

To: GM-15 ROBERT L WARREN@EMD1,GS-13 N NEAL PAUL@EMD, GS-14 SCOTT A BREWER@EMD1,GS-9 THOMAS S MORRIS@EMD

From: MAJ SCOTT B JACK@CPAO

Originated by: MAJ SCOTT B JACK@CPAO

Cc: GM15 RICHARD D HINE@EACO1,MAJ STEPHEN P FINN@EACO1

Bcc:

Subject: fwd: ATSDR STUDY/CHNL 12 TV

Attachment:

Date: 3/2/99 8:08 AM

Gents, FYI. Please pass to who you deem appropriate. v/r, Maj Jack

Original text

From: MAJ SCOTT B JACK@CPAO@MCB LEJEUNE, on 3/2/99 7:54 AM:
To: COL JAMES E SCHLEINING_JR@SSEC@2DMAW CHERRY PT, MAJGEN WILLIAM L NYLAND@SSEC@2DMAW CHERRY PT, COL BRUCE A GOMBAR@HQTRS@MCB LEJEUNE, COL JAMES C HARDEE@CG@II MEF, COL KEVIN A CONRY@C G@2D MAR DIV, COL ROBERT C DICKERSON_JR@CG@2D FSSG, BGen Paul M Lee_Jr@CG@2D FSSG, BGEN ROBERT R BLACKMAN_JR@C G@2D MAR DIV, MAJGEN EMIL R BEDARD@C G@2D MAR DIV, MAJGEN RANDALL L WEST@CG@II MEF, MAJGEN RAY L SMITH@HQTRS@MCB LEJEUNE, MAJGEN WAYNE E ROLLINGS@CG@II MEF, 1STLT STEWART UPTON@JPAO@MCAS NEW RIVER, CAPT MATTHEW MCLAUGHLIN@JPAO@MCAS CHERRY PT, CAPT ROBERT C CRUM_II@JPAO@MCAS CHERRY PT, CAPT WHITNEY MASON@PAO@MCAS BEAUFORT

Major General Smith,
Col Gombar,

Chnl 12 piece was a bit sensational, but this is playing out as we predicted. We have received no calls from our citizens. Capt Newman, Media Officer HQMC, asked me to give an update on review of the news piece. Since this will probably be forwarded to a number of people in the Pentagon, I have info'd all our local CG's and Chiefs.

OLA passed the buck on notifying our local Congressional reps. to me at approx. 1500 yesterday. I briefed Col Gombar and then contacted as many of their Press Officers as possible to give them a verbal heads-up on the Chnl 12 series. They appreciated advance notice. HQMC had indicated they would do this from their level, but changed that late yesterday.

v/r, Maj Jack

From: MAJ SCOTT B JACK@CPAO@MCB LEJEUNE, on 3/2/99 7:08 AM:
To: FORCE[PEPA17@PESNAD05@GGSNAD0B], SMTP[HaddockEK@marforlant.usmc.mil], FORCE[MAJ SHANNON A SHY@CL@HQMC]

LtCol Haddock
Capt Newman,

Watched the taped 1800 broadcast by Chnl 12 last night, again. Timed it out at 3 minutes 20 seconds. They ran it the last story before Sports. Factual for the most part, but sensational on tease and lead-in by on air hosts. Dale Ream understandably went with a sensational title classes of

CLW

Poison."

Dale used the time frame of "during the late 1950's" and then did his stand-up in front of ABC Cleaners. He cut my statements down to short clips on when CLNC found out about it and how our process of closing a well.

Basically, he stated that the base took two years to close the wells after discovering the compounds in 1982. True statement, but transitioned to his claim that for potentially 30 years the water was contaminated at CLNC. He obviously was drawing this fact from how long ABC Cleaners had been operating. I don't know if that is completely accurate. However, Chnl 12 incorporated a graphic of how the solvents seeped from ABC Cleaners to base via the ground water. He then interviewed NC Water Quality spokesperson on generally how individuals can tolerate some chemicals for short periods but over time it is more problematic for especially pregnant women. He ended with our wells were shut down in 1985.

Tomorrow he will "go to Woburn, Mass. and show you how the same chemicals found at Camp Lejeune effected ravished Woburn and its families." More sensationalism, but we'll see where it goes.

Presently, we have a number things on our side with regard to timing. This regional audience sees this as "old news," Capt Ashby's GCM will go to closing arguments today (most regional and national media are focused on that) and this entire region focuses on ACC Basketball for March madness (including nearly all the NC journalists!).

