SENATOR MARSHA BLACKBURN (R-TN)

1. **During your testimony, in responding to my question about the total number of members of Congress whose records T-Mobile produced to Jack Smith, you stated that T-Mobile produced the records for one member of Congress to the Special Counsel's Office.**

    a. **Is that still your understanding?**
    b. **Did you conduct any subsequent searches after the February 10 Senate Judiciary Committee hearing to confirm how many members' records T-Mobile ultimately produced in the Arctic Frost matter?**

On October 23, 2025, T-Mobile provided a response to Chairman Grassley's October 11, 2025, letter and advised that T-Mobile searched, but was unable to identify, legal demands for records associated with Senate business accounts based on the list of nine Member names provided. T-Mobile also advised that it would be able to conduct more precise searches if the target telephone numbers were provided. In a follow-up conversation with Senate Judiciary staff, T-Mobile agreed to conduct additional research, and on December 9, 2025, staff provided a list of email addresses for 35 federal law enforcement agents. Using those email addresses, T-Mobile searched its closed case files and identified nine subpoenas that it believes may be related to Jack Smith's investigation. Those subpoenas were provided to the Senate Judiciary Committee on January 6, 2026.

Based on the search terms provided and subsequent research, T-Mobile identified one subpoena that sought records for an account associated with a Senator's personal family plan. The subpoena was facially valid, identified the target using only a telephone number, and did not include any identifying information that would alert T-Mobile as to the name or status of the subscriber.

SENATOR CHUCK GRASSLEY (R-IA)

1. **What changes have you implemented to detect when a state or federal government agency seeks information from a device connected to a Member of Congress? Describe in detail. If none, please explain.**

T-Mobile has implemented a system to identify law enforcement demands for records associated with Senate business lines identified by the Senate Sergeant at Arms (SAA). We also are working closely with the SAA to be able to identify law enforcement demands for records associated with campaign and personal lines linked to Senators and provided by them to the SAA.

T-Mobile has also begun outreach to relevant House officials regarding the potential development of a process similar to the SAA effort. In recent discussions, T-Mobile conveyed the importance of establishing a centralized system to help to identify Member-associated lines and to facilitate the provision of appropriate notice where legally permissible. We stand ready to work with the House, including the Chief Administrative Officer, to support any such efforts.

2. **When a state or federal government agency seeks information connected to a Member of Congress, what is your company's response? Will your company challenge the government's efforts to seek that information or produce the information as requested? Please explain in detail including changes made to previous company policy.**

We intend to provide notice for law enforcement demands for records associated with Senate business lines identified by the SAA, as permitted by law. We are also working closely with the SAA to be able to identify law enforcement demands for records associated with campaign and personal lines linked to Senators and provided by them to the SAA; for these lines, we intend to provide notice where permitted by law. We will also work with the relevant Member in particular circumstances and as legally permitted to provide information needed should the Member decide to challenge the demand.

T-Mobile receives an enormous volume of law enforcement demands and legal process relating to the company's subscriber records. For example, in 2024, T-Mobile responded to over 582,000 legal demands from government entities, including subpoenas for toll records, warrants, and intercept demands like pen register and trap and trace orders. T-Mobile responds to these demands consistent with its legal obligations. With respect to all law enforcement demands, we follow our standard legal review procedures and comply as required by law, consistent with our obligation to protect customer privacy while satisfying our legal obligations, including under the Stored Communications Act.

We recognize the importance of transparency in this area and have strengthened our internal processes to help ensure sensitive requests are identified and escalated appropriately. We are working on improving identification, senior-level review, and coordination with the Senate where feasible under current law. Specifically, we are working closely with the SAA to ensure that any evolution in applicable requirements is implemented efficiently and transparently. T-

Mobile's Legal & Emergency Response team continues to evaluate and strengthen our internal processes to ensure legal compliance and the provision of adequate notice. Our work with the SAA on notification will allow Members to challenge government requests if they so desire. We will also challenge legal deficiencies in any demands for Members' records.

