United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

February 25, 2016

VIA ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

Glenn A. Fine Acting Inspector General U.S. Department of Defense 2800 Mark Center Drive Alexandria, VA 22350

Dear Acting Inspector General Fine:

As you are aware, federal law prohibits reprisal against contractor employees for disclosures that the employee believes constitute "[g]ross mismanagement of a Department of Defense contract or grant, a gross waste of Department funds, an abuse of authority relating to a Department contract or grant, or a violation of law, rule, or regulation related to a Department contract ... or grant." 10 U.S.C. § 2409. We are concerned that the Department of Defense Office of Inspector General (DoD OIG) may be dismissing contractor employee whistleblower complaints on the basis of a legal analysis that is inconsistent with this statutory language.

For example, the attached investigative report appears to outline substantiated findings regarding significant mismanagement and potential abuse of authority related to a contract. According to information obtained by our offices, the contractor employee who reported these issues to an Inspector General was terminated. The DoD OIG subsequently dismissed the employee's reprisal claims because the employee did not report "a violation of a law, rule, or regulation related to the contract." However, as noted above, the statute expressly contemplates disclosures not only of violations of law, rule, or regulation, but also mismanagement, abuse of authority, and waste. It is troubling that the DoD OIG would dismiss a reprisal complaint without reviewing the allegations according to the standard outlined in law.

Accordingly, please provide written responses to the following questions by March 9, 2016. Please number the answers according to their corresponding questions.

- 1. In the attached case, did the DoD OIG review the underlying complaint for an allegation of gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, or an abuse of authority relating to the contract? If not, why not?
- Does the DoD OIG have any policy regarding the analysis required in evaluating contractor employee reprisal complaints pursuant to 10 U.S.C. § 2409? Please provide a copy.
- 3. How many contractor employee reprisal claims, submitted pursuant to 10 U.S.C. § 2409, alleging gross waste, gross mismanagement, or abuse of authority, has the DoD OIG fully investigated within the last 5 years? How many has the DoD OIG dismissed without investigation?

We appreciate your continued cooperation and assistance in this matter. If you have any

Acting Inspector General Fine February 25, 2016 Page 2 of 2

a Cashill

questions, please contact Charlie Moskowitz of Senator McCaskill's staff at 202-224-6154, or DeLisa Lay of Senator Grassley's staff at (202) 224-5225.

Sincerely,

asky Charles E. Grassley

U.S. Senator

Claire McCaskill U.S. Senator

2



MISSOURI NATIONAL GUARD CHIEF OF THE JOINT STAFF IKE SKELTON TRAINING SITE 2302 MILITIA DRIVE JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65101-1203

NGMO-CJS-A

7 February 2014

MEMORANDUM FOR Lieutenant Colonel

SUBJECT: Appointment as Inquiry Officer

1. You are appointed as an inquiry officer to conduct an investigation into concerns about the work environment with contract employees and the Public Affairs Office (PAO). At a minimum, investigate the following allegations:

a. Determine if contract employees associated with the PAO's office are working within their contract and if the Missouri National Guard Contracting Officer has been apprised of any issues with the contacted employees? Have the employees been afforded opportunities to use their system of redress.

b. Does the PAO office create a healthy work environment (i.e. are people treated with dignity and respect).

2. Contact the Inspector General Office, Missouri National Guard, prior to beginning your investigation to exchange relevant information and discuss/clarify the concerns.

3. If needed, sworn statements will be taken from all witnesses on DA Form 2823. Your authority to administer oaths is 40.425.2(4) RSMo.

4. Submit your findings and recommendations to me no later than 11 April 2014.

1 April 2014

MEMORANDUM FOR The Skelton Training Site, 2302 Militia Drive, Jefferson City, MO 65101-1203

IKE

SUBJECT: Findings and Recommendations for

1. Reference and appointment order the following findings and recommendations are forwarded for consideration.

2. In regards to the first topic of inquiry, - " Determine if Contract employees associated with the PAO's office are working within their contract and if the Missouri National Guard Contracting Office has been apprised of any issues with the contracted employees? Have the employees been afforded opportunities to use their system of redress."

a. Answering the first half - Determine if Contract employees (CE) associated with the PAO's office are working within their contract and if the Missouri National Guard Contracting Office has been apprise of any issues with the contracted employees, yes with the evidence found, the CE's were working within the scope of the contract, but the contract employees were not properly equipped by the Public Affairs Office to complete the mission as assigned.

(1) Proper video equipment was not available for the CE's up starting and they had continual problems with equipment, having only one functioning video editing laptop.

(2) Under supervision the CE's did receive some additional equipment and were able to produce a 30 minute video.

b. As to the second half of the questions, "have the employees been afforded opportunities to use their system of redress." The contract employee (CE), and and and and and were not afforded proper redress as outlined by the contract W912JB-13-D-4002.