I was in the office until 2100 and we received no phone calls from the public. Our 24 hour duty did not receive any either. Thus, we'll see how tomorrow's and Wednesday's news stories by Chnl 12 will result in any. My assessment remains the same. Anticipate a couple of queries from our print side and that's it. We may not even get that with potential findings on the merit of Capt Ashby's GCM at the end of the week.

Here are the Q& A's I prepared with our EMD chop for the Chnl 12 interview:

1. When did CLNC find out about the contamination of TCE and PCE in our wells at TT?

A: First our drinking water is safe to drink at CLNC today. The wells you are referring to were closed nearly 15 years ago. During the early 1980's there were many compounds that were not regulated. Some of these showed up on the water testing at that time. Subsequently, our Facilities and Environmental sections began the process to determine where the source, or sources, of these compounds originated. Here at Tarawa Terrace two (2) wells were shut down in Feb 1985. It was eventually determined that an off base cleaner's solvents were the probable source. (note: this determination came a couple of years after the 2 TT wells were shut down).

2. What was the process of shutting them down?

A: Generally, the process involves trying to determine where the source of the compound is located and stopping it. This is done through sampling, analysis, and confirmation. If a well is determined to be the source of a compound, the well is closed and no longer used as a water source.

CLW
0000003092

3. When were the wells closed?

A: In February 1985, two (2) wells were shut down and water was supplied to TT from the Holcomb Blvd water plant.

4. Is the Base cooperating with the ATSDR study?

The Base will cooperate with the study in any way we can.

In short, Dale Ream asked about TT and did not expand his query.

v/r, Maj Jack

5. How is the USMC helping in the study?

A: Headquarters Marine Corps is assisting ATSDR in obtaining phone numbers of past residents at TT. Any questions on the details of the study should be addressed to ATSDR.

CLW

0000003093

To: SAB@emd1
From: GS-9 THOMAS S MORRIS@EMD
Cc: NNP@EMD
Bcc:
Subject: one-page write-up
Attachment: cgltrt~1.doc
Date: 3/3/99 1:59 PM

Scott,

Attached is the letter that you discussed with me yesterday morning. Neal's comments have been incorporated.

Please let me know if you have any comments or questions.

Thanks,

V/R

Tom

CLW

0000003094

To: MAJ SCOTT B JACK@CPAO
From: GS-9 THOMAS S MORRIS@EMD
Cc: NNP@EMD
Bcc:
Subject: Groundwater issue synopsis
Attachment: cgltrt~1.doc
Date: 3/3/99 2:26 PM

Maj Jack,

Per Neal's direction, attached is the one-page synopsis of the groundwater issue for congressional inquiries or whatever.

Please let me know if you have any comments or questions.

Thanks,

V/R

Tom

CLW

0000003095

To: tsm@EMD
From: GS-13 N NEAL PAUL@EMD
Originated by: Newman Capt Beth A <NewmanBA@hqmc.usmc.mil>
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: fwd: RE: Public Affairs Plan
Attachment: WATER.DOC
Date: 3/4/99 10:02 AM

Original text

From: "Newman Capt Beth A" <NewmanBA@hqmc.usmc.mil>, on 3/4/99 10:42 AM:
To: SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["Dreyer GS13 Kelly A" <DreyerKA@hqmc.usmc.mil>]
Cc: SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["White Maj Kenneth D" <WhiteKD@hqmc.usmc.mil>],
SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["White Maj Kenneth D" <WhiteKD@hqmc.usmc.mil>],
SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["Campbell LtCol Scott R" <CampbellSR@hqmc.usmc.mil>],
SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["Wagner Col Stuart W" <WagnerSW@hqmc.usmc.mil>],
SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["'simmonsm@nehc.med.navy.mil'" <simmonsm@nehc.med.navy.mil>],
SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["Shy Maj Shannon A" <ShySA@hqmc.usmc.mil>], GS-13 N
NEAL PAUL@EMD@MCB LEJEUNE, MAJ SCOTT B JACK@CPAO@MCB LEJEUNE

