 6. United States v. Maxwell, Recommended Disposition, No. 2:09-CR-33-DCR (E.D. Ky. Apr. 3, 2013), ECF No. 264, adopted, 948 F.Supp.2d 749 (E.D. Ky. 2013), rev'd, 617 F. App'x 470 (6th Cir. 2015). I recommended denial of relief (though grant of a certificate of appealability) as to a complex § 2255 motion. The District Judge agreed, but the Sixth Circuit (where the United States reversed its prior position on the merits) determined that the motion should be granted on the merits. Unpublished opinion supplied.
- 7. United States v. Back, Recommended Disposition, No. 7:12-CR-03-ART (E.D. Ky. May 26, 2016), ECF No. 349, adopted, 2016 WL 4204052 (E.D. Ky. Aug. 8, 2016). I recommended denial of a § 2255 that centered on implied waiver of the right to counsel, though noting factual and conceptual areas of concern. Judge Thapar agreed with my analysis, noted the concerns, and "out of an abundance of caution," determined to resentence Defendant. Unpublished opinion supplied.
- 8. United States v. Potter, Recommended Disposition, No. 7:03-CR-21-DCR, 2016 WL 6143239 (E.D. Ky. Sept. 9, 2016), ECF No. 88, rejected, 2016 WL 6134533 (E.D. Ky. Oct. 20, 2016). I recommended granting § 2255 relief on a complicated Armed Career Criminal Act sentencing issue. This was based largely on the Government's concession that resentencing should occur (and on comments by the Sixth Circuit in granting Movant leave to file the motion at issue). The District Judge rejected resentencing. That ruling is now on appeal. Unpublished opinion supplied.
- 9. Manns v. Beckstrom, Recommended Disposition, No. 7:13-CV-83-WOB-REW (E.D. Ky. June 16, 2015), ECF No. 21, adopted Order (E.D. Ky. Sept. 9, 2015), ECF No. 26, aff'd, ____ F. App'x ____, 2017 WL 2493124 (6th Cir. June 9, 2017) (per curiam); but see id. (White, J., dissenting) (expressing "no quarrel with the logic of the majority's position" but perceiving a "problem with the district court's and the majority's reasoning"). Unpublished opinions supplied.
- 10. United States v. Ginter, Recommended Disposition, No. 5:13-CR-151-DCR-REW (E.D. Ky. Jan. 6, 2016), ECF No. 113, adopted 2016 WL 347663 (E.D. Ky. Jan. 28, 2016), rev'd, Order (6th Cir. June 12, 2017), ECF No. 125. I recommended denying § 2255 relief; Judge Reeves agreed. The Sixth Circuit reversed and remanded for resentencing based on illumination from the intervening Mathis decision and the Government's concession, only at the Circuit, "that Mathis applies on collateral review and that Ginter no longer qualifies as an armed career criminal." Unpublished opinions supplied.

I am identified on the Eastern District of Kentucky CM/ECF as having been the assigned judge in over 4,500 cases. I've issued around 450 dispositive orders—

either a Recommended Disposition to a District Judge (in criminal, habeas, or civil cases) or dispositive rulings in civil consent cases. I've issued many thousands of other orders—substantive, administrative, or ministerial—across the full docket. There have been other rare instances through the years where an objection and *de novo* review (e.g., on a new hearing, supplemented record, or new arguments presented by way of objection) have resulted in a District Judge varying or modifying a ruling I have issued. This periodically happens in the context of detention/bail appeals, suppression rulings, or other *de novo* review contexts. A District Judge may also occasionally or otherwise modify a schedule or briefing instructions I've issued. I do not have a system to track such scenarios, which are very infrequent.

- g. Provide a description of the number and percentage of your decisions in which you issued an unpublished opinion and the manner in which those unpublished opinions are filed and/or stored.
 - I do not actively designate my decisions as published or unpublished. Westlaw chooses some opinions for formal publication, *i.e.*, with a reporter citation, and / or otherwise for inclusion in its databases. All my opinions / decisions appear in the docket the same way, and all appear on the public Case Management / Electronic Case Filing System. Westlaw's databases contain most dispositive rulings, but other orders may appear only in the Court's public docket. I do not intentionally designate any decision as an unpublished opinion.
- h. Provide citations for significant opinions on federal or state constitutional issues, together with the citation to appellate court rulings on such opinions. If any of the opinions listed were not officially reported, provide copies of the opinions.
 - 1. United States v. Wood, No. 3:15-CR-14-GFVT-REW, 2016 WL 8131240 (E.D. Ky. Oct. 3, 2016) and 2016 WL 8131221 (E.D. Ky. Oct. 17, 2016) (granting defendant's motion for return of proceeds of condominium sale in order to pay counsel based on Supreme Court's rulings in Kaley and Luis).
 - 2. *United States v. Conley*, No. 3:13-CR-28-GFVT-REW (E.D. Ky. Feb. 16, 2017) (recommending denial of defendant's motion challenging conviction based on the intervening *McDonnell v. United States*, 136 S. Ct. 2355 (2016)). Opinion supplied.
 - 3. United States v. Campbell, 224 F. Supp. 3d 549 (E.D. Ky. 2016) (recommending granting defendant's 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion, which raised (at core) the issue of Kentucky assault third's qualification as a career offender predicate offense), adopted, 2017 WL 1196650 (E.D. Ky. Mar. 30, 2017).
 - 4. United States v. Ware, No. 2:14-CR-40-DLB-REW, 2016 WL 8793508 (E.D. Ky. Feb. 18, 2016) (recommending denial of Defendants' 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motions (except as to one subsidiary issue regarding one defendant), which

