

To: RHR@EMD2  
From: GS-11 PATRICIA H RAPER@EMD4  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: Fwd: VOC ANALYSIS  
Attachment:  
Date: 2/20/98 10:30 AM

x5977

Pat R.

Mick 0525  
See Mick for details  
N 2/20

----- Original Message -----

To: WS-13 BYRON M FRAZELLE@MAIN1@MCB LEJEUNE  
From: GS-11 PATRICIA H RAPER@EMD4@MCB LEJEUNE  
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 at 12:15:17 pm EST  
Attached: None

JUST IN CASE YOU NEEDED SOMETHING ELSE TO MAKE YOUR DAY!!

VOC ANALYSES WAS PERFORMED ON ALL WTP IN JANUARY. WILL FORWARD COPY OF RESULTS TO YOU. ONLY PROBLEM WAS A DETECTION OF CARBON TETRACHLORIDE AT ONLSOW BEACH. WAS NOT OVER ALLOWABLE LIMIT HOWEVER DOES CHANGE MONITORING REQUIREMENT FROM EVERY THREE YEARS TO ANNUAL. SAME THING HAPPENED IN 95 @ CHB WITH SAME CHEMICAL.

WELL 633 already closed.

BA 164  
BA 190

} wells in question  
from phone conversation  
2/26

To Do

- get w/ Pat R Mon 3/2
- get Analytics in March
- get back w/ Mack F.

CLW

0000002951

To: RHR@EMD2  
From: GS-11 PATRICIA H RAPER@EMD4  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: Fwd: re: Fwd: re: WELL TESTING  
Attachment:  
Date: 2/20/98 10:31 AM

----- Original Message -----

To: GS-11 PATRICIA H RAPER@EMD4  
From: GS-12 GARY H DAVIS@EMD2@MCB LEJEUNE  
Date: Friday, February 20, 1998 at 9:31:04 am EST  
Attached: ATTRIBS.BND

Pat: IR tests drinking water wells annually for VOC's due to a commitment made several years back to the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). Rick R. is the POC. I think the results are stored in EDMS...V/R, Gary

-----  
Original Text

From GS-11 PATRICIA H RAPER@EMD4@MCB LEJEUNE, on 2/20/98 9:04 AM:  
To: GHD@EMD2@MCB LEJEUNE

----- Original Message -----

To: GS-11 PATRICIA H RAPER@EMD4@MCB LEJEUNE  
From: WS-13 BYRON M FRAZELLE@MAIN1@MCB LEJEUNE  
Date: Thursday, February 19, 1998 at 4:03:32 pm EST  
Attached: None

Pat, I don't think we have tested wells in years. The only times we did in the past (to my knowledge) was when we found VOC's at plants. Then we did well testing to try and identify source. That is what I wanted you to do. Experience revealed that testing today does not mean you will not pick up VOC's tomorrow. We secured well 633 because they found VOC in test well behind it. We need to cover ourselves and testing of the raw water wells is the only way I know of. Mack  
I

CLW

0000002952

To: RHR@EMD2  
From: GS-11 PATRICIA H RAPER@EMD4  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: Fwd: re: VOC ANALYSIS  
Attachment:  
Date: 2/20/98 10:30 AM

- - - - - Original Message - - - - -

To: GS-11 PATRICIA H RAPER@EMD4@MCB LEJEUNE  
Cc: BAKER  
From: WS-13 BYRON M FRAZELLE@MAIN1@MCB LEJEUNE  
Date: Wednesday, February 18, 1998 at 12:29:31 pm EST  
Attached: None

Recommend we do follow up test of raw water wells if they were not done and plant effluent. Need to identify source if possible even though it is below limit. I think any detectible amount is UNACCEPTABLE. Can you do?

CLW

0000002953

To: NNP@EMD  
From: GS-9 THOMAS S MORRIS@EMD  
Cc: MPS@EMD,RHR@EMD  
Bcc:  
Subject: status report  
Attachment:  
Date: 2/26/99 12:36 PM

Neal,

I met with Mr. Warren, Scott, Maj. Jack, and Mr. Schwartzberg this morning at 0700 in Bldg. 1.

The meeting lasted almost an hour and was mostly taken up by Mr. Warren and Maj Jack giving a little background and a "where we are" about the issue. Jim would like a 1-page info paper on the issue sent to all the RAB members.

Maj. Jack is working that up and will send out to members (I provided addresses this morning). When Jim discovered that EPA was doing the TT/ABC Cleaners investigation/remediation and not IRD, he was relieved and said that the RAB really had no action on this. When Mr. Warren told Jim about Mr. Ensminger, Jim stated that he could understand the media attention and that he was waiting for Camp Lejeune to tell him about another "bombshell" (he acted like we were withholding this information and he was waiting to hear something else that we've been withholding on this issue). It was discussed and agreed upon that we would present a briefing on this issue at the next RAB meeting in April.

I received comments from Maj Jump this morning on the Land Use Controls issue. I incorporated his comments and, per his suggestion, contacted Cherry Point for thier review and input. Dale McFarland provided me with MCAS CP's comments and concerns about the role of NEPA. Apparently Cherry Point's NEPA office doesn't do nearly the amount of coordination and all that Lejeune's NEPA folks do. What it boils down to is that I'm going to have to rewrite this paper a bit to explain Lejeune's NEPA office work that is over and above what is strictly called for through NEPA. Once this is done we need to get chops again from our NEPA office, EACO, and Cherry Point. This won't be feasible this afternoon as I've got an appointment at 1400 in town. I'll work on this first thing Monday morning. I've requested 6-hours overtime for today.

I provided Maj Perez some additional information that he was asking for and he cornered me for about 30 minutes with questions prompted by the chronology. I've promised to find some answers to these questions.

I turned the vehicle in for "preventive maintenance" this morning and we should have it back sometime next week.

Other than that, not much is going on!!!!

I hope all is going well for you.

Please let me know if you have any comments or questions

Thanks and have a nice weekend!

CLW

0000002954