Kelly,

The bottom line for the leadership is that there's a plan in place and we're already using it. You can tell them that now. It will be ever-evolving, however, as we get more questions and are able to answer more questions. I literally change it every day. We've ginned-up answers to about 30 of the questions and Mary Ann Simmons from NEHC has graciously offered to help me sort through the rest. Maj Jack provided an initial chop to the existing plan and I'm hoping they can further flesh out some of the info as time goes on. The attachment is the latest iteration. If there's anything in the plan that you or Maj Shy would recommend changing at this point, just let me know. Bottom line for those who are concerned is that CLNC PA has immediate audiences and media under control and is able to answer inquiries. They will coordinate with MARFORLANT and HQMC PA as necessary. As we agreed at the January meeting, April 1st is still the goal for a staffed plan (i.e. staffed through HQMC agencies). However, everyone needs to make sure they understand that the April 1 formalized document is only a milestone. With such a long-range and complex issue it will be constantly changing before and after that date. As I continue to wade through the questions developed in January, I'm staffing questions for answers to the appropriate people. My goal is to at least attempt to answer all the legitimate ones prior to staffing for the April 1 deadline.

Hope this answers your questions.

r/s,
<<WATER.DOC>>
Beth Newman
Capt, USMC

CLW

0000003098

Media Officer
Division of Public Affairs
Headquarters Marine Corps
(703) 614-4309
FAX (703) 695-7460
newmanba@hqmc.usmc.mil

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Dreyer GS13 Kelly A
> Sent: Thursday, March 04, 1999 9:14 AM
> To: Newman Capt Beth A
> Cc: PAUL GS-13 NEAL N; JACK MAJ SCOTT B
> Subject: Public Affairs Plan

>
> Capt. Newman,

>
> What is the status of the Marine Corps Public Affairs Plan? Even though we
> aren't getting a lot of public inquiry, I'd like to be able to tell our
> leadership (including Ms. Munsell) that we have something in place. Also,
> we have a list of Questions that we developed in our January meeting at
> Lejeune. Who should take the lead in getting the appropriate people to
> answer the questions? Any help is appreciated.

>
> VR,
> Kelly

CLW

0000003099

To: sab@emd1
From: GS-13 N NEAL PAUL@EMD
Originated by: Newman Capt Beth A <NewmanBA@hqmc.usmc.mil>
Cc: irdlist@EMD
Bcc:
Subject: TV_12 Jacksonville story
Attachment:
Date: 3/4/99 3:51 PM

FYI

Original text

From: "Newman Capt Beth A" <NewmanBA@hqmc.usmc.mil>, on 3/4/99 4:18 PM:
To: SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["Dreyer GS13 Kelly A" <DreyerKA@hqmc.usmc.mil>],
SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["SIMMONS LTCOL WALTER W"
<LTCOL=WALTER=W=SIMMONS%OLA%HQMC@HQI.USMC.MIL>]
Cc: SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["Shy Maj Shannon A" <ShySA@hqmc.usmc.mil>],
SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["Sakai GM14 Craig K" <SakaiCK@hqmc.usmc.mil>],
SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["Haddock LtCol Ellen K"
<HaddockEK@marforlant.usmc.mil>], SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["Wagner Col Stuart
W" <WagnerSW@hqmc.usmc.mil>], SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["White Maj Kenneth D"
<WhiteKD@hqmc.usmc.mil>], SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["Lapan Maj David A"
<LapanDA@hqmc.usmc.mil>], GS-13 N NEAL PAUL@EMD@MCB LEJEUNE, MAJ SCOTT B
JACK@CPAO@MCB LEJEUNE

For all:

The consensus out of Camp Lejeune is that Dale Ream's story on the Camp Lejeune water study was very sensationalized, specifically in regard to the teasers they used to promote the story ahead of time on radio and TV. Maj Jack is handling relations with the reporter and station in this regard.

Of positive note, however, is that Ream's fellow media in Jacksonville have been poking fun at him all day in the Aviano trial media center -- denigrating his "Hardcopy" approach to the story. In fact, there have been zero follow-on media queries to date.

Additionally, the story generated only two public inquiries to Camp Lejeune, both of which were women who had had children at Camp Lejeune while living there during the period in question. Maj Jack spoke to them both personally and feels as though their concerns were put at ease. (neither's children -- all adults now -- had or have any major health concerns)

Maj Jack et al continue to track closely.

V/R,
Capt Newman

CLW

0000003100

To: SMTP [DreyerKA@hqmc.usmc.mil]
From: GS-9 THOMAS S MORRIS@EMD
Cc: nnp@EMD
Bcc:
Subject: attachment
Attachment: cgltrt~1.doc
Date: 3/4/99 8:46 AM

Kelly,

Neal asked me to send you the attached one-page synopsis of the drinking water issue that I generated. I don't see anything that is contrary to that which you and Shannon prepared.