- raised the issue of the legality of searches that occurred at Cincinnati / Northern Kentucky Airport), adopted, Order (E.D. Ky. Mar. 24, 2016), ECF No. 135.
- 5. United States v. Barker, No. 5:14-CR-32-DCR-REW, 2014 WL 2561989 (E.D. Ky. June 2, 2014) (recommending denial of Defendant's motion to suppress, which raised nexus and informant reliability arguments), adopted, 2014 WL 2742793 (E.D. Ky. June 6, 2014), aff'd, 611 F. App'x 346 (6th Cir. 2015) (summarily affirming "for the reasons set forth in the magistrate judge's recommended disposition").
- 6. United States v. Clay, No. 3:13-CR-15-GFVT-REW (E.D. Ky. Dec. 31, 2013) (recommending denial of Defendant's motion to suppress based on the conclusion that Defendant's then-girlfriend had apparent authority to consent to a search of the apartment and that the warrant was valid), adopted, 1 F. Supp. 3d 688 (E.D. Ky. 2014), aff'd, 630 F. App'x 377 (6th Cir. 2015), cert. denied, 136 S. Ct. 1394 (2016).
- 7. United States v. Lostutter, No. 5:16-CR-62-DCR-REW, 2016 WL 8761771 (E.D. Ky. Oct. 24, 2016) (recommending denial of Defendant's motion to suppress, which raised warrant particularity and Miranda arguments), adopted, Order (E.D. Ky. Nov. 16, 2016), ECF No. 67.
- 8. United States v. Landor, No. 6:09-CR-14-GFVT-REW (E.D. Ky. Nov. 17, 2009) (recommending granting Defendant's motion to suppress based on a *Miranda* violation and the psychotherapist-patient privilege), adopted, 699 F. Supp. 2d 913 (E.D. Ky. 2009).
- 9. United States v. Kinison, No. 5:12-CR-57-JBC-REW, 2012 WL 4433296 (E.D. Ky. Sept. 24, 2012) (denying Defendant's motion for release from custody after Judge Coffman granted a motion to suppress, from which the United States took an interlocutory appeal).
- 10. United States v. Patel, Statement of Reasons for Release, Nos. 5:13-MJ-5316 & -5317-REW (E.D. Ky. Dec. 4, 2013) (denying the Government's motion for pretrial detention in these non-presumption cases, which raised interesting and relatively novel immigration and removal issues), ECF No. 14. Opinion supplied.
- i. Provide citations to all cases in which you sat by designation on a federal court of appeals, including a brief summary of any opinions you authored, whether majority, dissenting, or concurring, and any dissenting opinions you joined.
 - I have not sat by designation on a federal court of appeals.
- 14. Recusal: If you are or have been a judge, identify the basis by which you have assessed

the necessity or propriety of recusal (If your court employs an "automatic" recusal system by which you may be recused without your knowledge, please include a general description of that system.) Provide a list of any cases, motions or matters that have come before you in which a litigant or party has requested that you recuse yourself due to an asserted conflict of interest or in which you have recused yourself sua sponte. Identify each such case, and for each provide the following information:

- a. whether your recusal was requested by a motion or other suggestion by a litigant or a party to the proceeding or by any other person or interested party; or if you recused yourself sua sponte;
- b. a brief description of the asserted conflict of interest or other ground for recusal;
- c. the procedure you followed in determining whether or not to recuse yourself;
- d. your reason for recusing or declining to recuse yourself, including any action taken to remove the real, apparent or asserted conflict of interest or to cure any other ground for recusal.

