

**Questions for the Record**  
**Chairman Charles E. Grassley of Iowa**  
**United States Senate Committee on the Judiciary**  
**The Truth Revealed: Hidden Facts Regarding Nazis and Swiss Banks**  
**February 3, 2026**

**Questions Directed to Rabbi Abraham Cooper**  
**Associate Dean & Global Social Action Director**  
**Simon Wiesenthal Center**

**Supplemental Responses as of April 17, 2026**

---

Pursuant to the request of the Committee dated April 13, 2026, I am updating my February 3, 2026, responses to Chairman Grassley’s Questions for the Record in furtherance of the Committee’s continuing investigation.

- 1. Will the Simon Wiesenthal Center commit to continuing the provision of assistance and cooperation to Congress in current or future matters involving UBS or the Swiss banking system?**

*Answer:* The Simon Wiesenthal Center (“SWC”) unequivocally affirms its commitment to continue providing full assistance and cooperation to the United States Senate Judiciary Committee, as well as to other duly authorized congressional and other authorities, in connection with current and future matters involving UBS and the broader Swiss banking system. Consistent with its longstanding mission to pursue truth, accountability, and justice relating to the Holocaust and its financial underpinnings, SWC will continue to share relevant information, facilitate access to historical records, and support investigative efforts to the fullest extent possible. This includes cooperation with inquiries into Nazi-linked assets, concealment of such assets, and any related issues of obstruction, concealment, or misrepresentation. SWC’s cooperation will, as always, be conducted in accordance with applicable law, the protection of sensitive information, and the integrity of ongoing investigative processes. Subject to those considerations, SWC stands ready to assist Congress in ensuring full transparency and accountability in these matters.

- 2. Now that Judge Korman has issued his ruling, explain to the Committee how it will impact, if at all, your interactions with the Committee.**

*Answer:* Judge Edward R. Korman’s April 7 ruling denied UBS’s motion seeking an order stating that Nazi-linked assets were within the scope of the 1999 Settlement. The Court held that UBS sought an impermissible advisory opinion because there was no live case or controversy before it, and therefore the Court lacked jurisdiction to interpret the settlement. Significantly, Judge Korman stated during the March 10, 2026, hearing on UBS’s motion that his “recollection is that that issue of Nazi assets never came up in the discussions about the settlement agreement.”

Judge Korman's decision to deny UBS's proposed order does not limit or otherwise alter SWC's ability or willingness to continue its full cooperation with the Committee. The Court's decision reinforces that the issues presently under review by the Committee, including matters relating to Nazi-linked assets, remain appropriate subjects for independent congressional inquiry and oversight.

Accordingly, SWC will continue to provide assistance, information, and subject-matter expertise to the Committee and any other component of the United States government to the fullest extent possible. The ruling does not impose any new restrictions on SWC's engagement with Congress, nor does it diminish the importance of continued investigation into the historical and ongoing conduct of UBS and the broader Swiss banking system. If anything, the Court's decision underscores the continuing need for transparency and accountability—objectives that are directly aligned with the Committee's work and SWC's mission. SWC therefore remains fully prepared to support the Committee's efforts in any current or future matters.

**3. Did the Simon Wiesenthal Center initiate, file, or otherwise play a role in commencing the current proceedings in the Eastern District of New York before Judge Edward R. Korman?**

*Answer:* No. SWC did not initiate, file, or otherwise commence the proceeding before Judge Korman, which now is closed pursuant to the Court's April 7, 2026 Order. The proceeding was initiated by Credit Suisse and later pursued by UBS following its acquisition of Credit Suisse, through requests for judicial intervention relating to the interpretation and scope of the 1999 Settlement. The Court itself characterized the proceedings as arising from submissions made by the bank, not from any filing or motion by SWC. SWC's role has been limited to responding to the bank's filings and participating in Court-directed processes, including mediation, which has been terminated by the Court. At no point did SWC seek to invoke the Court's jurisdiction or initiate the Judge Korman proceeding, nor has SWC filed a lawsuit against UBS or Credit Suisse in the past.

**4. Is the Simon Wiesenthal Center presently a plaintiff or otherwise a party in any litigation in the United States against Credit Suisse or UBS? If so, please identify the court, case name, and docket number.**

*Answer:* No. SWC is not presently a plaintiff or otherwise a party in any litigation in the United States against Credit Suisse or UBS. Accordingly, there is no court, case name, or docket number to identify in response to this question.

**5. UBS has withheld from Independent Ombudsperson Neil Barofsky documents he has deemed necessary for his review citing a threat of litigation by the Simon Wiesenthal Center. Has the Simon Wiesenthal Center received from Mr. Barofsky any documents from the Credit Suisse archives to date? At this time, is there any threat of litigation from you or your organization?**

*Answer:* No. SWC has never received from Neil Barofsky any documents from the Credit Suisse archives. SWC's understanding is that Mr. Barofsky's review has been conducted

independently, and SWC has not been provided with underlying archival materials from Credit Suisse as part of that process. At the same time, SWC has cooperated with Mr. Barofsky consistent with its subject matter expertise, including by sharing historical knowledge, providing context regarding Nazi-linked assets and related banking practices, and responding to inquiries as requested, among other aspects. In further support of these efforts, SWC also has facilitated access to relevant archival materials in Argentina to provide a more comprehensive evidentiary record for review. SWC's role has been to assist in informing the review through its expertise and facilitation of access to historical sources, rather than to receive or possess primary source materials from the Credit Suisse archives. There is no present threat of litigation from SWC.

**THE REMAINDER OF THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK**