


ROUTING SLIP

14 JUL 1987

	ACTION	INFO	INITIALS
BMO		✓	
DBMO		✓	CP ² ₁₅
DIR, ADMIN (log)			
DIR, OPS			
DIR, M&R	✓		plc 7/21
DIR, UTIL			
SECRETARY			
COMMENTS:			

Suspense
 24 Jul
 Leto input pls. CP²₁₅



UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS
Marine Corps Base
Camp Lejeune, North Carolina 28542-5001


11800
FAC
JUL 10 1987

From: Assistant Chief of Staff, -Facilities, Marine Corps Base,
Camp Lejeune
To: Base Maintenance Officer

Subj: MIP PROPOSAL NO. 87-CLNC-115-FAC, ENCLOSE WATER AND OIL
SEPARATOR

Encl: (1) AC/S BOSMAD memo 11800 BOSM dtd 7 Jul 87

1. Please review the enclosed MIP proposal in accordance with established procedures. Response is requested by 24 July 1987.
2. POC is Gysgt. S. D. Maple.


B. W. ELSTON
By direction

Include cost est &
install screens on all
these places.
NP
Col V
Investigator?

JUL 10 1961

FROM: [Illegible]

TO: [Illegible]

SUBJECT: [Illegible]

RE: [Illegible]

DATE: [Illegible]

BY: [Illegible]

[Handwritten signature]

[Illegible text]

UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS
Marine Corps Base
Camp Lejeune, North Carolina 28542-5001

11800
FAC
JUL 10 1987

From: Assistant Chief of Staff, -Facilities, Marine Corps Base,
Camp Lejeune
To: Base Maintenance Officer

Subj: MIP PROPOSAL NO. 87-CLNC-115-FAC, ENCLOSE WATER AND OIL
SEPARATOR

Encl: (1) AC/S BOSMAD memo 11800 BOSM dtd 7 Jul 87

1. Please review the enclosed MIP proposal in accordance with
established procedures. Response is requested by 24 July 1987.

2. POC is GySgt. S. D. Maple.


B. W. ELSTON
By direction

UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS
THE CORPUS
HEADQUARTERS, MARINE CORPS

11:30
JUL 10 1961

TO: Commanding Officer, 1st Marine Division, Camp Lejeune, North Carolina
FROM: Major General [Name], 1st Marine Division

SUBJECT: [Faded subject line]

1. [Faded paragraph of text]

[Signature]
Major General [Name]
1st Marine Division

11800
BOSM
JUL 7 1987

MEMORANDUM

From: Assistant Chief of Staff, Base Operational Support
Management Assistance
To: Assistant Chief of Staff, Facilities
Subj: MODEL INSTALLATION PROGRAM PROPOSAL NO. 87-CLNC-115-FAC,
ENCLOSE WATER AND OIL SEPARATOR
Encl: (1) Subject Proposal

1. The enclosed proposal is forwarded for review and comment. Request recommendation for approval or disapproval be returned to this office with an excerpt from the applicable directive within ten working days from date of receipt. Recommendation for disapproval should be accompanied by a written rationale.
2. This office will forward proposals recommended for approval directly to the Commanding General for decision. Proposals recommended for disapproval will be reviewed by the Model Installation Steering Committee prior to forwarding to the Commanding General.
3. It is recommended that any other Marine Corps Base department that may be affected by the approval or disapproval of the enclosed proposal be contacted during your review.
4. BOSMAD POC is Debbie Martin, 5521/1577.


H. F. SMITH



MODEL INSTALLATION PROGRAM

PROPOSAL

MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP LEJEUNE



INSTRUCTIONS:

1. COMPLETE ALL INFORMATION REQUESTED.
2. PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE LEGIBLY.
3. USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY.
4. FORWARD COMPLETED PROPOSAL TO AC/S, BOSMAD, MCB

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE

DATE RECEIVED

2 Jul 87

PROPOSAL NUMBER

87-CLNC-115-FAC

TITLE OR SUBJECT OF PROPOSAL

Enclose water & oil separator

NAME, TITLE, GRADE/RANK, UNIT OF SUBMITTER(S)

TERRY GANDY Utilities Well

PHONE

451-2069

CURRENT PROCEDURE

open

PROPOSED PROCEDURE (If a directive/order must be waived to implement proposal-Identify the specific reference.)

Seal or enclose with expanded metal or screen.

BENEFITS/ADVANTAGES

Troops will no longer police area & use separator for dumpster. It will not collect all flying debris & trash

I (WE) UNDERSTAND THAT THE ACCEPTANCE OF A CASH AWARD FOR THE USE OF THIS PROPOSAL BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT SHALL NOT FORM THE BASIS OF A FURTHER CLAIM OF ANY NATURE UPON THE UNITED STATES BY ME (US), MY (OUR) HEIRS, OR ASSIGNS.

(SIGNATURE AND DATE)

(SIGNATURE AND DATE)

MODEL INSTALLATION PROPOSAL

MCBCL 11800

Excellent Installations — The Foundation Of Defense

ENCL ()

10

10

Memorandum

11800
MAIN

DATE: 21 July 1987

FROM: Director, Maintenance and Repair Branch


TO: Deputy Base Maintenance Officer

SUBJ: MODEL INSTALLATION PROGRAM PROPOSAL NO. 87-CLNC-115-FAC, ENCLOSE
WATER AND OIL SEPARATOR

Encl: (1) P&E Estimate

1. Requested input is provided.
2. I have mixed emotions on this MIP. The military should be supervised during their policing and not use these oil-water abatement sites for discarding this trash and excess waste materials. But I have a negative opinion for this being effective.
3. If the MIP is adopted, we should not use a close mesh wire for safety purposes. Someone would probably try walking across the mesh and fall in the waste oil.
4. Enclosure (1) is a cost estimate from P&E (Mr. Bender) using the same type materials that are used on our oil-water separator. These are safe to walk on and are near ground level.

The average size cost is \$1,154 for labor and material. We have 14 areas with this problem which total cost is \$16,156 to adopt the MIP proposal.


R. E. AVANT

Washington, D.C.

February 10, 1954

Dear Mr. [Name]:

I have your letter of January 28, 1954, regarding the matter of [Name] and the [Organization]. I am sorry that I cannot provide a more definitive answer at this time, but the complexity of the situation requires further investigation.

The information provided in your letter has been reviewed, and it is clear that the matter involves several legal and administrative issues. I will be consulting with the relevant departments to ensure that all aspects of the case are thoroughly examined.

In the meantime, I would appreciate it if you could provide any additional information or documents that might assist in the process. Your patience is appreciated, and I will contact you again once a final decision has been reached.

Sincerely,
[Signature]

Enclosed for you are two copies of the report prepared by the [Department] on the subject of [Name].

Very truly yours,
[Signature]

cc: [Name], [Department]

[Name], [Department]

[Name], [Department]

[Name], [Department]

[Name], [Department]

[Name], [Department]

100

[Faint, illegible text covering the majority of the page]

Memorandum

11800
MAIN

DATE: 21 July 1987

FROM: Director, Maintenance and Repair Branch


TO: Deputy Base Maintenance Officer


SUBJ: MODEL INSTALLATION PROGRAM PROPOSAL NO. 87-CLNC-115-FAC, ENCLOSE
WATER AND OIL SEPARATOR


Encl: (1) P&E Estimate

1. Requested input is provided.
2. I have mixed emotions on this MIP. The military should be supervised during their policing and not use these oil-water abatement sites for discarding this trash and excess waste materials. But I have a negative opinion for this being effective.
3. If the MIP is adopted, we should not use a close mesh wire for safety purposes. Someone would probably try walking across the mesh and fall in the waste oil.
4. Enclosure (1) is a cost estimate from P&E (Mr. Bender) using the same type materials that are used on our oil-water separator. These are safe to walk on and are near ground level.

The average size cost is \$1,154 for labor and material. We have 14 areas with this problem which total cost is \$16,156 to adopt the MIP proposal.


R. E. AVANT





Memorandum

11800
MAIN

DATE: 21 July 1987

FROM: Director, Maintenance and Repair Branch


TO: Deputy Base Maintenance Officer


SUBJ: MODEL INSTALLATION PROGRAM PROPOSAL NO. 87-CLNC-115-FAC, ENCLOSE
WATER AND OIL SEPARATOR


Encl: (1) P&E Estimate

1. Requested input is provided.
2. I have mixed emotions on this MIP. The military should be supervised during their policing and not use these oil-water abatement sites for discarding this trash and excess waste materials. But I have a negative opinion for this being effective.
3. If the MIP is adopted, we should not use a close mesh wire for safety purposes. Someone would probably try walking across the mesh and fall in the waste oil.
4. Enclosure (1) is a cost estimate from P&E (Mr. Bender) using the same type materials that are used on our oil-water separator. These are safe to walk on and are near ground level.

The average size cost is \$1,154 for labor and material. We have 14 areas with this problem which total cost is \$16,156 to adopt the MIP proposal.


R. E. AVANT





29 JUL 1987

11800
MAIN

Base Maintenance Officer

Assistant Chief of Staff, Facilities

MODEL INSTALLATION PROGRAM PROPOSAL 87-CLNC-115-FAC, ENCLOSE
OIL-WATER SEPARATORS

Ref: (a) Your memo 11800 FAC of 10 Jul 87

1. Base Maintenance concurs with the subject proposal. I have personally inspected several oil-water separators and find that trash and debris is a problem. For safety reasons, we recommend 1-1/2" x 3/16" galvanized bar grating. The cost is approximately \$1200 for each oil-water separator.

M. G. LILLEY

Writer: M. G. Lilley
Typist: C. Kowalski, 28 Jul 87

11300
11300

9 JUL 1957

Base Maintenance Officer

Assistant Chief of Staff, Facilities

MODEL INSULATION PROGRAM, THORSON EX-CHC-113-10, ENCLOSED
OFF-WATER SEPARATORS

Re: (a) Your memo 11300 FAC 0110 113 57

In Base Maintenance contact with the subject proposal, I have personally inspected several off-water separators and find that fresh and dirty is a problem. For all my reasons, we recommend 1-1/2" x 3/16" separators for each separator. The cost is approximately \$100 for each off-water separator.

W. G. LILLY

Re: MIP 87-CLNC-115-7AC

file
w/MIP

T 11 800

87-CLNC-115
JAC

11000
MAIN

29 JUL 1987

Base Maintenance Officer

Public Works Officer

OIL-WATER SEPARATORS

1. I have inspected several oil-water separators and have found that the standard design evidently does not include covers. The open top makes a convenient receptacle for soda cans and other debris. Accordingly, it is requested that future designs include a bar screen cover made of 1-1/2" x 3/16" galvanized bar grating.

M. G. LILLEY

Writer: M. G. Lilley, X2511
Typist: C. Kowalski, 28 Jul 87

1100
1111

23 JUL 1987

Base Operations Office

Public Works Division

OFF-WATER DIVISION

I have inspected the off-water division and have found that the standard design criteria does not include cover. The cover for the off-water division is not included in the design. It is requested that the design include a cover cover made of 1/2" x 1/2" x 1/2" galvanized steel.

W. J. Miller

1100
1111

Re: MIP 87-CLNC-115-7AC

T 11 800

29 JUL 1987

11000
MAIN

Base Maintenance Officer

Public Works Officer

OIL-WATER SEPARATORS

1. I have inspected several oil-water separators and have found that the standard design evidently does not include covers. The open top makes a convenient receptacle for soda cans and other debris. Accordingly, it is requested that future designs include a bar screen cover made of 1-1/2" x 3/16" galvanized bar grating.

M. G. LILLEY

Writer: M. G. Lilley, X2511
Typist: C. Kowalski, 28 Jul 87

11000
1111

28 SEP 1982

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

I have inspected several of the water treatment plants and have found that the standards which are being used are not adequate. The open top tanks are a serious health hazard and should be replaced. Accordingly, it is requested that the necessary funds be provided to cover the cost of the replacement of the tanks.

WALTER S. GARDNER

11 SEP 1982

11800
MAIN
03 DEC 1987

From: Base Maintenance Officer
To: Assistant Chief of Staff, Facilities
Subj: MIP NO. 87-CLNC-115-FAC, ENCLOSE WATER AND OIL SEPARATOR
Ref: (a) AC/S Fac memo 11800 over FAC dtd 12 Nov 87
Encl: (1) Contribution Investigation Report
1. As requested by the reference, the enclosure is returned.

M. G. LILLEY

Writer/Typist: S. Pricer, Admin, x5307
30 Nov 87

11800
MAIN
0 3 DEC 1987

From: Base Maintenance Officer
To: Assistant Chief of Staff, Facilities
Subj: MIP NO. 87-GLMC-115-FAC, ENCLOSE WATER AND OIL SEPARATOR
Ref: (a) AC/S Fac memo 11800 over FAC dtd 12 Nov 87
Encl: (1) Contribution Investigation Report
1. As requested by the reference, the enclosure is returned.

M. G. LILLEY

Written/Typed: S. Prizer, Admin, X2307
30 Nov 87

MIP AWARDS DETERMINATION

CONTRIBUTER(S) (Name: Last, first, M.I.)

CONTRIBUTION (Number and title)

DATE RECEIVED

GANDY, Jerry C.

MIP #87-CLNC-115-FAC
ENCLOSE WATER AND OIL SEPARATOR

POSITION TITLE, AND GRADE OR RANK/RATE

ACTIVITY OF CONTRIBUTOR(S) (Name and location)

Instrument Mechanic
WG-11

Marine Corps Base
Camp Lejeune, N. C.

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE AND TITLE

Base Maintenance Division

TO:

DATE FORWARDED

DUE DATE

AC/S, Facilities

OCT 27 1987

10 days following receipt

A complete evaluation of this contribution is necessary and all questions that apply should be answered. Although you may not have authority to implement the contribution, you are requested to give your opinion on its value. Do not merely state that authority to adopt the contribution is under the cognizance of GSA, VA, Treasury, etc. YOUR REPLY MAY BE USED VERBATIM IN NOTIFYING THE CONTRIBUTOR(S) OF THE RESULTS.

SIGNATURE OF AWARDS ADMINISTRATOR

ACTIVITY AND LOCATION

Debra A. Martin

AC/S, BOSMAD

INVESTIGATION REPORT

1. WILL CONTRIBUTION BE ADOPTED LOCALLY? (If "NO" give reason for nonadoption in space 4 and answer questions 5 and 6 only) YES NO
 2. IS CONTRIBUTION IN OPERATION? (Complete items below) YES NO

IF "YES" GIVE DATE INSTALLED FY88 IF "NO" INDICATE BELOW THE ACTION TAKEN TOWARD ADOPTION

- COMMITMENT TO ADOPT HAS BEEN MADE PROJECT OR JOB ORDER HAS BEEN ISSUED EXPERIMENTAL WORK OR TRIAL TEST IS UNDER WAY APPROVAL HAS BEEN REQUESTED

3. ESTIMATE OF BENEFITS

A. INTANGIBLE BENEFITS

VALUE MODERATE SUBSTANTIAL HIGH EXCEPTIONAL

EXTENT OF APPLICATION LIMITED EXTENDED BROAD GENERAL

B. TANGIBLE BENEFITS (In table below compute labor savings at actual cost.)


ITEM	LABOR			MATERIAL			TOTAL (LABOR AND MATERIALS)
	Hours Per	Dollars Per Hour	TOTAL	Units Per	Cost Per Unit	TOTAL	
FORMER METHOD		\$	\$		\$	\$	\$
NEW METHOD							
SAVINGS							

4. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND COMMENTS

It is recommended that the individual who submitted the MIP be awarded \$25.00 based on intangible benefits.

(If more space is needed, use reverse side starting with this end of the page up.

5. IS PATENT INVESTIGATION RECOMMENDED?	YES	NO	SIGNATURE OF INVESTIGATOR <i>Timothy Jewell</i>	DATE 11/25/87
				ACTIVITY AND LOCATION OF INVESTIGATOR
6. IF CONTRIBUTION CAN BE USED ELSEWHERE, INDICATE WHERE:			APPROVING OFFICIAL <i>[Signature]</i>	DATE 12/3/87



Memorandum

11800

FAC

DATE: NOV 12 1987

FROM: Assistant Chief of Staff, Facilities, Marine Corps Base, camp
Lejeune


TO: Base Maintenance Officer

SUBJ: MIP NO. 87-CLNC-115-FAC, ENCLOSE WATER AND OIL SEPARATOR

Encl: (1) BOSMAD memo 11800 BOSM dtd
(2) Subject MIP
(3) Contribution Investigation Report
(4) Benefits Matrix

1. Enclosures (1) and (2) are provided for reference. Request that enclosure (3) be completed. Enclosure (4) is provided as a guide.

2. Please notify this office of the completion date of the implementation action and return enclosure (3) NLT ~~15 November~~ 10 December 1987.


K. J. KIRIACOPOULOS
By direction

NOV 1 8 1985

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK
SUBJECT: [Illegible]

[The following text is extremely faint and largely illegible, appearing to be a memorandum or report body.]

[Illegible signature and name]

[Illegible text, possibly a date or reference]

11800
BOSM
OCT 27 1987

MEMORANDUM

From: Assistant Chief of Staff, Base Operational Support
Management Assistance
To: Assistant Chief of Staff, Facilities
Subj: MODEL INSTALLATION PROGRAM PROPOSAL NO. 87-CLNC-115-FAC,
ENCLOSE WATER AND OIL SEPARATOR

Ref: (a) BO 12451.2

Encl: (1) Subject Proposal with Approval
(2) Contribution Investigation Report (NAVSO 5305/5)

1. Enclosure (1) relates to matters under the cognizance of your department and is forwarded for implementing action as appropriate. We request you notify this office upon completion of the required implementation action so the file on the subject proposal may be closed.

2. Enclosure (2) is also forwarded for an estimate of benefits. MIP awards are processed in accordance with the procedures for the Beneficial Suggestion Program set forth in the reference. If it is determined a monetary award is inappropriate or not applicable based on guidance in the reference, please determine the benefits from adoption of the proposal and indicate in item 4 of the NAVSO 5305/5 the reason for non-award (i.e., clearly a job responsibility, a routine safety matter).

3. It is requested that your office complete this award determination and return it to this office no later than ten days following receipt.


H. F. SMITH

Encl (1)

1987





MODEL INSTALLATION PROGRAM

PROPOSAL

MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP LEJEUNE



INSTRUCTIONS:

1. COMPLETE ALL INFORMATION REQUESTED.
2. PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE LEGIBLY.
3. USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY.
4. FORWARD COMPLETED PROPOSAL TO AC/S, BOSMAD, MCB

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE

DATE RECEIVED

2 Jul 87

PROPOSAL NUMBER

87-CLNC-115-FAC

TITLE OR SUBJECT OF PROPOSAL

Enclose water & oil separator

NAME, TITLE, GRADE/RANK, UNIT OF SUBMITTER(S)

Terry Gandy Utilities WG-11

PHONE

451-2069

CURRENT PROCEDURE

open

PROPOSED PROCEDURE (If a directive/order must be waived to implement proposal-Identify the specific reference)

Seal or enclose with expanded metal or screen.

BENEFITS/ADVANTAGES

Troops will no longer police area & use separator for dumpster. It will not collect all flying debris & trash

I (WE) UNDERSTAND THAT THE ACCEPTANCE OF A CASH AWARD FOR THE USE OF THIS PROPOSAL BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT SHALL NOT FORM THE BASIS OF A FURTHER CLAIM OF ANY NATURE UPON THE UNITED STATES BY ME (US), MY (OUR) HEIRS, OR ASSIGNS.

(SIGNATURE AND DATE)

(SIGNATURE AND DATE)

MODEL INSTALLATION PROPOSAL

MCBCL 11800

42

MODEL INSTALLATION PROGRAM CONTROL FORM

CLNC PROPOSAL NUMBER 87-CLNC-115-FAC	TITLE/SUBJECT OF PROPOSAL ENCLOSE WATER AND OIL SEPARATOR
---	--

FUNCTIONAL CODE AW	DIRECTIVE N/A	LEVEL OF APPROVAL CG	DATE OF PROPOSAL	RECEIVED BOSMAD 870702
-----------------------	------------------	-------------------------	------------------	---------------------------

NAME/PHONE OF SUBMITTER(S) Jerry Gandy/2069	ORGANIZATION FAC
--	---------------------

SYNOPSIS OF PROPOSAL
Propose water and oil separators be sealed or enclosed utilizing expanded metal or screen.