(1) According to the contract, the Contracting Officer Representative (COR), was required to complete a Quality Assurance Worksheet by the end of each month from the start date the employees, reference Exhibit 1 Contract, page 17 of 27, paragraph 5. As of the termination date of the **Section** and **Section** no Quality Assurance Worksheets (QAW) had been completed. One was submitted on 6 February 2014 (exhibit 2 QAW) days after the CE's were terminated. Also, as per the

contract, if the employee performance dropped below "green" to a "yellow" or "red" rating the employee is to be counseled on their performance and is to initial the QAW acknowledging the lack in acceptable performance. The 6 February 2014 QAW has no initials.

(2) The only performance issue that was ever raised during the CE's employment with the PAO was against and this resolved itself under received a written warning from the contract firm supervision. which the CE worked for. and initiated the complaint to based on concerns about performance. The warning was titled "Final Warning" this information was forward to on 18 Nov 13 a few day within being moved under control of received the notice from final warning and was ask to respond on his on the situation with current performance under his supervision. At that time needed more time to evaluate, which he did and responded back a week later that was "on track and we have no issues" (exhibit 3, Back on Track).

(3) **Sector and inquired with sector about rumors of his lacking performance working with the National Guard. He was informed by sector on 30 January 2013 (exhibit 4, sector)**" that there would be reports to his performance if there were any concerns." As of 30 January, there were no reports or QAWs from the National Guard about poor performance concerns about **Sector** was informed by **Sector** on 31 January he was being terminated by the **Sector** on request of the National Guard.

(4) The COR, **Construct** knew the requirements stating he read the contract and that the COR was responsible for the QAW. See Statement from **Construct** dated 8 April, paragraph 2. For another example showing knowledge of the QAW requirement see Exhibit 5 and 6 outline conversation with **Construct** and **Construct** about requirement of QAW (exhibit 5, Requirement of QAW) and that only one QAW was completed (exhibit 6, QAW (2)).

(5) The USPFO Contracting Office was not copied on the QAW nor kept in the loop on the problems with the CE's until the PAO was working to terminate the **E** employee.

4. My findings and recommendations regarding if there was a healthy work environment are as follows.

a. As referenced in ADP 6-22, 1 August 2012 states, "Toxic leadership is a combination of self-centered attitudes, motivations, and behaviors that have adverse effects on subordinates, the organization, and mission performance." Further stating, "The negative leader completes short-term requirements by operating at the bottom of the continuum of commitment, where followers respond to the positional power of their leader to fulfill requests. This may achieve results in the short term, but ignores the other leader competency categories of *leads* and *develops*. Prolonged use of negative leadership to influence followers undermines the followers' will, initiative, and potential and destroys unit morale."

(1) Several negative attributes have been alleged against and as reflected in statements from a several and I believe that demeanor, quick to "blow up or get excited," or "my way or the highway" approach, does not allows for a healthy, productive work environment in an office that requires creativity and latitude to completed timely accurate reports.

(2) As noted in the first half of the inquiry, the improper handling of CE's as the supervisor, directing the removal of the contract employees was negligent and a direct reflection of the work environment and failure of the leadership of both and a direct and a direct supervisor.

(3) I have gleaned from interviews, even though that acted poorly on several occasion and responded with poor judgment, she has always attempted to do the best she knows how.

Released by Missouri Army National Guard To Senate Judiciary Committee 5 of 17

15 Encls.

- Exhibit 1 Contract
- 2. Exhibit 2 QAW (1)
- 3. Exhibit 3 Back on Track
- 4. Exhibit 4,
- 5. Exhibit 5, Requirement of QAW
- 6. DA Form 2823,
- DA Form 2823,
- DA Form 2823,
- 9. DA Form 2823,
- 10. DA Form 2823,
- 11. DA Form 2823,
- 12. DA Form 2823,
- 13. DA Form 2823,
- 14. DA Form 2823,
- 15. DA Form 2823,
- 16. DA Form 2823,

LTC, MP Inquiry Officer

Back on Track

- 4. Exhibit 4,
- 5. Exhibit 5, Requirement of QAW
- 6. DA Form 2823,
- 7. DA Form 2823,
- 8. DA Form 2823,
- 9. DA Form 2823,
- 10. DA Form 2823,
- 11. DA Form 2823,
- 12. DA Form 2823,
- 13. DA Form 2823,
- 14. DA Form 2823,
- 15. DA Form 2823,