Please let me know if you have any comments or questions.

Thanks,

V/R

Tom

CLW

0000003101

Capito, Bonnie P.

From: Landman, Kate H.
Sent: Thursday, March 11, 1999 8:20 AM
To: Capito, Bonnie P.
Subject: FW: NACIP letter

Bonnie,
 Tom Morris is still looking for this letter. Can you go through the stack downstairs and see if it happens to be there? I didn't find it in my first pass, but it wasn't so well-organized then (try not at all...).

Thanks,
 -Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: GS-9 THOMAS S MORRIS [mailto:morrist1@clb.usmc.mil]
Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 1999 8:02 AM
To: landmakh@efdlant.navfac.navy.mil
Subject: NACIP letter

Kate,

I'm working with SJA now to fill in some gaps in the chronology and to answer some questions. We are still looking for the letter from LANTDIV using the sic: 114:jgw:ssw 6280 dated 10 May 83. I know you looked for this before, but I didn't know if, since you have the files in chronological order now, you might be able to check one more time for this document. I'd really appreciate it as it would help fill in some blanks.

Please let me know if you can do this and if you find anything.

Thanks!!

V/R

Tom

Post-It™ brand fax transmittal memo 7671		# of pages ▶ 3
To TOM MORRIS	From KATE LANDMAN	
Co.	Co.	
Dept.	Phone #	
Fax # 910 451 5997	Fax #	

Tom -
 This should have been in the original stack of letters I sent you from the Adm Record. I'm sending it again because Bonnie noticed the date in lower right corner was different than stamped date in upper right corner. Not exactly the citation you give but I think this is probably the letter you want. Bonnie noted that the "6280" notation is on letters from Camp Lejeune, so this is probably just an error in your reference. It doesn't say much of merit, but I hope it helps. Thanks to Bonnie for ~~not~~ finding it.

000003102

To: GS-9 THOMAS S MORRIS@EMD
From: MAJ SCOTT B JACK@CPAO
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: re: Groundwater issue synopsis
Attachment:
Date: 3/12/99 3:04 PM

Tom,
Can I get a copy of the chronology on disk? It would help us a lot in the future.
Thanks. r, Scott

Original text
From: GS-9 THOMAS S MORRIS@EMD@MCB LEJEUNE, on 3/3/99 2:26 PM:
Maj Jack,

Per Neal's direction, attached is the one-page synopsis of the groundwater issue for congressional inquiries or whatever.

Please let me know if you have any comments or questions.
Thanks,
V/R
Tom

CLW
0000003103

To: GM-14 FREDERICK E. CONE@FAC1
From: GS-13 N NEAL PAUL@EMD
Originated by: Newman Capt Beth A <NewmanBA@hqmc.usmc.mil>
Cc: MAJ SCOTT B JACK@CPAO, MAJ SCOTT J FAZEKAS@CPAO, sab@emd1
Bcc: GS-9 THOMAS S MORRIS@EMD
Subject: Public Affairs Plan
Attachment: WATER.DOC
Date: 3/16/99 9:21 AM

Fred,
You can contact Maj Fazekas if you wish to comment on the PA plan. EMD
comments will be forwarded to PAO today.
Neal

Original text

From: MAJ SCOTT B JACK@CPAO@MCB LEJEUNE, on 3/10/99 11:40 AM:
To: GM-14 FREDERICK E. CONE@FAC1@MCB LEJEUNE, GS-13 N NEAL PAUL@EMD@MCB
LEJEUNE, GS-14 SCOTT A BREWER@EMD1@MCB LEJEUNE
Cc: fazekas@CPAO@MCB LEJEUNE

Gents,

I was swamped last week with Aviano court-martial. Did this PA plan reach
your desk. Some of the Q&A's are unanswered and HQ has asked for our
support. Please advise if you see any inaccuracies. We will try to collect
answers this week.

v/r, Scott B. Jack

From: MAJ SCOTT J FAZEKAS@CPAO@MCB LEJEUNE, on 3/10/99 11:28 AM:
To: JACK@CPAO@MCB LEJEUNE

Here's the electronic copy of the PA plan. I gave you the hard copy.