As a federal magistrate judge, I have sought to comply with 28 U.S.C. § 455, disqualifying myself in specific circumstances commanded by the statute and in any case where my impartiality might reasonably be questioned. I screen every case and also rely on an automatic conflict-recognition program built into the ECF system. I recused *sua sponte* in the following cases:

Ball v. Stalnaker, No. 7:05-CV-263-GFVT (recusing based on Section 455(a) and Section 455(b), belief that impartiality might reasonably be questioned due to personal knowledge or personal bias as to a party or knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts).

Cox v. City of Irvine, Ky., No. 5:09-CV-05-JBC (recusing based on Section 455(a) and Section 455(b), belief that impartiality might reasonably be questioned due to personal knowledge or personal bias as to a party or knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts).

United States v. Turek, No. 5:11-CR-29-JBC (recusing based on Section 455(a) and Section 455(b), belief that impartiality might reasonably be questioned due to personal knowledge or personal bias as to a party or knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts).

Dublin Eye Assocs., P.C. v. Mass. Mut. Life Ins. Co., No. 5:11-CV-128-JBC (recusing based on Section 455(a) and Section 455(b), belief that impartiality might reasonably be questioned due to personal knowledge or personal bias as to a party).

Jones v. Regency Care of Mt. Sterling, LLC, No. 5:13-CV-57-KKC (recusing

based on former law firm acting as counsel of record).

Smith v. Univar USA, Inc., No. 5:12-CV-134-ART (recusing based on Section 455(a) and Section 455(b), belief that impartiality might reasonably be questioned due to personal knowledge or personal bias as to a party or knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts).

The Mall at Lexington Green, LLC v. Bayer Properties, LLC, No. 5:14-CV-127-KKC (recusing based on former law firm acting as counsel of record).

New York Life Ins. Co. v. Terry, No. 5:15-CV-353-REW (now HAI) (recusing based on Section 455(a) and Section 455(b), belief that impartiality might reasonably be questioned due to personal knowledge or personal bias as to a party).

I recall only two cases where a party asked me to recuse:

Smith v. Parks, No. 5:14-CV-260-KKC. Plaintiff, pro se, moved to recuse me based on perceived prejudice from prior rulings in the case. DE #59. The District Judge denied the motion. DE #87.

United States v. Ware, No. 3:13-CR-26-GFVT. Certain motions in this case remain pending for the District Judge's consideration. After my role concluded, Ware, pro se, made objections to my recommended disposition on his § 2255 motion, making a confusing nunc pro tunc recusal argument based on the manner in which I analyzed the filings and written arguments. Judge Van Tatenhove recently overruled those objections and did not order my recusal.

15. Public Office, Political Activities and Affiliations:

a. List chronologically any public offices you have held, other than judicial offices, including the terms of service and whether such positions were elected or appointed. If appointed, please include the name of the individual who appointed you. Also, state chronologically any unsuccessful candidacies you have had for elective office or unsuccessful nominations for appointed office.

I have not held public office. I have had no unsuccessful candidacies for public office or unsuccessful nominations for appointed office.

b. List all memberships and offices held in and services rendered, whether compensated or not, to any political party or election committee. If you have ever held a position or played a role in a political campaign, identify the particulars of the campaign, including the candidate, dates of the campaign, your title and responsibilities.

Treasurer, Tim Philpot for Fayette Circuit Court Judge (2003)

Treasurer, Tim Philpot for Fayette Family Court Judge (2004)

16. Legal Career: Answer each part separately.

- a. Describe chronologically your law practice and legal experience after graduation from law school including:
 - i. whether you served as clerk to a judge, and if so, the name of the judge, the court and the dates of the period you were a clerk;

From 1992 to 1993, I served as a law clerk to the Honorable Eugene E. Siler, Jr., Circuit Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit.

ii. whether you practiced alone, and if so, the addresses and dates;

I have not practiced law alone.

iii. the dates, names and addresses of law firms or offices, companies or governmental agencies with which you have been affiliated, and the nature of your affiliation with each.

1993 – 1995 Stoll, Keenon & Park, LLP (later Stoll Keenon Ogden, PLLC). 300 West Vine Street, Suite 2100 Lexington, KY 40507 Associate

1996 – 2006
Ransdell & Wier, PLLC
(formerly entities Philpot, Ransdell, Roach & Wier, PLLC and Ransdell, Roach & Wier, PLLC)
176 Pasadena Drive, Bldg 1
Lexington, Kentucky
Member/Partner

iv. whether you served as a mediator or arbitrator in alternative dispute resolution proceedings and, if so, a description of the 10 most significant matters with which you were involved in that capacity.