FUNCTIONAL MANAGER RECOMMENDATION AC/S, FAC Approve	DATE: 870729
---	--------------

REMARKS:
See attached AC/S, Facilities endorsement of 4 Aug 87, and Base Maintenance Officer memo of 29 July 87.

STEERING GROUP RECOMMENDATION	DATE:
-------------------------------	-------

REMARKS:

COMMANDING GENERAL ACTION	DATE: OCT 14 1987
---------------------------	-------------------

Approved: Disapproved: Forward to Higher Authority:
 Concept Approved-Final Approval Pending Results of Test:

REMARKS:
CG
RECOMMEND
APPROVE
VR
H

ACT DTK DTG OF RESPONSE:	FINAL ACTION OTHER RECOGNITION: DATE SUBMITTER(S) NOTIFIED:
--------------------------------	---

100

MIP AWARDS DETERMINATION

CONTRIBUTER(S) (Name: Last, first, M.I.)

CONTRIBUTION (Number and title)

DATE RECEIVED

GANDY, Jerry C.

MIP #87-CLNC-115-FAC
ENCLOSE WATER AND OIL SEPARATOR

POSITION TITLE, AND GRADE OR RANK/RATE

ACTIVITY OF CONTRIBUTOR(S) (Name and location)

Instrument Mechanic
WG-11

Marine Corps Base
Camp Lejeune, N. C.

ORGANIZATIONAL CODE AND TITLE

Base Maintenance Division

TO:

DATE FORWARDED

DUE DATE

AC/S, Facilities

OCT 27 1987

10 days following receipt

A complete evaluation of this contribution is necessary and all questions that apply should be answered. Although you may not have authority to implement the contribution, you are requested to give your opinion on its value. Do not merely state that authority to adopt the contribution is under the cognizance of GSA, VA, Treasury, etc. YOUR REPLY MAY BE USED VERBATIM IN NOTIFYING THE CONTRIBUTOR(S) OF THE RESULTS.

SIGNATURE OF AWARDS ADMINISTRATOR

ACTIVITY AND LOCATION

Debra A. Martin

AC/S, BOSMAD

INVESTIGATION REPORT

YES NO

1. WILL CONTRIBUTION BE ADOPTED LOCALLY? (If "NO" give reason for nonadoption in space 4 and answer questions 5 and 6 only)

YES NO

2. IS CONTRIBUTION IN OPERATION? (Complete items below)

YES NO

IF "YES" GIVE DATE INSTALLED FY 88 IF "NO" INDICATE BELOW THE ACTION TAKEN TOWARD ADOPTION

COMMITMENT TO ADOPT HAS BEEN MADE

PROJECT OR JOB ORDER HAS BEEN ISSUED

EXPERIMENTAL WORK OR TRIAL TEST IS UNDER WAY

APPROVAL HAS BEEN REQUESTED

3. ESTIMATE OF BENEFITS

A. INTANGIBLE BENEFITS

VALUE



MODERATE



SUBSTANTIAL



HIGH



EXCEPTIONAL

EXTENT OF APPLICATION



LIMITED



EXTENDED



BROAD



GENERAL

B. TANGIBLE BENEFITS (In table below compute labor savings at actual cost.)

ITEM	LABOR			MATERIAL			TOTAL (LABOR AND MATERIALS)
	Hours Per	Dollars Per Hour	TOTAL	Units Per	Cost Per Unit	TOTAL	
FORMER METHOD		\$	\$		\$	\$	\$
NEW METHOD							
SAVINGS							

4. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND COMMENTS

It is recommended that the individual who submitted the MIP be awarded \$25.00 based on intangible benefits.

(If more space is needed, use reverse side starting with this end of the page up.)

5. IS PATENT INVESTIGATION RECOMMENDED?	YES	NO	SIGNATURE OF INVESTIGATOR <i>Timothy Jewell</i>	DATE 11/25/87
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		ACTIVITY AND LOCATION OF INVESTIGATOR
6. IF CONTRIBUTION CAN BE USED ELSEWHERE, INDICATE WHERE:			APPROVING OFFICIAL	DATE