S Fazekas
Maj USMC
Deputy, CPAO "First to go, last to know!"
(910) 451 5655
DSN 751 5655
Fax -5882/3415

From: MAJ SCOTT B JACK@CPAO@MCB LEJEUNE, on 3/8/99 9:31 AM:
To: farnum@CPAO@MCB LEJEUNE, fazekas@CPAO@MCB LEJEUNE, huneycutt@CPAO@MCB
LEJEUNE, james@CPAO@MCB LEJEUNE, turner@CPAO@MCB LEJEUNE

Reinhild, please start saving these on disk for the ATSDR and please print
out a hard copy of the attachment.

v/r, Maj J

From: "Newman Capt Beth A" <NewmanBA@hqmc.usmc.mil>, on 3/4/99 10:42 AM:
To: SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["Dreyer GS13 Kelly A" <DreyerKA@hqmc.usmc.mil>]

0000003106

Cc: SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["White Maj Kenneth D" <WhiteKD@hqmc.usmc.mil>],
SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["White Maj Kenneth D" <WhiteKD@hqmc.usmc.mil>],
SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["Campbell LtCol Scott R"
<CampbellSR@hqmc.usmc.mil>], SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["Wagner Col Stuart W"
<WagnerSW@hqmc.usmc.mil>], SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB
LEJEUNE["'simmons@nehc.med.navy.mil'" <simmons@nehc.med.navy.mil>],
SMTP2@SMTP2@MCB LEJEUNE["Shy Maj Shannon A" <ShySA@hqmc.usmc.mil>], GS-13 N
NEAL PAUL@EMD@MCB LEJEUNE, MAJ SCOTT B JACK@CPAO@MCB LEJEUNE

Kelly,

The bottom line for the leadership is that there's a plan in place and we're already using it. You can tell them that now. It will be ever-evolving, however, as we get more questions and are able to answer more questions. I literally change it every day. We've ginned-up answers to about 30 of the questions and Mary Ann Simmons from NEHC has graciously offered to help me sort through the rest. Maj Jack provided an initial chop to the existing plan and I'm hoping they can further flesh out some of the info as time goes on. The attachment is the latest iteration. If there's anything in the plan that you or Maj Shy would recommend changing at this point, just let me know. Bottom line for those who are concerned is that CLNC PA has immediate audiences and media under control and is able to answer inquiries. They will coordinate with MARFORLANT and HQMC PA as necessary. As we agreed at the January meeting, April 1st is still the goal for a staffed plan (i.e. staffed through HQMC agencies). However, everyone needs to make sure they understand that the April 1 formalized document is only a milestone. With such a long-range and complex issue it will be constantly changing before and after that date. As I continue to wade through the questions developed in January, I'm staffing questions for answers to the appropriate people. My goal is to at least attempt to answer all the legitimate ones prior to staffing for the April 1 deadline.

Hope this answers your questions.

r/s,

<<WATER.DOC>>

Beth Newman

Capt, USMC

Media Officer

Division of Public Affairs

Headquarters Marine Corps

(703) 614-4309

FAX (703) 695-7460

newmanba@hqmc.usmc.mil

CLW

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dreyer GS13 Kelly A
> Sent: Thursday, March 04, 1999 9:14 AM
> To: Newman Capt Beth A

000003107

> Cc: PAUL GS-13 NEAL N; JACK MAJ SCOTT B

> Subject: Public Affairs Plan

>

> Capt. Newman,

>

> What is the status of the Marine Corps Public Affairs Plan? Even though we
> aren't getting a lot of public inquiry, I'd like to be able to tell our
> leadership (including Ms. Munsell) that we have something in place. Also,
> we have a list of Questions that we developed in our January meeting at
> Lejeune. Who should take the lead in getting the appropriate people to
> answer the questions? Any help is appreciated.

>

> VR,

> Kelly

CLW

0000003108

To: tsm@EMD
From: GS-13 N NEAL PAUL@EMD
Originated by: Dreyer GS13 Kelly A <DreyerKA@hqmc.usmc.mil>
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: Camp Lejeune: DMDC update
Attachment:
Date: 3/23/99 8:01 AM

Tom,
Please forward this to Major Perez this morning. Let me know if you are not able to do so.
Thanks,
Neal

Bill: I think this email has the info you need.

Original text

From: "Dreyer GS13 Kelly A" <DreyerKA@hqmc.usmc.mil>, on 3/4/99 9:10 AM:
To: GS-13 N NEAL PAUL@EMD@MCB LEJEUNE

FYI - Here is the latest on collection of social security numbers, last known addresses, etc. Please keep this confidential and limit further distribution of this message.

VR,
Kelly

-----Original Message-----

From: Churchill, Jeanetta E. [mailto:fzc3@cdc.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 26, 1999 3:33 PM
To: 'Dreyer GS13 Kelly A'
Subject: RE: Camp Lejeune: DMDC update
Sensitivity: Confidential

Kelly-

Thank you so much for your updates. I am sorry for not being able to get back in touch but I was out sick for a couple of days. I look forward to resolving these issues and hope to talk to you early next week. Have a good weekend.

Jeanetta E. Churchill
Epidemiologist
ATSDR Division of Health Studies
1600 Clifton Road, NE Mailstop E-31
Atlanta, GA 30333
Phone: (404)639-6390

CLW

000003109

FAX: (404) 639-6219
e-mail: FZC3@CDC.GOV

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Dreyer GS13 Kelly A [SMTP:DreyerKA@hqmc.usmc.mil]
> Sent: Thursday, February 25, 1999 8:36 AM
> To: 'Churchill, Jeanetta E.'
> Cc: 'wekl@cdc.gov'
> Subject: RE: Camp Lejeune: DMDC update
> Sensitivity: Confidential

>
> Jeanetta,

>
> I spoke with Terry Kendall, DMDC, and relayed my concerns about being
> provided sufficient information to conduct the study. He seems to
> understand our concerns, but believes that the Privacy Act is keeping him
> from providing data. I tried to get him thinking of ways that we could
> get
> the data without violating the privacy act (which I'm still not convinced
> we
> are).

>
> We hung up and Terry talked to Mike Dove and John Goral (local Privacy Act
> representative). Terry called me back and let me know that Mike and John
> were going to call the DoD Privacy Act coordinator and see if they could
> find a way to provide us the data. I am also talking to our Privacy Act
> person to see if DMDC's interpretation is correct.

>
> Mike or John will be calling me next week (hopefully Monday) to let me
> know
> how their discussions with DoD went. Once I hear from them, we should
> have
> a conference call to discuss the outcome. I'm sorry this is taking a
> little
> longer than I had hoped, but we will get there somehow.

>
> Kelly

>
> -----Original Message-----

> From: Churchill, Jeanetta E. [mailto:fzc3@cdc.gov]
> Sent: Thursday, February 18, 1999 2:36 PM
> To: 'Kelly Dreyer'
> Cc: Kaye, Wendy
> Subject: Camp Lejeune: DMDC update
> Importance: High
> Sensitivity: Confidential

>
>
> Kelly-

CLW

0000003110

>
> I am forwarding you a description of the steps taken by DMDC to fulfill
> our
> data request as described to me by Terry Kendall. In summary, it seems
> that
> they cross-referenced our data with 14 DMDC files, including various
> civilian files. This resulted in about 388,000 possible hits. Many of
> these were multiple records with the same names.
>
> In an effort to reduce then number of multiple names, they first limited
> the
> names to 3 files that contained data on dependents (most dependent data is
> destroyed after retirement). After this point, they limited to navy and
> marine corps personnel. The file size was then reduced to only about 600
> records. I think that the biggest problem is that DMDC limited the data
> to
> records containing all of the variables that we requested, namely SSN's
> for
> the military member, spouse, and child, home of record, vital status of
> child, and last known address. In the end, this yields only about 600
> exact
> matches.
>
> At the minimum, we are most interested in procuring the SSNs of the
> military
> member. If we can get more information, that is helpful but not mandatory
> for our purposes. We acknowledge that this will result in more records
> than
> the 12,477 that we submitted but if we can get SSN on military personnel
> in
> the Navy/Marine Corps, we will be able to move forward. DMDC has concerns
> that if we have more than the minimum number of names, that we will not be
> able to identify the exact person that we are interested in; this would be
> a
> violation of the Privacy Act.
>
> Kelly, Wendy and I appreciate your efforts in setting up a conference call
> between ATSDR and DMDC to clarify some of these issues. On Friday 2/19
> (tomorrow), we are available after 4:00 PM EST, all day on Monday 2/22,
> and
> during the afternoons of Wednesday 2/24 and Thursday 2/25. Thanks for
> your
> help.
>
> Sincerely,
>
> Jeanetta E. Churchill
> Epidemiologist
> ATSDR Division of Health Studies
> 1600 Clifton Road, NE Mailstop E-31

CLW

0000003111

> Atlanta, GA 30333
> Phone: (404) 639-6390
> FAX: (404) 639-6219
> e-mail: FZC3@CDC.GOV

> > -----Original Message-----

> > From: Kendall, Terry D., DMDCWEST [SMTP:KENDALTD@osd.pentagon.mil]
> > Sent: Friday, February 12, 1999 9:27 PM
> > To: 'Churchill, Jeanetta E.'
> > Subject: RE: Update
> > Importance: High
> > Sensitivity: Confidential

> > Jeanetta, I hope this will explain what you need to know, if not we can
> > talk
> > over the phone.