I did not act as a mediator during private practice. I have mediated over 300 cases as a United States Magistrate Judge. The ten most significant matters that I have mediated or arbitrated are:

McKinney v. Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government, 5:12-CV-360-KKC (Oct. 4, 2016) (successful mediation in case involving death of detainee and resulting constitutional claims).

Jones v. Perry County Fiscal Court, 6:15-CV-50-DCR (June 14, 2016) (successful mediation of alleged political retaliation claim, which included agreement for return to employment).

Woods v. PNC Bank, 0:14-CV-84-HRW (Oct. 27, 2015) (successful mediation of property destruction claim involving discarding of safety deposit box contents and questions over value and existence of contents).

Anestis v. United States, 5:11-CV-28-DLB (Dec. 22, 2014) (successful mediation of Federal Tort Claims Act case arising from suicide of veteran).

Magic Hat IP, LLC v. West Sixth Brewing Company, LLC, 5:13-CV-136-DCR (June 5, 2013) (successful mediation of trademark dispute).

Budsgunshop.com, LLC v. Security Safe Outlet, Inc., 5:10-CV-390-KSF (Apr. 30, 2013 and May 7, 2013) (successful mediation of unfair competition and other business tort claims).

Constable v. Treviicos-Soletanche Joint Venture for Wolf Creek Dam, 1:11-CV-164-TBR/1:11-CV-186-TBR (W.D. Ky.) (Feb. 12, 2013) (successful mediation of multi-claimant Fair Labor Standards Act case; mediated on request, though out of district case).

Mosier v. Kentucky, 5:08-CV-184-KSF (July 14, 2010) (successful mediation of Americans with Disabilities Act case involving access to and accommodation by Kentucky Court of Justice for hearing impaired lawyer).

Asher v. Unarco Material Handling, Inc., 6:06-CV-548-ART (Oct. 21, 2008) (successful mediation of dozens of claims involving personal injury claimed from warehouse exposure to carbon monoxide).

Merrill v. Arch Coal Co., 6:99-CV-492-GFVT (Oct. 24, 2006) (successful mediation of wrongful death case).

b. Describe:

i. the general character of your law practice and indicate by date when its character has changed over the years.

I had three distinct practice phases between 1992 (licensure) and 2006

(commencement of judgeship): a one year appellate clerkship with Judge Siler; 2.5 years as an associate at Stoll, Keenon & Park, LLP; and nearly 10 years of practice in my own firm. Each of those phases contributed significantly to my base of experience as an attorney.

The clerkship focused heavily on research and writing. Because Judge Siler had just been elevated to the Sixth Circuit, and because a replacement district judge had not yet been appointed, there remained a fair amount of district work as part of the clerkship. The majority of appellate work involved federal criminal appeals, with a high additional percentage of civil employment and ERISA cases.

At Stoll, Keenon, I worked as an associate in the commercial litigation group. I did typical associate functions: research, writing, discovery, and motion practice. In 2.5 years, I do not remember any actual trials occurring within commercial litigation at the firm, so I did not get actual trial experience. I did participate in every phase of pre-trial litigation in several federal and state court commercial (mineral, banking, equine) and employment disputes. I also had a lesser but still significant role in some commercial transactions.

I left Stoll, Keenon and started my own firm (with two law-school classmates) in January of 1996. We basically purchased the existing practice of an established attorney. The practice, at inception, primarily centered on employment law, though we added and expanded into commercial disputes, general civil practice, and business formation/advice. At the end of my practice, I had a general civil trial and appellate practice, with a number of business and institutional clients but also a significant number of individual clients.

In the ten years of practice at my firm, I personally tried 9 jury trials as lead counsel (5) or second chair (4). I also tried a bench trial (second chair). I individually conducted at least 5 full administrative trials, including administrative disciplinary hearings, zoning trials, and a state benefit eligibility hearing. I conducted innumerable state hearings as to unemployment benefits (both claimant and employer). I pursued or defended injunctive relief on several occasions. All told, as lead counsel, I litigated to conclusion at least 60 cases in state and federal court. This would include jury verdicts, appellate judgments, summary judgments, and settlements. I litigated over 15 complete appeals in either the state or federal system, 10 of which I individually handled.

ii. your typical clients and the areas at each period of your legal career, if any, in which you have specialized.

At Stoll, Keenon I worked for existing clients of the firm. These included

Mapco, Bank One, Keeneland Association, The Jockey Club, and Link Belt.

In my firm, I had a diverse, varied civil practice. Through the years, I sought and maintained a blend of individual and business clients. I represented many individuals on employment claims or in other types of disputes. I also had a significant business and commercial practice. At the conclusion of my practice, I was local counsel (on employment cases) for General Cable Corporation, GSI Commerce Solutions, Inc., and Green Tokai Co., Ltd. I also regularly represented Lexington companies W. Rogers Company and Nesbitt Engineering, Inc., and Kentucky manufacturer Gateway Manufacturing Company, Inc.

c. Describe the percentage of your practice that has been in litigation and whether you appeared in court frequently, occasionally, or not at all. If the frequency of your appearances in court varied, describe such variance, providing dates.