3. **How many subpoenas or legal process has your company identified related to Arctic Frost and Special Counsel Jack Smith? In your response, please explain any limitations of the search and how your company plans to mitigate them.**

On October 23, 2025, T-Mobile provided a response to Chairman Grassley's October 11, 2025, letter and advised that T-Mobile searched, but was unable to identify, legal demands for records associated with Senate business accounts based on the list of nine Member names provided. T-Mobile also advised that it would be able to conduct more precise searches if the target telephone numbers were provided. In a follow-up conversation with Senate Judiciary staff, T-Mobile agreed to conduct additional research, and on December 9, 2025, staff provided a list of email addresses for 35 federal law enforcement agents. Using those email addresses, T-Mobile searched its closed case files and identified nine subpoenas that it believes were related to Arctic Frost and Special Counsel Jack Smith. Those subpoenas were provided to the Senate Judiciary Committee on January 6, 2026.

Separately, in December, House Judiciary staff provided T-Mobile with a Representative's phone number to assist in a search of legacy UScellular records. T-Mobile completed an acquisition of certain UScellular assets in August 2025 and can access UScellular's legacy records. T-Mobile identified two subpoenas associated with the target phone number that UScellular received in May 2022, before it was acquired by T-Mobile. T-Mobile was not involved in receiving or responding to those subpoenas and did not produce records in connection with them.

4. **Provide all communications between your company and DOJ and the FBI, both formal and informal, relating to Arctic Frost and Special Counsel Jack Smith.**

As described above, on October 23, 2025, T-Mobile provided a response to Chairman Grassley's October 11, 2025, letter and advised that T-Mobile searched, but was unable to identify, legal demands for records associated with Senate business accounts based on the list of nine Member names provided. T-Mobile also advised that it would be able to conduct more precise searches if the target telephone numbers were provided. In a follow-up conversation with Senate Judiciary staff, T-Mobile agreed to conduct additional research, and on December 9, 2025, staff provided a list of email addresses for 35 federal law enforcement agents. Using those email addresses, T-Mobile searched its closed case files and identified nine subpoenas that it believes were related to Arctic Frost and Special Counsel Jack Smith. Those subpoenas were provided to the Senate Judiciary Committee on January 6, 2026.

SENATOR MIKE LEE (R-UT)

1. **Please describe with specificity the categories of information contained within the subpoenaed toll records. In particular, state whether the records include any of the following:**

    a. **Records of telephone calls;**
    b. **Records of text or SMS communications;**
    c. **The duration of telephone calls;**
    d. **The dates and/or times of communications;**
    e. **Subscriber or account holder identifying information; and**
    f. **Any geolocation or location-related data associated with the communications.**

The subpoenaed records were toll records and subscriber information. Toll records, or call detail records, are limited to non-content call and texting (SMS/MMS) activity such as originating or destination telephone numbers, call times, and call durations. No conversation or text content or location data is included. Consequently, in providing the subpoenaed toll records, T-Mobile provided only such non-content information; we did not provide the content or location data of any communications in connection with the Jack Smith investigation.

2. **Will you commit that—unless clearly prohibited by law—you will notify members of Congress when their toll records are subpoenaed? As part of this commitment, will you also explore all legal avenues to challenge improper nondisclosure orders that potentially violate federal law?**

T-Mobile has implemented a system to identify law enforcement demands for records associated with Senate business lines identified by the SAA. Such requests will be flagged, and notice will be provided as permitted by law. We are also working closely with the SAA to be able to identify requests for records associated with campaign and personal lines linked to Senators and identified by them to the SAA, so that we may implement a process to provide notice for these lines. T-Mobile has also begun outreach to relevant House officials, including the Chief Administrative Officer, regarding the potential development of a process similar to the SAA effort to help to identify Member-associated lines and to facilitate the provision of appropriate notice where legally permissible. We will also challenge legal deficiencies in any demands for Members' records.

