

8 June 2001

Commanding General

(Attn: CG), / (Attn AC/S, EMD)

Marine Corps Base

PSC Box 20004

Camp Lejeune, NC 28542-0004

SUBJ: Water Contamination, MCB, Camp Lejeune

The Chronology of Water Contamination at Marine Corps Base

Camp Lejeune from the CLNC water survey internet site makes the following statement: "October 1980 - In compliance with federal guidelines, Camp Lejeune began sampling its water distribution systems for Trihalomethane (THM's). The U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency from Fort McPherson conducted the water testing and discovered unidentified chlorinated hydrocarbons in the Camp Lejeune water system. It is unknown whether the results of these test were reported to Camp Lejeune officials, and it is uncertain if base officials were apprised of the contamination."

This writer is sceptical as to the accuracy of the last sentence. The U.S. Army Environmental Health Agency at Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Maryland discussed with LANTDIVNAVFAC EUSCOM in July 1980 the use of the U.S. AEHA team from Fort McPherson, Georgia to assist the USMC and LANTDIV in developing the THM data base to comply with the U.S. EPA's final regulations on total THM's published in November 1979.

CLW
15
11
33
00
00
00

LANTDIV letter 114: WLC 6280 of 25 July 1980 confirmed the McPherson inspection visit to MCB, CLNC and the monitoring program, conducted by U.S. AEHA, was initiated in October 1980 and terminated in December 1981.

Commander, LANTDIV in his letter to CG, MCB / CLNC of 12 Feb 1982 (114: WLC dtd 12 Feb 82) forwarded "Data Analysis of MCB Camp Lejeune total Trihalomethane Monitoring Program" which summarized data collected during the sampling period and included THM ranges

for the Rifle Range, Hackett Point ad MCBS, New River. Not only did it provide CG, MCBS/CLNC with numeric data, but also provided recommendations as to monitoring procedures and where laboratory services could be found. As COMLANTDIV told CG, MCBS "this information" is forwarded for your information and use."

It should be obvious from the above sequence of events that CG, MCBS was well aware that an Army environmental monitoring team was aboard, had access to the numeric TTHM readings, and a recommendation "that the Base initiate contractual arrangement with one of the North Carolina state certified laboratories".

The MCBS/CLNC website Chronology used the phrase "un-identified chlorinated hydrocarbons." The U.S. AEDA team did a field survey and reported the presence of a chlorine induced by-product called a THM and those products once created cannot be removed by normal water treatment processes. The determination of the specific contaminant(s) in the water resulting in a THM requires laboratory analysis. That seems not to have taken place during 1980-1981.

This writer cannot locate any document that shows CG, MCBS ever acted upon the recommendation that laboratory analysis be sought to determine the identity of the contaminant(s) in the CLNC water supply prior to May 1982, some three months after LANTDIV had advised such action.

The phrasing of the Chronology quote seemingly asserts that MCBS personnel were unaware of the results of the U.S. AEDA monitoring and seemingly left out of the picture that contamination, of unknown origin, was present. The presence of TTHM's doesn't prove a dangerous contaminant is present, but would give a prudent system manager a warning to determine the nature of the material.

Does MCBS, Camp Lesarne continue to assert its position as written in the last sentence of the Chronology cited above?

Based on COMLANTDIV's report to CG, MCBS / CLNC noted earlier it is quite apparent that MCBS was made fully aware of the results of the TTHM monitoring at three separate MCBS sites

and given sound advice on the need for laboratory analysis to determine the identity of the reported contaminant. Why it took MCIS another five months from the end of the McPherson study to get a laboratory analysis on the reported THM's is unknown.

Does MCIS/CLNC have an answer to that delay?

Prior requests for water quality monitoring data for the MCIS/CLNC water system operations for periods after 1965 have not been granted on the grounds of non-availability.

I am fully aware that SECNAVINST 5212.5 - allows that material documenting results of bacteriological tests for drinking water systems may be destroyed after 5 years and chemical/physical tests, after 10 years.

It seems to this officer that once THM's were determined to be present in the potable water system that a prudent system manager, realizing the potential adverse health effects/possible litigation, would have made every effort to maintain a complete record of system operations so as to provide evidence of competent, professional system management if later challenged.

Obviously the Base Chemist and Facilities Management of that period did not recognize the THM warning signals or the fact that certain records could have been exempted from the broad guidelines of the record disposal orders of that era.

The challenge to the efficacy and safety of the MCIS water system is now forthcoming and the inability of the Marine Corps to provide relevant monitoring data does little to support the previously held notion that base residents were being provided safe drinking water in their respective quarters.

Two questions have been posed. I would appreciate a written response to both.

Respectfully Submitted,

[REDACTED]
MAJOR, USMC (Retired)

CC: Chairman, Senate Committee on
Armed Services

CMC (LFB)

COMLANT DIV

U.S. AERIA; Aberdeen, Md