CONTRACT EXHIBIT 1

	ORDER FOR S							•
.CONTRACT/FURCH.ORDER/ AGREEMENT NO. W912JB-13-D-4002	2. DELIVERY ORDER/CA 9H03	LLNO.	3. DATE OF ORDI <i>(YYYYMMMDD)</i> 2013 Aug 05			TNO.	5.PR	ORITY :
ISSUED BY USPFO FOR MISSOURI NTN: KE SKELTON NG TNG SITE 1011 MILITARY CIRCLE IEFFERSON CITY MO 65101-1200	CODE W912NS		MINISTERED I		m δ) CODE			LIVERY FOB DESTINATIO OTHER e Schedule if othe
CONTRACTOR AME ND DDRESS	CODE		FACILIT Y	s	0. DELIVER TO FOE (TYTYMMDD) EE SCHEDULE DISCOUNT TERM 31 30 Days			ARK IF BUSINESS SMALL SMALL DISADVANTAG WOMEN-OWNE
		•		1-1	3. MAIL INVOIC See Item 15	езтотн	IE ADDRESS	IN BLOCK
4. SHIP TO OFFICE OF THE ADJUTANT GENE PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICE 1302 MILITIA DRIVE IEFFERSON CITY MO 65101-1203	CODE W90T91	DFAS- 8899 E	YMENT WILL INDY VP GFEBS 56TH ST NAPOLIS IN 4622	HQ0670	Y CODE HQUE		PA PA IDI N	MARK ALL CKAGES AND APERS WITH ENTIFICATION UMBERS IN OCKS 1 AND 2.
16. DELIVERY/ X This of CALL	delivery order/call is issued on anot	ther Gover	nment agency or in	accordance with a	nd subject to terms a:	d condition:	s ofabove numbe	ered contract.
OF PURCHASE Refer	ence your quote dated ish the following on terms specified	hersin'. RE					-	
	CEPTANCE, THE CONTRAC DER AS IT MAY PREVIOUS					D BY THE ALL OF	E NUMBERE THE TERMS	D PURCHASE S
ANE NAME OF CONTRACTOR If this box is marked, supplie	O CONDITIONS SET FORTH SIG	H, AND A GNATUR eturn the	AGREESTO PE	RFORM THE				DATE SIGNE
ANE NAME OF CONTRACTOR If this box is marked, supplie	O CONDITIONS SET FORTH SIG	H, AND A GNATUR eturn the	AGREESTO PE	RFORM THE	SAME.			DATE SIGNE
ANE NAME OF CONTRACTOR If this box is marked, supplie 7. ACCOUNTING AND APPRO See Schedule	O CONDITIONS SET FORTH SIG	I, AND A GNATUR eturn the USE	AGREESTOPE	RFORM THE	TYPED NAMI	AND TH	TLE	DATE SIGNE (TTYYMMMDD
ANE NAME OF CONTRACTOR If this box is marked, supplie ACCOUNTING AND APPRO See Schedule 8. ITEM NO. 19 (guantity accepted by the Governmen.	SEE SCHEDULE SEE SCHEDULE tis same as TEL:	H, AND A GNATUR eturn the USE V SERVIC	AGREESTOPE	RFORM THE	TYPED NAMI	22. UNIT	TLE TPRICE 25. TOTAL	DATE SIGNE (TTYYMMMDD
ANE NAME OF CONTRACTOR If this box is marked, supplie ACCOUNTING AND APPRO See Schedule 8. ITEM NO. 19 G quantity accepted by the Governmen antity ordered, indicate by X. If differ antity accepted below quantity ordered	SEE SCHEDULE SCHEDULE OF SUPPLIES SEE SCHEDULE t is same as ent, enter actual d and encircle. SCONDITIONS SET FORTH SIG SEE SCHEDUL 24. UNITED STA TEL: EMAIL: BY:	H, AND A GNATUR eturn the USE V SERVIC	AGREESTOPE	20. QUANTI ORDERE ACCEPT	TYPED NAMI	22. UNIT	TLE T PRICE	DATE SIGNE (<i>YTYTMMMDD</i> 23. AM OUNT \$211,558.88
ANE NAME OF CONTRACTOR If this box is marked, supplie ACCOUNTING AND APPRO See Schedule 8. ITEM NO. 19 G quantity accepted by the Governmen antity ordered, indicate by X. If differ antity accepted below quantity ordered	SEE SCHEDULE SIG T must sign Acceptance and re- PRIATION DAT A/ LOCAL SCHEDULE OF SUPPLIES SEE SCHEDUL (15 same as ent, enter actual d and entircle. 0 HAS BEEN	H, AND A GNATUR eturn the USE V SERVIC V SERVIC E ATES OF 2 CONFORI	AGREESTOPE E following numb CES AMERICA	20. QUANTI ORDERE ACCEPT	TYPED NAMI TYPED NAMI D/ ED*	22. UNIT	TLE F PRICE 25. TOTAL 26.	DATE SIGNE (<i>YTYTMMMDD</i> 23. AM OUNT \$211,558.88