I frequently appeared in court because at all times I was actively involved in a variety of civil litigation types, all of which required court appearances.

i. Indicate the percentage of your practice in:

1.	federal courts:	20%
2.	state courts of record:	70%
3.	other courts:	0%
4.	administrative agencies:	10%

ii. Indicate the percentage of your practice in:

1.	civil proceedings:	100%
2.	criminal proceedings:	0%

d. State the number of cases in courts of record, including cases before administrative law judges, you tried to verdict, judgment or final decision (rather than settled), indicating whether you were sole counsel, chief counsel, or associate counsel.

Nine total jury trials (5 first chair; 4 second chair). One bench trial. At least five full administrative trials (zoning, discipline, benefits). Fifteen completed appeals in the state or federal system. I would estimate that 30 other cases ended in some type of final adjudication.

i. What percentage of these trials were:

1. jury:	40%
2. non-jury:	60% (including administrative trials)

e. Describe your practice, if any, before the Supreme Court of the United States. Supply four (4) copies of any briefs, amicus or otherwise, and, if applicable, any

oral argument transcripts before the Supreme Court in connection with your practice.

I have never appeared before the Supreme Court of the United States.

- 17. <u>Litigation</u>: Describe the ten (10) most significant litigated matters which you personally handled, whether or not you were the attorney of record. Give the citations, if the cases were reported, and the docket number and date if unreported. Give a capsule summary of the substance of each case. Identify the party or parties whom you represented; describe in detail the nature of your participation in the litigation and the final disposition of the case. Also state as to each case:
 - a. the date of representation;
 - b. the name of the court and the name of the judge or judges before whom the case was litigated; and
 - c. the individual name, addresses, and telephone numbers of co-counsel and of principal counsel for each of the other parties.
 - 1. Rogers v. Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government, 175 S.W.3d 569 (Ky. 2006).

I represented individual taxpayers challenging the attempt by the LFUCG to conduct a 2005 referendum addressing condemnation of Kentucky-American Water Company. I fully participated in the state injunction hearing, the Court of Appeals briefing, and the Supreme Court briefing. Ultimately, the Supreme Court blocked the attempted election from proceeding in 2005. The taxpayer's standing, as presented by my clients, was the basis for irreparable harm that led to the injunction. The injunction was heard by Fayette Circuit Court Judge Thomas Clark. The intermediary appeal was heard by Kentucky Court of Appeals Judges William E. McAnulty, Paul W. Rosenblum, and Wilfrid Schroder. The Kentucky Supreme Court decision was written by Chief Justice Joseph E. Lambert.

Counsel for Defendant:

Hon. Mary Ann Delaney Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government 200 East Main Street, 11th Floor Lexington, KY 40507-1310 859-258-3500

Hon. David Jeffrey Barberie Lexington-Fayette Urban County Government 200 East Main Street Lexington, KY 40507-1310 859-258-3500

Hon. William R. Garmer Garmer & Prather PLLC 141 North Broadway Lexington, KY 40507 859-254-9351

Jane E. Graham Henry Watz Raine & Marino PLLC 401 West Main Street, Suite 314 Lexington, KY 40507 859-253-1320

Hon. Edwin Foster Ockerman, Jr. 835 Glendover Road Lexington, KY 40502 859-268-8102

Counsel for Co-Plaintiff Kentucky American Water Co.: Hon. William M. Lear, Jr. Stoll Keenon Ogden PLLC 300 West Vine Street, Suite 2100 Lexington, KY 40507-1801 859-231-3011

2. Oakley v. Flor-Shin, Inc., 964 S.W.2d 438 (Ky. App. 1998).

I represented Plaintiff in what became the first case to recognize a cause of action for negligent hiring in Kentucky. Defendant had knowingly permitted an employee with a history of violent, sexual assault to be assigned to work a night shift sequestered alone with a young female employee. The employee sexually, and feloniously, assaulted the female, whom I represented. Ultimately, following remand, a jury returned a substantial verdict for Plaintiff, including a punitive damage award. The case was heard by Woodford Circuit Court Judge Robert Overstreet. The appeal was heard by Kentucky Court of Appeals Judges Daniel T. Guidugli, Rick A. Johnson, and Wilfrid Albert Schroder.