> > We took the original files that you sent, 13,177 records (submission
> > file)
> > which did not include SSN's, but did include an ID # for each record. In
> > order to provide you with matched data and to keep track of which
> > records
> > were being matched with what names I decided to keep the ID# with each
> > records during the matching process. The first step was to split the
> > submission file into two file, one consisting names with middle initials
> > that included 11,289 records, and the other without middle initials that
> > included 1,188 records. Prior to match these two files we needed to
> > clean
> > up
> > the cadencies (JR, SR, II, III etc) and sort them in ascending by last
> > name,
> > and first name. Once the names had been sorted then we combined records
> > with
> > like name into one record. In other words if there were 5 records with
> > Jones, Donald we left one records with Jones, Donald, but 5 different
> > ID#

> > attached to the end of the input record. Here is an example:
> > Jones Donald 00000000 ID# > 68005110 68005115 68005114
> > 68005141
> > 6800555

> > This decreased the number of records to match for names with middle
> > initials
> > to 10,791 records, and names with no middle initials to 1,113 records.

> > Now we have two files that are ready to match using Namesek program to
> > match with 14 DMDC files.

File Name	With Mid Initials	Without Mid
Initials		
Active Duty losses 1980's	61,018	40,018

CLW

0000003112

> > Active Duty losses 1990's	37,259	27,717
> > DoD Civilian Employees	4,334	2,851
> > Active Duty Military	9,371	
> > 7,831		
> > Retired Military	21,516	
> > 13,152		
> > Civilian VA file	4,841	
> > 3,221		
> > Civil Service Retirees (Fed)	9,965	
> > 7,414		
> > DoD NAF file	501	418
> > Judicial Branch	65	56
> > Executive Office of		
> > the President	3	1
> > Reserve Military	8,051	8,541
> > Reserve Home Address	44,038	33,537
> > Civilian losses 1993 to date	14,114	
> > 10,822		
> > Prior Service Military Address	9,623	
> > 7,192		
> > Totals	224,699	162,771

> > From these files of matched records we found there were multiple matches
> > and
> > needed to reduce these numbers to exact matched names. In doing so we
> > need
> > to keep in mind the requirements of your request as stated in paragraph
> > 3
> > on
> > page 2 of your letter dated 26 October 1998. Providing SSN's of the
> > military
> > member, mother, and dependent child (ren), home of record, last know
> > address
> > and vital status. As you stated, it would not be possible to locate
> > significant number of the individuals of interest. With these data
> > elements
> > we are limited to three files with this information. The active duty,
> > reserve, and retired military files. Also, we weeded out all military
> > records that were not navy or marine corps which left us with 475
> > records
> > with middle initials, and 100 records without middle initials.
> >
> > Step three involved matching the records above to the DEERS sponsor file
> > to
> > locate the service member, 475 records matches with middle initials, and
> > 94
> > records matched without middle initials. Of those, we matched to the
> > DEERS
> > dependents file to locate the dependents of those service members. This
> > increased our matched records from 475 to 1,805 records, the difference

0000003113

> is
> > a
> > gain of 1,330 dependent records for those matched service members. The
> > same
> > holds true for the 94 records to 311 records, the difference is a gain
> of
> > 217 dependent records.
> >
> > The last and final step is to take the 475 and 94 records and match
> back
> > to
> > the submission file to find an exact match on service member name, dob,
> > and
> > dependent children names. Because of the privacy act this is the only
> way
> > we
> > can determine an exact match, no questions asked, no doubt in anyone's
> > mind
> > that these records are those individuals you are looking for.
> >
> > Please go over what I have given you and please ask questions, there
> maybe
> > something I have overlooked or addressed.

> > Until then,

> > Terry D. Kendall

> > > -----Original Message-----

> > > From: Churchill, Jeanetta E. [SMTP:fzc3@cdc.gov]

> > > Sent: Thursday, February 11, 1999 12:40 PM

> > > To: 'Terry Kendall'

> > > Subject: Update

> > >

> > > Terry-

> > >

> > > My supervisor has spoken with Ms. Kelley Dreyer at Marine Corps

> > > Headquarter

> > > regarding the Privacy Act issues. She will be contacting other

> Privacy

> > > Act

> > > personnel in Washington for more information. If you could please

> > > expedite

> > > a written summary of the problems with multiple names along with the

> > > numbers

> > > in each file ASAP, it would be very helpful. You may send this

> summary

> > > to

CLW

0000003114

> > > me via e-mail and I will forward it to the necessary parties. Thank
> > you.