ANE NAME OF CONTRACTOR If this box is marked, supplie ACCOUNTING AND APPRO See Schedule R. ITEM NO. 19 Gquantity accepted by the Government antity ordered, indicate by X. If differ antity accepted below quantity ordered a. QUANTITY IN COLUMN 2 INSPECTED RECEIVED	D CONDITIONS SET FORTH SIG T must sign Acceptance and to PRIATION DAT A/LOCAL SCHEDULE OF SUPPLIES SEE SCHEDUL SCHEDULE OF SUPPLIES 24. UNITED SPI TEL: 24. UNITED SPI TEL: 24. UNITED SPI TEL: 3 and encircle. BY: 0 HAS BEEN D ACCEPTED, AND C CONTRACT EXCEP	H, AND A FNATUR eturn the USE V SERVIC	AGREESTOPE E following numb CES AMERICA MISTOTHE OTED	20. QUANTI ORDERE ACCEPT	TYPED NAMI TYPED NAMI D/ ED* 3 / ORDZRING OFF: 4. PRINTED	22. UNIT	TLE F PRICE 25. TOTAL 26. DIFFERENCES	DATE SIGNE (YTYYMMMDD 23. AMOUNT \$211,558.88 FAUTHORIZEI
ANE NAME OF CONTRACTOR If this box is marked, supplie ACCOUNTING AND APPRO See Schedule R. ITEM NO. 19 Guantity accepted by the Government antity ordered, indicate by X. If differ antity accepted below quantity ordered antity accepted below quantity ordered antity accepted below quantity ordered SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZE	SEE SCHEDULE standed as sent, enter actual d and encircle. ACCEPTED, AND C CONTRACT EXCEP ED GOVERNMENT REPRES	H, AND A FNATUR eturn the USE V SERVIC V SERVIC V SERVIC SERVIC SERVIC SERVIC SERVIC	AGREESTOPE E following numb CES AMERICA MSTOTHE DTED IVE c.	CONTRACTIN	TYPED NAMI TYPED NAMI D/ ED* 3 / ORDZRING OFF: 4. PRINTED	22. UNIT	TLE F PRICE 25. TOTAL 26. DIFFERENCES ND TITLE O ESENTATIV	DATE SIGNE (YTYYMMMDD 23. AMOUNT \$211,559.88 FAUTHORIZEI
ANE NAME OF CONTRACTOR If this box is marked, supplie ACCOUNTING AND APPRO See Schedule I. ITEM NO. I9 (quantity accepted by the Governmen antity ordered, indicate by X. If differ antity accepted below quantity ordere a. QUANTITY IN COLUMN 2 INSPECTED RECEIVED SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZE MAILING ADDRESS OF AUT	SEE SCHEDULE standed as sent, enter actual d and encircle. ACCEPTED, AND C CONTRACT EXCEP ED GOVERNMENT REPRES	H, AND A FNATUR eturn the USE V SERVIC V SERVIC V SERVIC SERVIC SERVIC SERVIC SERVIC	AGREESTOPE E following numb CES AMERICA MSTOTHE DTED IVE c.	RFORM THE	TYPED NAMI TYPED NAMI D/ D/ 21. UNIT ED* 3 / ORDERING OFF: d. PRINTED GOVERNME 29. DO VOUG	22. UNIT	TLE T P RICE 25. TOTAL 26. DIFFERENCES ND TITLE O ESENTATIV 30. INITIALS	DATE SIGNE (YTYYMMMDD 23. AMOUNT \$211,553.88 FAUTHORIZEI 5 T VERIFIED
ANE NAME OF CONTRACTOR NAME OF CONTRACTOR If this box is marked, supplie ACCOUNTING AND APPRO See Schedule 8. ITEM NO. 19 (guantity accepted by the Governmentianity ordered, indicate by X. If differentiatity accepted below quantity ordere 7a. QUANTITY IN COLUMN 2 INSPECTED SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZE MAILING ADDRESS OF AUT TELEPHONE NUMBER 5.1 certify this account is co	SEE SCHEDULE stand extended and extended an	H, AND A FNATUR eturn the USE V SERVIC V SERVIC V SERVIC ENTAT SONFOR T AS N(ENTAT) REPRESE	AGREESTOPE EE following numb CES AMERICA MSTOTHE DTED IVE C. ENTATIVE 2: 3	RFORM THE Der of copies: 20. QUANTI ORDERE ACCEPT CONTRACTIN CONTRACTIN DATE (TTTTMMMDD) 8. SHIP NO.	SAME. TYPED NAMI D/ ED* 21. UNIT ED* d. PRINTED GOVERNME 29. DO VOUG 32. PAID BY FE	22. UNIT	TLE T P RICE 25. TOTAL 26. DIFFERENCES ND TITLE O ESENTATIV 30. INITIALS 33: AMOUN CORRECT F 34. CHECK I	DATE SIGNE (ITTIMMMDD) 23. AMOUNT \$211,558.88 FAUTHORIZEI FAUTHORIZEI DOR

.