Counsel for Defendant:

Hon. Ronald L. Green Green Chesnut & Hughes PLLC 201 East Main Street, Suite 1250 Lexington, KY 40507 859-475-1471 Counsel for Plaintiff: Hon. John C. Roach Ransdell Roach & Royse PLLC 176 Pasadena Drive, Building 1

Lexington, KY 40503

859-276-6262

3. Gateway Manufacturing, Inc. v. Mt. Sterling Board of Adjustment, No. 2000-CA-1832-MR (Ky. Ct. App. Nov. 9, 2001).

I was counsel for a light manufacturer in Mt. Sterling, Kentucky, that sought permission to modify its plant to install equipment regarding a particular product line. The local zoning board denied the request (after multiple hearings). I appealed to the Circuit Court and then to the Kentucky Court of Appeals, ultimately prevailing. The challenge to the zoning board's denial was heard by Montgomery Circuit Court Judge William Mains. The appeal from the Circuit Court was heard by Kentucky Court of Appeals Judges Julia K. Tackett, Paul D. Gudgel, and R.W. Dyche.

Counsel for Mt. Sterling Board of Adjustment:

Hon. Dana Cox Nickles (formerly Berliner) 400 Conway Street Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-6207

Hon. Michael A. Nickles 414 Conway Street Frankfort, Kentucky 40601 502-564-2470

4. Buntin v. Breathitt County Board of Education, 134 F.3d 796 (6th Cir. 1998).

I represented a female school board employee paid less than her male predecessor for the same position, in violation of the Equal Pay Act. The appeal reversed the District Court's Rule 50 disposition. After remand, the case settled. The case was heard by United States District Judge Joseph M. Hood. The appeal was heard by United States Circuit Judges Gilbert S. Merritt, Karen Nelson Moore, and Myron H. Bright.

Counsel for Defendant:

Hon. Maryellen B. Mynear State of Kentucky, Office of the Attorney General 700 Capitol Avenue, Suite 118 Frankfort, KY 40601-3449 502-696-5389

Hon. Lawrence E. Forgy

L. Forgy & Associates, PLLC 83 C. Michael Davenport Frankfort, KY 40604 502-227-3155

Hon. David A. Skidmore, Jr. Frost Brown Todd LLC 301 East Fourth Street, Suite 3300 Cincinnati, OH 45202 513-651-6185

5. Dilco Development Co. v. Race Fork Coal Corp., 7:93-CV-193-JMH-PEP, filed: May 14, 1993.

I was the primary associate in this case, which involved defense of a coal company against a large group of claimants under an ancient land patent allegedly granting superior ownership to the claimants and a right to damages for decades of mining activity. The case ultimately ended in a settlement. My roles included significant pre-trial discovery management, *Daubert* motion drafting, and summary judgment briefing. The case was heard and decided by United States District Judge Joseph M. Hood.

Counsel for Plaintiff:

Hon. John H. Burrus Retired – formerly with Landrum & Shouse, LLP 154 Flyway Drive Kiawah Island, SC 29455

Counsel for Defendant:

Hon. Charles E. Shivel, Jr.
Retired – formerly with Stoll Keenan Ogden PLLC
PO Box 1197
Big Timber, MT 59011

Hon. Diane M. Carlton 550 Arcadia Park Lexington, KY 40503 859-494-0280

Hon. Kendall S. Barrett Alliance Coal LLC 1146 Monarch Street Lexington, KY 40513 859-224-7230

6. Humco, Inc. v. Noble, 31 S.W.3d 916 (Ky. 2000).

I co-wrote an amicus brief, on behalf of the Kentucky Academy of Trial Attorneys, seeking clarification of professional rules regarding contact of former employees of a defendant/employer. The Kentucky Supreme Court agreed with the position of the brief. The Kentucky Supreme Court opinion was written by Justice Joseph E. Lambert.

Amicus Co-Counsel:

Hon. John C. Roach Ransdell Roach & Royse PLLC 176 Pasadena Drive, Building 1 Lexington, KY 40503 859-276-6262

Counsel for Humco, Inc.:

Hon. Jeffrey J. Kuebler 100 Woodburn Hall Drive Versailles, KY 40383 859-454-2934

Counsel for Mary Coleman (real party-in-interest):

Hon. Albert F. Grasch, Jr.
Rose Grasch Camenisch Mains PLLC
326 South Broadway
Lexington, KY 40508
859-721-2100

Hon. Theodore E. Cowen 791 Elizabeth Drive Florence, KY 41042-9643 859-552-9089

7. Morrow v. Brown, Todd & Heyburn, 957 S.W.2d 722 (Ky. 1997).

I co-wrote a brief seeking clarification of the work-product doctrine, particularly application of the at-issue exception, under Kentucky law. The Court agreed with the position of the brief. The Kentucky Supreme Court opinion was written by Justice John W. Graves.