> > >

> > >

> > > Jeanetta E. Churchill

> > > Epidemiologist

> > > ATSDR Division of Health Studies

> > > 1600 Clifton Road, NE Mailstop E-31

> > > Atlanta, GA 30333

> > > Phone: (404) 639-6390

> > > FAX: (404) 639-6219

> > > e-mail: FZC3@CDC.GOV

CLW

0000003115

To: GS-9 THOMAS S MORRIS@EMD
From: MAJ SCOTT J FAZEKAS@CPAO
Cc: GS-13 N NEAL PAUL@EMD
Bcc:
Subject: re: PA Plan Q&A
Attachment:
Date: 3/24/99 12:45 PM

Tom, thanks; this cut looks good. I have just a few more Q's:

--Background, #1: In 1980, VOC's were found in water distribution systems. In the chronology I have from SJA, the earliest mention of VOC findings was in 1982. Where in 1980--TT, Hadnot point, or both/neither?

--Q2: same as above.

--Q6: do we have a POC at the on base EPA site? Reporters may want to talk with them about current clean up efforts.

--Q8: "improper disposal of solvents further contaminated the groundwater in Hadnot Point". What specifically was done--I see this as someone dumping something.

--Q13: Just want to be sure: there is no State or Federal requirement to teast all supply wells within 1000' of known hazardous waste sites semi-annually, correct?

Plus, Q 19 as you mentioned in your message below. Also, do you have or know of a map/schematic with the locations of the wells/contaminated areas in TT and the indutrial area? I'd like to see them just for my own edification. I appreciate all your work and patience--thanks--

V/R

S Fazekas
Maj USMC
Deputy, CPAO "First to go, last to know!"
(910) 451 5655
DSN 751 5655
Fax -5882/3415

Original text

From: GS-9 THOMAS S MORRIS@EMD@MCB LEJEUNE, on 3/19/99 8:22 AM:
Major,

Neal asked me to forward you the latest version of the Questions and Answers for the PA Plan on the ATSDR/drinking water issue. Attached is the file in Word97. I can provide a hard-copy if necessary. Question #19 is still incomplete, but we won't be able to provide the necessary information to

CLW
0000003125

--Background, #1: In 1980, VOC's were found in water distribution systems. In the chronology I have from SJA, the earliest mention of VOC findings was in 1982. Where in 1980--TT, Hadnot point, or both/neither? VOC's were found in the water distribution system at Hadnot Point in October 1980. VOC's weren't discovered at TT until July 1982.

--Q2: same as above.

--Q6: do we have a POC at the on base EPA site? Reporters may want to talk with them about current clean up efforts. Mr. Luis Flores (EPA) 1-800-435-9233

--Q8: "improper disposal of solvents further contaminated the groundwater in Hadnot Point". What specifically was done--I see this as someone dumping something. Potentially it was one or more dumping events or spills. We have no further information concerning a source, just lots of contamination.

--Q13: Just want to be sure: there is no State or Federal requirement to test all supply wells within 1000' of known hazardous waste sites semi-annually, correct? You are correct. There are NO State or Federal requirements for semi-annual sampling.

Plus, Q 19

Q19 What is the current method for testing the drinking water at Camp Lejeune?

A19 In accordance with the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 and its many amendments, as well as State requirements, Camp Lejeune complies with all regulations concerning the testing of its drinking water. All supply wells on Camp Lejeune are sampled annually and any supply well located within 1000' of a known hazardous waste site is sampled semi-annually. This semi-annual sampling is a proactive measure being undertaken by Camp Lejeune without regulatory requirement. All samples are analyzed for VOCs, inorganic compounds, radionuclide, and bacteriological contaminants. The analytical process involves Gas Chromatography / Mass Spectrograph (GC/MS), Atomic Absorption, as well as numerous other processes and methods. Additionally, the finished water from the water treatment plants is sampled every three years. Prior to 1995, all water treatment plants were tested annually; however, after several consecutive sample events without detecting any contamination, the required testing interval was reduced to every three years by the State. Base Utilities and Environmental Management personnel, as well as contractors take samples, while the analyses are typically done by contract laboratories who provide all results directly to the State.

CLW

0000003126