SENATOR SHELDON WHITEHOUSE (D-RI)

1. **Of the grand jury subpoenas received by T-Mobile for the toll records of U.S. Senators, and that T-Mobile has identified as being from the Office of Special Counsel Jack Smith, how many requested records related to:**

    a. **An account associated with or operated by the Office of the Senate Sergeant at Arms?**
    b. **A personal account associated with a senator or a family member of a senator?**
    c. **An account associated with a campaign for elected office?**
    d. **Any other accounts?**

T-Mobile did not identify any demands received from the Office of Special Counsel Jack Smith for records associated with U.S. Senate business accounts. We identified one subpoena that sought records for an account associated with a Senator's personal family plan. The subpoena was facially valid, identified the target using only a telephone number, and did not include any identifying information that would alert T-Mobile as to the name or status of the subscriber. We did not identify any demands for account records associated with a campaign for elected office, or any other Senate-associated lines.

2. **Provide the name of each senator whose records were sought by the subpoenas referenced above.**

We identified one subpoena that sought records for an account associated with a Senator's personal family plan. The subpoena was facially valid, identified the target using just a telephone number, and did not include any identifying information that would alert T-Mobile as to the name or status of the subscriber. It has become public that this account is associated with Senator Mike Lee.

3. **As of 2023, did T-Mobile understand its obligations under 2 U.S.C. § 6628 to require T-Mobile to notify the Office of the Senate Sergeant at Arms or a Senate office—notwithstanding any non-disclosure order—if compulsory process was received for toll records related to an account that was not associated with or operated by the Office of the Senate Sergeant at Arms or a Senate office?**

No.

4. **As of 2023, did T-Mobile understand its obligations under contracts with the Office of the Senate Sergeant at Arms to require T-Mobile to notify the Office of the Senate Sergeant at Arms or a Senate office—notwithstanding any non-disclosure order—if compulsory process was received for toll records related to an account that was not associated with or operated by the Office of the Senate Sergeant at Arms or a Senate office?**

No.

5. **Of the grand jury subpoenas received by T-Mobile for the toll records of members of the U.S. House of Representatives, and that T-Mobile has identified as being from the Office of Special Counsel Jack Smith, how many requested records related to:**

    a. **An account associated with or operated by the Office of the House Sergeant at Arms?**
    b. **A personal account associated with a House member or a family member of a House member?**
    c. **An account associated with a campaign for elected office?**
    d. **Any other accounts?**

We are not aware of any grand jury requests received by T-Mobile for toll records associated with Members of the U.S. House of Representatives.

6. **Provide the name of each member of the U.S. House of Representatives whose records were sought by the subpoenas referenced above.**

In December, House Judiciary staff provided T-Mobile with a Representative's phone number to assist in a search of legacy UScellular records. T-Mobile completed an acquisition of certain UScellular assets in August 2025 and can access UScellular's legacy records. T-Mobile identified two subpoenas associated with the target phone number that UScellular received in May 2022, before it was acquired by T-Mobile and before T-Mobile had any involvement in receiving or responding to those subpoenas. It has become public that the target phone number is associated with Representative Scott Fitzgerald.

7. **Has T-Mobile received compulsory process—including, but not limited to, federal grand jury subpoenas, administrative subpoenas, and court orders—for content or non-content records relating to U.S. Senators since January 20, 2025? If so, when, how many, and who were the senators?**

T-Mobile has not received compulsory process since January 20, 2025 for records associated with any Senate Business Accounts registered with the SAA.

We are currently working with the SAA to set up a process that allows Senators to provide their personal or campaign phone numbers so that we can identify, where legally permissible, when the company receives from law enforcement legal demands for their records.

8. **Has T-Mobile received compulsory process—including, but not limited to, federal grand jury subpoenas, administrative subpoenas, and court orders—for content or non-content records relating to members of the U.S. House of Representatives since January 20, 2025? If so, when, how many, and who were the members?**

T-Mobile has also begun outreach to relevant House officials regarding the potential development of a process for providing notice. In recent discussions, T-Mobile conveyed the importance of a centralized system to help to identify Member-associated lines and to facilitate

the provision of appropriate notice where legally permissible. We stand ready to work with the House, including the Chief Administrative Officer, to support any such efforts.