Opposing Counsel:

Hon. Glen S. Bagby Dinsmore & Shohl LLP 250 West Main Street, Suite 1400 Lexington, KY 40507 859-244-7105 Hon. J. Robert Lyons, Jr. Dinsmore & Shohl LLP 250 West Main Street, Suite 1400 Lexington, KY 40507 859-244-7109

Co-Counsel:

Hon. Timothy Neil Philpot Fayette Family Court Judge 120 North Limestone Lexington, KY 40507 859-246-2703

Hon. John C. Roach Ransdell Roach & Royse PLLC 176 Pasadena Drive, Building 1 Lexington, KY 40503 859-276-6262

8. Oaks v. 3M Company, 453 F.3d 781 (6th Cir. 2006).

I represented a 3M employee on a breach of contract and statutory wage claim against the employer. The case involved successful appeal of the district court's adverse summary judgment. The matter settled after appeal. The Sixth Circuit decision was written by Judge Myron H. Bright.

Counsel for Defendant:

Hon. Debra H. Dawahare Wyatt Tarrant & Combs LLP 250 West Main Street, Suite 1600 Lexington, KY 40507 859-288-7617

Hon. George J. Miller Wyatt Tarrant & Combs LLP 250 West Main Street, Suite 1600 Lexington, KY 40507 859-288-7640

9. Vest v. Corrections Corporation of America, No. 7:04-CV-311-DLB, 2005 WL 3542537 (E.D. Ky. Dec. 23, 2005).

I represented a prison guard badly injured in an automobile accident on the way home from work. The case concerned whether the Kentucky worker's comp bar applied to the injury and, if not, whether the employer was liable.

The case resolved by agreement pre-trial. Case heard and decided by United States District Judge David L. Bunning.

Counsel for Defendant:

Hon. G. Edward Henry, II Henry Watz Raine & Marino, PLLC 401 West Main Street, Suite 314 Lexington, KY 40507 859-253-1320

Hon. Kara Read Marino Henry Watz Raine & Marino, PLLC 401 West Main Street, Suite 314 Lexington, KY 40507 859-253-1320

Hon. Jane E. Graham Henry Watz Raine & Marino, PLLC 401 West Main Street, Suite 314 Lexington, KY 40507 859-253-1320

Counsel for Plaintiff:

Hon. W. Keith Ransdell Ransdell Roach & Royse PLLC 176 Pasadena Drive, Building 1 Lexington, KY 40503 859-276-6262

10. Bennett v. Caise, 5:99-CV-426-HRW (opinion granting summary judgment dated April 2, 2001).

I represented an individual defendant accused of Fair Housing Act violations. The District Court granted a motion to dismiss, and the matter ended with voluntary dismissal of the appeal. Case heard and decided by United States District Judge Henry R. Wilhoit, Jr.

Counsel for Plaintiff:

Hon. Kevin James Kijewski United States Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue Northwest Washington, DC 20530 202-307-0663

Hon. Teresa Isaac

1750 Bryan Station Road Lexington, KY 40505 859-245-5933

Counsel for Defendant: Hon. Ronald L. Green Green Chesnut & Hughes PLLC 201 East Main Street, Suite 1250 Lexington, KY 40507 859-475-1471

18. <u>Legal Activities</u>: Describe the most significant legal activities you have pursued, including significant litigation which did not progress to trial or legal matters that did not involve litigation. Describe fully the nature of your participation in these activities. List any client(s) or organization(s) for whom you performed lobbying activities and describe the lobbying activities you performed on behalf of such client(s) or organizations(s). (Note: As to any facts requested in this question, please omit any information protected by the attorney-client privilege.)

In addition to the matters described above, I have been actively involved in general court administration and governance roles as a United States Magistrate Judge. For example, I was a principal drafter on the revised Criminal Justice Act Plan for the District (2007) and have been the Magistrate Judge member of the CJA Committee since its inception (2007). I have selected and managed court administration of the Central Division grand jury since 2010. I also drafted the District model for Magistrate Judge processing of felony guilty pleas, drafted the District model for Magistrate Judge processing of Rule 32.1 supervised release hearings, initiated District use of the federal e-Tip system for remote interpreting services, and drafted the Court's current electronic device policy for the District's courthouses.

I have never acted or registered as a lobbyist.

19. <u>Teaching</u>: What courses have you taught? For each course, state the title, the institution at which you taught the course, the years in which you taught the course, and describe briefly the subject matter of the course and the major topics taught. If you have a syllabus of each course, provide four (4) copies to the committee.

I have not taught a designated course.

20. <u>Deferred Income/ Future Benefits</u>: List the sources, amounts and dates of all anticipated receipts from deferred income arrangements, stock, options, uncompleted contracts and other future benefits which you expect to derive from previous business relationships, professional services, firm memberships, former employers, clients or customers. Describe the arrangements you have made to be compensated in the future for any financial or business interest.

I have no deferred income or future benefits.

21. <u>Outside Commitments During Court Service</u>: Do you have any plans, commitments, or agreements to pursue outside employment, with or without compensation, during your service with the court? If so, explain.

I have no commitments or agreements to do so, and no other plans for outside activities.

22. <u>Sources of Income</u>: List sources and amounts of all income received during the calendar year preceding your nomination and for the current calendar year, including all salaries, fees, dividends, interest, gifts, rents, royalties, licensing fees, honoraria, and other items exceeding \$500 or more (if you prefer to do so, copies of the financial disclosure report, required by the Ethics in Government Act of 1978, may be substituted here).

See attached Financial Disclosure Report.

23. <u>Statement of Net Worth</u>: Please complete the attached financial net worth statement in detail (add schedules as called for).

See attached Net Worth Statement.

24. Potential Conflicts of Interest:

- a. Identify the family members or other persons, parties, categories of litigation, and financial arrangements that are likely to present potential conflicts-of-interest when you first assume the position to which you have been nominated. Explain how you would address any such conflict if it were to arise.
 - If confirmed, I will recuse in any pertinent litigation in which I have ever played a disqualifying role. As a United States Magistrate Judge, I have recused from cases litigated by my former law firm. I anticipate likely continuing to do so. Lastly, I will evaluate any other real or potential conflict, or relationship that could give rise to an appearance of conflict, on a case-by-case basis and determine appropriate action, including recusal where necessary, in each case.
- b. Explain how you will resolve any potential conflict of interest, including the procedure you will follow in determining these areas of concern.
 - If confirmed, I will carefully review and address any real or potential conflicts by reference to 28 U.S.C. § 455, Canon 3 of the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, and any and all other laws, rules, and practices governing such circumstances.
- 25. <u>Pro Bono Work</u>: An ethical consideration under Canon 2 of the American Bar Association's Code of Professional Responsibility calls for "every lawyer, regardless of professional prominence or professional workload, to find some time to participate in

serving the disadvantaged." Describe what you have done to fulfill these responsibilities, listing specific instances and the amount of time devoted to each.

In practice, I frequently donated legal services to persons unable to pay. This often included persons encountered at church or through my children's school. I occasionally received pro bono appointments from the Courts in Fayette County. Additionally, on several business matters, I voluntarily represented the University of Kentucky Wesley Foundation, a non-profit student organization operated by the United Methodist Church.

As a judge, I have tried to say yes when asked to volunteer as a speaker, teacher, or otherwise to participate in matters pertinent to justice or the judiciary.

In non-legal matters, I was a youth soccer and basketball coach for over 10 years, led a men's Bible study group for many years, and have been a volunteer children's tutor at a Lexington literacy center. I also served as a volunteer judge to elementary school academic team meets over several years.

26. Selection Process:

a. Please describe your experience in the entire judicial selection process, from beginning to end (including the circumstances which led to your nomination and the interviews in which you participated). Is there a selection commission in your jurisdiction to recommend candidates for nomination to the federal courts? If so, please include that process in your description, as well as whether the commission recommended your nomination. List the dates of all interviews or communications you had with the White House staff or the Justice Department regarding this nomination. Do not include any contacts with Federal Bureau of Investigation personnel concerning your nomination.

At various points over the years, I have expressed my interest in a district judgeship to the offices of Senator McConnell, then-Senator Bunning, and later Senator Paul. This occurred first in late 2006 (for a position ultimately filled in 2007) and then in 2013 and late 2016, for open positions. I have had interviews or contact with staff for the Senators at various times through the years.

On December 21, 2016, I interviewed in Louisville with Senator McConnell's Counsel and State Director. Then, on January 14, 2017, again in Louisville, I interviewed with Senator McConnell. I later met with Senator Paul's State Director on January 27, 2017. Since February 17, 2017, I have been in contact with officials from the White House Counsel's Office. On February 23, 2017, I interviewed with attorneys from the White House Counsel's Office and the Office of Legal Policy at the Department of Justice in Washington, DC. On August 3, 2017, the President submitted my nomination to the Senate.

b. Has anyone involved in the process of selecting you as a judicial nominee discussed with you any currently pending or specific case, legal issue or question

in a manner that could reasonably be interpreted as seeking any express or implied assurances concerning your position on such case, issue, or question? If so, explain fully.

No.